

Modular Audio Recognition Framework v.0.3.0.6 (0.3.0 final)
and its
Applications

The MARF Research and Development Group

Montréal, Québec, Canada

Sun Dec 9 12:09:44 EST 2007

Contents

1	Introduction	4
1.1	What is MARF?	4
1.1.1	Purpose	4
1.1.2	Why Java?	4
1.1.3	Terminology and Notation	5
1.2	Authors, Contact, and Copyright Information	5
1.2.1	COPYRIGHT	5
1.2.2	Authors	5
1.3	Brief History of MARF	6
1.3.1	Developers Emeritus	6
1.3.2	Contributors	6
1.3.3	Current Status and Past Releases	7
1.4	MARF Source Code	7
1.4.1	Project Source and Location	7
1.4.2	Formatting	7
1.4.3	Coding and Naming Conventions	8
1.5	Versioning	9
2	Build System	10
3	MARF Installation Instructions	11
3.1	Requirements	11
3.2	MARF Installation	11
3.3	Downloading the Latest Jar Files	12
3.4	Building From Sources	12
3.4.1	UNIXen	13

3.4.2	Windows	13
3.4.3	Cygwin / under Windows	14
3.5	Configuration	14
3.5.1	Environment Variables	14
3.5.1.1	CLASSPATH	14
3.5.1.2	EXTDIRS	14
3.5.2	Web Applications	14
3.5.2.1	Tomcat	14
3.5.3	JUnit	14
3.6	MARF Upgrade	15
3.7	Regression Tests	15
3.8	Uninstall	15
3.9	Cleaning	15
4	MARF Architecture	16
4.1	Application Point of View	16
4.2	Packages and Physical Layout	19
4.3	Current Limitations	24
5	Methodology	25
5.1	Storage	25
5.1.1	Speaker Database	25
5.1.2	Storing Features, Training, and Classification Data	25
5.1.3	Assorted Training Notes	27
5.1.3.1	Clusters Defined	27
5.1.4	File Location	28
5.1.5	Sample and Feature Sizes	28
5.1.6	Parameter Passing	28
5.1.7	Result	28
5.1.8	Sample Format	29
5.1.9	Sample Loading Process	29
5.2	Assorted File Format Notes	32
5.3	Preprocessing	34
5.3.1	“Raw Meat”	34

5.3.1.1	Description	34
5.3.1.2	Implementation Summary	34
5.3.2	Normalization	34
5.3.3	Noise Removal	34
5.3.4	Silence Removal	36
5.3.5	Endpointing	37
5.3.6	FFT Filter	37
5.3.7	Low-Pass Filter	38
5.3.8	High-Pass Filter	38
5.3.9	Band-Pass Filter	38
5.3.10	High Frequency Boost	38
5.3.11	High-Pass High Frequency Boost Filter	40
5.4	Feature Extraction	42
5.4.1	Hamming Window	42
5.4.1.1	Implementation	42
5.4.1.2	Theory	42
5.4.2	Fast Fourier Transform (FFT)	44
5.4.2.1	FFT Feature Extraction	44
5.4.3	Linear Predictive Coding (LPC)	45
5.4.3.1	Theory	45
5.4.3.2	Usage for Feature Extraction	46
5.4.4	F0: The Fundamental Frequency	46
5.4.5	Min/Max Amplitudes	47
5.4.5.1	Description	47
5.4.6	Feature Extraction Aggregation	47
5.4.6.1	Description	47
5.4.6.2	Implementation	47
5.4.7	Random Feature Extraction	48
5.5	Classification	49
5.5.1	Chebyshev Distance	49
5.5.2	Euclidean Distance	49
5.5.3	Minkowski Distance	51
5.5.4	Mahalanobis Distance	51

- 5.5.4.1 Summary 51
- 5.5.4.2 Theory 51
- 5.5.5 Diff Distance 51
 - 5.5.5.1 Summary 52
 - 5.5.5.2 Theory 52
- 5.5.6 Artificial Neural Network 52
 - 5.5.6.1 Theory 52
 - 5.5.6.2 Training 53
 - 5.5.6.3 Usage as a Classifier 53
- 5.5.7 Random Classification 54

- 6 Statistics Processing 55**
 - 6.1 Statistics Collection 55
 - 6.2 Statistical Estimators and Smoothing 55

- 7 Natural Language Processing (NLP) 56**
 - 7.1 Zipf's Law 56
 - 7.2 Statistical N-gram Models 56
 - 7.3 Probabilistic Parsing 57
 - 7.4 Collocations 57
 - 7.5 Stemming 57

- 8 GUI 58**
 - 8.1 Spectrogram 58
 - 8.2 Wave Grapher 60

- 9 Sample Data and Experimentation 61**
 - 9.1 Sample Data 61
 - 9.2 Comparison Setup 61
 - 9.3 What Else Could/Should/Will Be Done 65
 - 9.3.1 Combination of Feature Extraction Methods 65
 - 9.3.2 Entire Recognition Path 65
 - 9.3.3 More Methods 65

10 Experimentation Results	66
10.1 Notes	66
10.2 SpeakerIdentApp's Options	67
10.3 Consolidated Results	69
11 Applications	87
11.1 MARF Research Applications	87
11.1.1 SpeakerIdentApp - Text-Independent Speaker Identification Application	87
11.1.2 Zipf's Law Application	87
11.1.2.1 Mini User Manual	88
11.1.2.2 Experiments	90
11.1.2.3 Results	90
11.1.2.4 Conclusions	94
11.1.3 Language Identification Application	94
11.1.3.1 The Program	94
11.1.3.2 Hypotheses	97
11.1.3.3 Initial Experiments Setup	98
11.1.3.4 Methodology	100
11.1.3.5 Difficulties Encountered	100
11.1.3.6 Experiments	101
11.1.3.7 Training	103
11.1.3.8 Results	104
11.1.3.9 Conclusions	106
11.1.3.10 Mini User Manual	106
11.1.3.11 List of Files	108
11.1.3.12 Classification Results	110
11.1.4 CYK Probabilistic Parsing with ProbabilisticParsingApp	126
11.1.4.1 Introduction	126
11.1.4.2 The Program	126
11.1.4.3 Methodology	128
11.1.4.4 Results and Conclusions	133
11.1.4.5 Mini User Manual	137
11.1.4.6 Results	140

11.1.4.7 Code	148
11.2 MARF Testing Applications	150
11.2.1 TestFilters	150
11.2.2 TestLPC	152
11.2.3 TestFFT	153
11.2.4 TestWaveLoader	154
11.2.5 TestLoaders	155
11.2.6 MathTestApp	157
11.2.7 TestPlugin	158
11.2.8 TestNN	159
11.3 External Applications	160
11.3.1 GIPSY	160
11.3.2 ENCSAssetsDesktop	160
11.3.3 ENCSAssets	160
11.3.4 ENCSAssetsCron	161
12 Conclusions	162
12.1 Review of Results	162
12.2 Acknowledgments	162
Bibliography	163
A Spectrogram Examples	166
B MARF Source Code	167
C The CVS Repository	168
C.1 Getting The Source Via Anonymous CVS	168
D SpeakerIdentApp and SpeakersIdentDb Source Code	170
D.1 SpeakerIdentApp.java	170
D.2 SpeakersIdentDb.java	189
E TODO	200

List of Figures

4.1	Overall Architecture	17
4.2	The Core Pipeline Sequence Diagram	18
4.3	The Core Pipeline Data Flow	19
4.4	MARF Java Packages	20
5.1	Storage	26
5.2	Preprocessing	35
5.3	Normalization of aihua5.wav from the testing set.	36
5.4	FFT of normalized aihua5.wav from the testing set.	38
5.5	Low-pass filter applied to aihua5.wav.	39
5.6	High-pass filter applied to aihua5.wav.	39
5.7	Band-pass filter applied to aihua5.wav.	40
5.8	High frequency boost filter applied to aihua5.wav.	41
5.9	Feature Extraction Class Diagram	43
5.10	Classification	50
8.1	GUI Package	59
11.1	Zipf’s Law for the “Greifenstein” corpus with the default setup.	91
11.2	Zipf’s Law for the “How to Speak and Write Correctly” corpus with the default setup.	91
11.3	Zipf’s Law for my 5.6 Mb INBOX with the default setup.	92
11.4	Zipf’s Law for the white paper “The United States Needs a Scalable Shared-Memory Multiprocessor, But Might Not Get One!” with the default setup.	92
11.5	Zipf’s Law for the “Ulysses” corpus with the default setup.	93
11.6	Zipf’s Law for the “ZipfLaw.java” program itself with the default setup.	93
11.7	Zipf’s Law for the “Greifenstein” corpus with the extreme setup.	94
11.8	Zipf’s Law for the “How to Speak and Write Correctly” corpus with the extreme setup.	95

11.9 Zipf's Law for my 5.6 Mb INBOX with the extreme setup. 95

11.10 Zipf's Law for the white paper "The United States Needs a Scalable Shared-Memory Multiprocessor, But Might Not Get One!" with the extreme setup 96

11.11 Zipf's Law for the "Ulysses" corpus with the extreme setup. 96

11.12 Zipf's Law for the "ZipfLaw.java" program itself with the extreme setup. 97

11.13 Sample sentences in various languages used for testing. Was used in "Bag-of-Languages" experiments. 102

11.14 Subset of the sample sentences in languages with Latin base. 102

11.15 Subset of the sample sentences in languages with non-Latin base. 103

11.16 Subset of the sample statements in programming languages. 103

11.17 Grammar File Format 127

11.18 Grammar File Example 128

11.19 Original Basic Grammar 129

11.20 Extended Grammar 129

11.21 Input sentences for the Basic and Extended Grammars 130

11.22 Requirements' sentences used as a parsed "corpus" 131

11.23 Realistic Grammar 132

A.1 LPC spectrogram obtained for `ian15.wav` 166

A.2 LPC spectrogram obtained for `graham13.wav` 166

List of Tables

9.1	Speakers contributed their voice samples.	62
10.1	Consolidated results, Part 1.	70
10.2	Consolidated results, Part 2.	71
10.3	Consolidated results, Part 3.	72
10.4	Consolidated results, Part 4.	73
10.5	Consolidated results, Part 5.	74
10.6	Consolidated results, Part 6.	75
10.7	Consolidated results, Part 7.	76
10.8	Consolidated results, Part 8.	77
10.9	Consolidated results, Part 9.	78
10.10	Consolidated results, Part 10.	79
10.11	Consolidated results, Part 11.	80
10.12	Consolidated results, Part 12.	81
10.13	Consolidated results, Part 13.	82
10.14	Consolidated results, Part 14.	83
10.15	Consolidated results, Part 15.	84
10.16	Consolidated results, Part 16.	85
10.17	Consolidated results, Part 17.	86
10.18	Consolidated results, Part 18.	86

Chapter 1

Introduction

Revision : 1.22

1.1 What is MARF?

MARF stands for **M**odular **A**udio **R**ecognition **F**ramework. It contains a collection of algorithms for Sound, Speech, and Natural Language Processing arranged into an uniform framework to facilitate addition of new algorithms for preprocessing, feature extraction, classification, parsing, etc. implemented in Java. MARF is also a research platform for various performance metrics of the implemented algorithms.

1.1.1 Purpose

Our main goal is to build a general open-source framework to allow developers in the audio-recognition industry (be it speech, voice, sound, etc.) to choose and apply various methods, contrast and compare them, and use them in their applications. As a proof of concept, a user frontend application for Text-Independent (TI) Speaker Identification has been created on top of the framework (the `SpeakerIdentApp` program). A variety of testing applications and applications that show how to use various aspects of MARF are also present. A new recent addition is some (highly) experimental NLP support, which is also included in MARF as of 0.3.0-devel-20050606 (0.3.0.2). For more information on applications that employ MARF see Chapter 11.

1.1.2 Why Java?

We have chosen to implement our project using the Java programming language. This choice is justified by the binary portability of the Java applications as well as facilitating memory management tasks and other issues, so we can concentrate more on the algorithms instead. Java also provides us with built-in types and data-structures to manage collections (build, sort, store/retrieve) efficiently [Fla97].

1.1.3 Terminology and Notation

Revision : 1.4

The term “MARF” will be to refer to the software that accompanies this documentation. An **application programmer** could be anyone who is using, or wants to use, any part of the MARF system. A **MARF developer** is a core member of MARF who is hacking away the MARF system.

1.2 Authors, Contact, and Copyright Information

1.2.1 COPYRIGHT

Revision : 1.12

MARF is Copyright © 2002 - 2007 by the MARF Research and Development Group and is distributed under the terms of the BSD-style license below.

Permission to use, copy, modify, and distribute this software and its documentation for any purpose, without fee, and without a written agreement is hereby granted, provided that the above copyright notice and this paragraph and the following two paragraphs appear in all copies.

IN NO EVENT SHALL CONCORDIA UNIVERSITY OR THE AUTHORS BE LIABLE TO ANY PARTY FOR DIRECT, INDIRECT, SPECIAL, INCIDENTAL, OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES, INCLUDING LOST PROFITS, ARISING OUT OF THE USE OF THIS SOFTWARE AND ITS DOCUMENTATION, EVEN IF CONCORDIA UNIVERSITY OR THE AUTHORS HAVE BEEN ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGE.

CONCORDIA UNIVERSITY AND THE AUTHORS SPECIFICALLY DISCLAIM ANY WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, THE IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE. THE SOFTWARE PROVIDED HEREUNDER IS ON AN “AS-IS” BASIS, AND CONCORDIA UNIVERSITY AND THE AUTHORS HAVE NO OBLIGATIONS TO PROVIDE MAINTENANCE, SUPPORT, UPDATES, ENHANCEMENTS, OR MODIFICATIONS.

1.2.2 Authors

Authors Emeritus, in alphabetical order:

- Ian Clément, iclement@users.sourceforge.net
- Serguei Mokhov, mokhov@cs.concordia.ca, a.k.a Serge
- Dimitrios Nicolacopoulos, pwrslave@users.sourceforge.net, a.k.a Jimmy

- Stephen Sinclair, `radarsat1@users.sourceforge.net`, a.k.a. Steve, `radarsat1`

Contributors:

- Shuxin Fan, `fshuxin@gmail.com`, a.k.a Susan

Current maintainers:

- Serguei Mokhov, `mokhov@cs.concordia.ca`

If you have some suggestions, contributions to make, or for bug reports, don't hesitate to contact us :-). For MARF-related issues please contact us at `marf-devel@lists.sf.net`. Please report bugs to `marf-bugs@lists.sf.net`.

1.3 Brief History of MARF

Revision : 1.13

The MARF project was initiated in September 26, 2002 by four students of Concordia University, Montréal, Canada as their course project for Pattern Recognition under guidance of Dr. C.Y. Suen. This included Ian Clément, Stephen Sinclair, Jimmy Nicolacopoulos, and Serguei Mokhov.

1.3.1 Developers Emeritus

- Ian's primary contributions were the LPC and Neural Network algorithms support with the `Spectrogram` dump.
- Steve has done an extensive research and implementation of the FFT algorithm for feature extraction and filtering and Euclidean Distance with the `WaveGrapher` class.
- Jimmy was focused on implementation of the WAVE file format loader and other storage issues.
- Serguei designed the entire MARF framework and architecture, originally implemented general distance classifier and its Chebyshev, Minkowski, and Mahalanobis incarnations along with normalization of the sample data. Serguei designed the Exceptions Framework of MARF and was involved into the integration of all the modules and testing applications to use MARF.

1.3.2 Contributors

- Shuxin 'Susan' Fan contributed to development and maintenance of some test applications (e.g. `TestFilters`) and initial adoption of the JUnit framework [GB04] within MARF. She has also finalized some utility modules (e.g. `marf.util.Arrays`) till completion and performed MARF code auditing and "inventory". Shuxin has also added NetBeans project files to the build system of MARF.

1.3.3 Current Status and Past Releases

Now it's a project on its own, being maintained and developed as we have some spare time for it. When the course was over, Serguei Mokhov is the primary maintainer of the project. He rewrote **Storage** support and polished all of MARF during two years and added various utility modules and NLP support and implementation of new algorithms and applications. Serguei maintains this manual, the web site and most of the sample database collection. He also made all the releases of the project as follows:

- 0.3.0-devel-20060226 (0.3.0.5), Sunday, February 26, 2006
- 0.3.0-devel-20050817 (0.3.0.4), Wednesday, August 17, 2005
- 0.3.0-devel-20050730 (0.3.0.3), Saturday, July 30, 2005
- 0.3.0-devel-20050606 (0.3.0.2), Monday, June 6, 2005
- 0.3.0-devel-20040614 (0.3.0.1), Monday, June 14, 2004
- 0.2.1, Monday, February 17, 2003
- 0.2.0, Monday, February 10, 2003
- 0.1.2, December 17, 2002 - Final Project Deliverable
- 0.1.1, December 8, 2002 - Demo

The project is currently geared towards completion planned TODO items on MARF and its applications.

1.4 MARF Source Code

Revision : 1.8

1.4.1 Project Source and Location

Our project since its inception has always been an open-source project. All releases including the most current one should most of the time be accessible via `<http://marf.sourceforge.net>` provided by **SourceForge.net**. We have a complete API documentation as well as this manual and all the sources available to download through this web page.

1.4.2 Formatting

Source code formatting uses a 4 column tab spacing, currently with tabs preserved (i.e. tabs are not expanded to spaces).

For Emacs, add the following (or something similar) to your `~/.emacs` initialization file:

```
;; check for files with a path containing "marf"
(setq auto-mode-alist
  (cons '("\\(marf\\).*\\.java\\'" . marf-java-mode)
    auto-mode-alist))
(setq auto-mode-alist
  (cons '("\\(marf\\).*\\.java\\'" . marf-java-mode)
    auto-mode-alist))

(defun marf-java-mode ()
  ;; sets up formatting for MARF Java code
  (interactive)
  (java-mode)
  (setq-default tab-width 4)
  (java-set-style "bsd")      ; set java-basic-offset to 4, etc.
  (setq indent-tabs-mode t)) ; keep tabs for indentation
```

For vim, your `~/.vimrc` or equivalent file should contain the following:

```
set tabstop=4
```

or equivalently from within vim, try

```
:set ts=4
```

The text browsing tools `more` and `less` can be invoked as

```
more -x4
```

```
less -x4
```

1.4.3 Coding and Naming Conventions

For now, please see <http://marf.sf.net/coding.html>.

TODO

1.5 Versioning

This section attempts to clarify versioning scheme employed by the MARF project for stable and development releases.

In the 0.3.0 series a four digit version number was introduced like 0.3.0.1 or 0.3.0.2 and so on. The first digit indicates a *major version*. This typically indicates a significant coverage of implementations of major milestones and improvements, testing and quality validation and verification to justify a major release. The *minor version* has to do with some smaller milestones achieved throughout the development cycles. It is a bit subjective of what the minor and major version bumps are, but the TODO list in Appendix E sets some tentative milestones to be completed at each minor or major versions. The *revision* is the third digit is typically applied to stable releases if there are a number of critical bug fixes in the minor release, it's revision is bumped. The last digit represents the *minor revision* of the code release. This is typically used throughout development releases to make the code available sooner for testing. This notion was first introduced in 0.3.0 to count sort of increments in MARF development, which included bug fixes from the past increment and some chunk of new material. Any bump of major, minor versions, or revision, resets the minor revision back to zero. In the 0.3.0-devel release series these minor revisions were publicly displayed as dates (e.g. 0.3.0-devel-20050817) on which that particular release was made.

All version as of 0.3.0 can be programmatically queried for and validated against. In 0.3.0.5, a new `Version` class was introduced to encapsulate all versioning information and some validation of it to be used by the applications.

- the major version can be obtained from `marf.MARF.MAJOR_VERSION` and `marf.Version.MAJOR_VERSION` where as of 0.3.0.5 the former is an alias of the latter
- the minor version can be obtained from `marf.MARF.MINOR_VERSION` and `marf.Version.MINOR_VERSION` where as of 0.3.0.5 the former is an alias of the latter
- the revision can be obtained from `marf.MARF.REVISION` and `marf.Version.REVISION` where as of 0.3.0.5 the former is an alias of the latter
- the minor revision can be obtained from `marf.MARF.MINOR_REVISION` and `marf.Version.MINOR_REVISION` where as of 0.3.0.5 the former is an alias of the latter

The `marf.Version` class provides some API to validate the version by the application and report mismatches for convenience. See the API reference for details. One can also query a full `marf.jar` or `marf-debug.jar` release for version where all four components are displayed by typing:

```
java -jar marf.jar --version
```


Chapter 2

Build System

Revision : 1.1

- Makefiles
- Eclipse
- NetBeans
- JBuilder

TODO

Chapter 3

MARF Installation Instructions

Revision : 1.11

TODO: automatic sync with the INSTALL file.

3.1 Requirements

In general, any modern platform should be able to run MARF provided a Java Virtual machine (JRE 1.4 and above) is installed on it. The following software packages are required for building MARF from sources:

- You need a Java compiler. Recent versions of `javac` are recommended. You will need at least JDK 1.4 installed as JDKs lower than that are no longer supported (a patch can be made however if there is really a need for it). Additionally, you would need `javadoc` and `jar` (also a part of a JDK) if you want to build the appropriate API documentation and the `.jar` files.
- On Linux and UNIX the GNU `make` [SMSP00] is required; other `make` programs will *not* work. GNU `make` is often installed under the name `gmake`; on some systems the GNU `make` is the default tool with the name `make`. This document will always refer to it by the name of “make”. To see which make version you are running, type `make -v`.
- If you plan to run unit tests of MARF or use the `marf-debug-*.jar` release, then you will also need the JUnit [GB04] framework’s jar somewhere in your CLASSPATH.

3.2 MARF Installation

There are several ways to “install” MARF.

- Download the latest `marf-<ver>.jar`
- Build it from sources

- UNIXen
- Windows

3.3 Downloading the Latest Jar Files

Just go to <http://marf.sf.net>, and download an appropriate `marf-<ver>.jar` from there. To install it, put the downloaded `.jar` file(s) somewhere from within the reach of your `CLASSPATH` or Java extensions directory, `EXTDIRS`. Et voila, since now on you can try to write some mini mind-blowing apps based on MARF. You can also get some demo applications, such as `SpeakerIdentApp` from the same exact web site to try out. This “install” is “platform-independent”.

As of MARF 0.3.0.5, there are several `.jar` files being released. Their number may increase based on the demand. The different jars contain various subsets of MARF's code in addition to the full and complete code set that was always released in the past. The below is the description of the currently released jars:

- `marf-<ver>.jar` contains a complete set of MARF's code excluding JUnit tests and debug information, optimized.
- `marf-debug-<ver>.jar` contains a complete set of MARF's code including JUnit tests and debug information.
- `marf-util-<ver>.jar` contains a subset of MARF's code corresponding primarily to the contents of the `marf.util` package, optimized. This binary release is useful for apps which rely only on the quite comprehensive set of general-purpose utility modules and nothing else. This jar is quite small in size.
- `marf-storage-<ver>.jar` contains a subset of MARF's code corresponding primarily to the contents of the `marf.Storage` and some of the `marf.util` packages, optimized. This binary release is useful for apps which rely only on the set of general-purpose utility and storage modules.
- `marf-math-<ver>.jar` contains a subset of MARF's code corresponding primarily to the contents of the `marf.math` and some of the `marf.util` packages, optimized. This binary release is useful for apps which rely only on the set of general-purpose utility and math modules.
- `marf-utilimathstor-<ver>.jar` contains a subset of MARF's code corresponding primarily to the contents of the `marf.util`, `marf.math`, `marf.Stats`, and `marf.Storage` packages, optimized. This binary release is useful for apps which rely only on the set of general-purpose modules from these packages.

3.4 Building From Sources

You can grab the latest tarball of the current CVS, or pre-packaged `-src` release and compile it yourself producing the `.jar` file, which you will need to install as described in the Section 3.3. The MARF sources can be obtained from <http://marf.sf.net>. Extract:

```
tar xvfz marf-src-<ver>.tar.gz
```

or

```
tar xvfj marf-src-<ver>.tar.bz2
```

This will create a directory `marf-<ver>` under the current directory with the MARF sources. Change into that directory for the rest of the installation procedure.

3.4.1 UNIXen

We went with the makefile build approach. You will need GNU `make` (sometimes called 'gmake') to use it. Assuming you have unpacked the sources, 'cd' to `src` and type:

```
make
```

This will compile and build `marf-<ver>.jar` in the current directory. (Remember to use GNU `make`.) The last line displayed should be:

```
(-: MARF build has been successful :-)
```

To install MARF enter:

```
make install
```

This will install the `.jar` file(s) in the pre-determined place in the `/usr/lib/marf` directory.

```
make apidoc
```

This will compile general API javadoc pages in `../../api`.

```
make apidoc-dev
```

This will compile developer's API javadoc pages in `../../api-dev`. Both APIs can be compiled at once by using `make api`. Of course, you can compile w/o the makefile and use `javac`, `jar`, and `javadoc` directly if you really want to.

3.4.2 Windows

We also used JBuilder [Bor03] from version 5 through to 2005, so there is a project file `marf.jpx` in this directory. If you have JBuilder you can use this project file to build `marf.jar`. There are also Eclipse [c⁺04] and NetBeans [Mic04] project files rooted at `.project` and `.classpath` for Eclipse that you can import and `build.xml` and `nbproject/*.*` for NetBeans. Otherwise, you are stuck with `javac/java/jar` command-line tools for the moment.

3.4.3 Cygwin / under Windows

Follow pretty much the same steps as for UNIXen build above. You might need to hack Makefiles or a corresponding environment variable to support “;” directory separator and quoting instead of “:”.

3.5 Configuration

Typically, MARF does not really need much more configuration tweaking for itself other than having a JDK or a JRE installed to run it. The applications that use MARF should however be able to find `marf.jar` by either setting the `CLASSPATH` environment variable to point where the jar is or mention it explicitly on the command line (or otherwise JVM argument) with the `-cp` or `-classpath` options.

3.5.1 Environment Variables

3.5.1.1 CLASSPATH

Similarly to a commonly-used `PATH` variable, `CLASSPATH` tells where to find `.class` or `.jar` files if they are not present in the standard, known to the JVM default directories. This is a colon-separated (“:”) for Linux/Windows and semicolon-separated (“;”) for Windows/Cygwin list of directories with `.class` files or explicitly mentioned `.jar`, `.war`, or `.zip` archives containing `.class` files.

MARF itself does not depend on any non-default classes or Java archives, so it requires no `CLASSPATH` by itself (except when used with unit testing with JUnit [GB04], the `junit.jar` must be somewhere in `CLASSPATH`). However, applications wishing to use MARF should point their `CLASSPATH` to where find it unless it is in one of the default known to the JVM places.

3.5.1.2 EXTDIRS

This variable typically lists a set of directories for Java extensions where to find them. If you didn't place a pointer in your `CLASSPATH`, you can place it in your `EXTDIRS` instead.

3.5.2 Web Applications

3.5.2.1 Tomcat

If for some reason you decide to use one of the MARF's jars in a web app, the web app servlet/JSP container such as Tomcat [Fou05] is capable of setting the `CLASSPATH` itself as long as the jar(s) are in one of the `shared/lib` or `webapp/<your application here>/WEB-INF/lib` directories.

3.5.3 JUnit

If you intend to run unit tests or use `marf-debug*.jar` JUnit [GB04] has to be “visible” to MARF and the apps through `CLASSPATH`.

3.6 MARF Upgrade

Normally, an upgrade would simply mean just yanking old `.jar` out, and plugging the new one in, UNLESS you depend on certain parts of MARF's experimental/legacy API.

It is important to note that MARF's API is still stabilizing, especially for the newer modules (e.g. NLP). Even older modules still get affected as the MARF is still flexible in that. Thus, the API changes do in fact happen every release insofar, for the better (not always incompatible). This, however, also means that the serialized version of the `Serializable` classes also change, so the corresponding data needs to be retrained until an upgrade utility is available. Therefore, please check the versions, `ChangeLog`, class revisions, and talk to us if you are unsure of what can affect you. A great deal of effort went into versioning each public class and interface of MARF, which you can check by running:

```
java -jar marf.jar --verbose
```

To ask about more detailed changes if they are unclear from the `ChangeLog`, please write to us to `marf-devel@lists.sf.net` or post a question in one of our forums at:

```
https://sourceforge.net/forum/?group\_id=63118
```

Each release of MARF also supplies the Javadoc API comments, so you can and should consult those too. The bleeding edge API is located at:

```
http://marf.sourceforge.net/api/
```

3.7 Regression Tests

If you want to test the newly built MARF before you deploy it, you can run the regression tests. The regression tests are a test suite to verify that MARF runs on your platform in the way the developers expected it to. For now to do so the option is to run manually tests located in the `marf.junit` package as well as executing all the `Test*` applications described later on in the Applications chapter. A `Regression` testing application to do the comprehensive testing and grouping the other test applications as well as the JUnit tests. This application is still under development as of 0.3.0.5.

3.8 Uninstall

Simply remove the pertinent `marf*.jar` file(s).

3.9 Cleaning

After the installation you can make room by removing the built files from the source tree with the command `make clean`.

Chapter 4

MARF Architecture

Revision : 1.29

Before we begin, you should understand the basic MARF system architecture. Understanding how the parts of MARF interact will make the follow up sections somewhat clearer. This document presents architecture of the MARF system, including the layout of the physical directory structure, and Java packages.

Let's take a look at the general MARF structure in Figure 4.1. The `MARF` class is the central “server” and configuration “placeholder”, which contains the major methods – the core pipeline – a typical pattern recognition process. The figure presents basic abstract modules of the architecture. When a developer needs to add or use a module, they derive from the generic ones.

The core pipeline sequence diagram from an application up until the very end result is presented in Figure 4.2. It includes all major participants as well as basic operations. The participants are the modules responsible for a typical general pattern recognition pipeline. A conceptual data-flow diagram of the pipeline is in Figure 4.3. The grey areas indicate stub modules that are yet to be implemented.

Consequently, the framework has the mentioned basic modules, as well as some additional entities to manage storage and serialization of the input/output data.

4.1 Application Point of View

An application, using the framework, has to choose the concrete configuration and submodules for pre-processing, feature extraction, and classification stages. There is an API the application may use defined by each module or it can use them through the MARF.

There are two phases in MARF's usage by an application:

- Training, i.e. `train()`
- Recognition, i.e. `recognize()`

Training is performed on a virgin MARF installation to get some training data in. Recognition is an actual identification process of a sample against previously stored patterns during training.




Figure 4.1: Overall Architecture




Figure 4.2: The Core Pipeline Sequence Diagram




Figure 4.3: The Core Pipeline Data Flow

4.2 Packages and Physical Layout

The Java package structure is in Figure 4.4. The following is the basic structure of MARF:

marf.*

MARF.java - The MARF Server

Supports Training and Recognition mode
and keeps all the configuration settings.

marf.Preprocessing.* - The Preprocessing Package

/marf/Preprocessing/

Preprocessing.java - Abstract Preprocessing Module, has to be subclassed
PreprocessingException.java

/Endpoint/*.java - Endpoint Filter as implementation of Preprocessing
/Dummy/

Dummy.java - Normalization only




Figure 4.4: MARF Java Packages

```
Raw.java      - no preprocessing
/FFTFilter/
  FFTFilter.java
  LowPassFilter.java
  HighPassFilter.java
  BandpassFilter.java - Band-pass Filter as implementation of Preprocessing
  HighFrequencyBoost.java
```

marf.FeatureExtraction.* - The Feature Extraction Package

```
/marf/FeatureExtraction/
  FeatureExtraction.java
  /FFT/FFT.java      - FFT implementation of Preprocessing
  /LPC/LPC.java      - LPC implementation of Preprocessing
  /MinMaxAmplitudes/MinMaxAmplitudes.java
  /Cepstral/*.java
  /Segmentation/*.java
  /FO/*.java
```

marf.Classification.* - The Classification Package

```
/marf/Classification/
  Classification.java
  ClassificationException.java
  /NeuralNetwork/
    NeuralNetwork.java
    Neuron.java
    Layer.java
  /Stochastic/*.java
  /Markov/*.java
  /Distance/
    Distance.java
    EuclideanDistance.java
    ChebyshevDistance.java
    MinkowskiDistance.java
    MahalonobisDistance.java
    DiffDistance.java
```

marf.Storage.* - The Physical Storage Management Interface

`/marf/Storage/`

- `Sample.java`
- `ModuleParams.java`
- `TrainingSet.java`
- `FeatureSet.java`
- `Cluster.java`
- `Result.java`
- `ResultSet.java`
- `IStorageManager.java` - Interface to be implemented by the above modules
- `StorageManager.java` - The most common implementation of `IStorageManager`
- `ISampleLoader.java` - All loaders implement this
- `SampleLoader.java` - Should know how to load different sample format
- `/Loaders/*.*` - WAV, MP3, ULAW, etc.
- `IDatabase.java`
- `Database.java`

`marf.Stats.*` - The Statistics Package meant to collect various types of stats.

`/marf/Stats/`

- `StatsCollector.java` - Time took, noise removed, patterns stored, modules available, etc.
- `Ngram.java`
- `Observation.java`
- `ProbabilityTable.java`
- `StatisticalEstimators`
- `StatisticalObject.java`
- `WordStats.java`
- `/StatisticalEstimators/`
 - `GLI.java`
 - `KatzBackoff.java`
 - `MLE.java`
 - `SLI.java`
 - `StatisticalEstimator.java`
- `/Smoothing/`
 - `AddDelta.java`
 - `AddOne.java`
 - `GoodTuring.java`
 - `Smoothing.java`
 - `WittenBell.java`

`marf.gui.*` - GUI to the graphs and configuration

/marf/gui/

Spectrogram.java

SpectrogramPanel.java

WaveGrapher.java

WaveGrapherPanel.java

/util/

BorderPanel.java

ColoredStatusPanel.java

SmartSizablePanel.java

marf.nlp.* - most of the NLP-related modules

/marf/nlp/

Collocations/

Parsing/

Stemming/

util/

marf.math.* - math-related algorithms are here

/marf/math/

Algorithms.java

MathException.java

Matrix.java

Vector.java

marf.util.* - important utility modules

/marf/util/

Arrays.java

BaseThread.java

ByteUtils.java

Debug.java

ExpandedThreadGroup.java

FreeVector.java

InvalidSampleFormatException.java

Logger.java

MARFException.java

Matrix.java

```
NotImplementedException.java
OptionProcessor.java
SortComparator.java
/comparators/
    FrequencyComparator.java
    RankComparator.java
    ResultComparator.java
```

4.3 Current Limitations

Our current pipeline is maybe somewhat too rigid. That is, there's no way to specify more than one preprocessing to process the same sample in one pass (as of 0.3.0.2 the preprocessing modules can be chained, however, e.g. one filter followed by another in a preprocessing pipeline).

Also, the pipeline often assumes that the whole sample is loaded before doing anything with it, instead of sending parts of the sample a bit at a time. Perhaps this simplifies things, but it won't allow us to deal with large samples at the moment. However, it's not a problem for our framework and the application because our samples are small enough and memory is cheap. Additionally, we have streaming support already in the `WAVLoader` and some modules support it, but the final conversion to streaming did not happen yet.

MARF provides only limited support for inter-module dependency. It is possible to pass module-specific arguments, but problems like number of parameters mismatch between feature extraction and classification, and so on are not tracked. There is also one instance of `ModuleParams` that exists in MARF for now limiting combination of non-default feature extraction modules.

Chapter 5

Methodology

Revision : 1.11

This section presents what methods and algorithms were implemented and used in this project. We overview storage issues first, then preprocessing methods followed by feature extraction, and ended by classification.

5.1 Storage

Revision : 1.19

Figure 5.1 presents basic `Storage` modules and their API.

5.1.1 Speaker Database

We store specific speakers in a comma-separated (CSV) file, `speakers.txt` within the application. It has the following format:

```
<id:int>,<name:string>,<training-samples:list>,<testing-samples:list>
```

Sample lists are defined as follows:

```
<*-sample-list> := filename1.wav|filename2.wav|...
```

5.1.2 Storing Features, Training, and Classification Data

We defined a standard `StorageManager` interface for the modules to use. That's part of the `StorageManager` interface which each module will override because each a module has to know how to serialize itself, but the applications using MARF should not care. Thus, this `StorageManager` is a base class with abstract methods `dump()` and `restore()`. These methods would generalize the model's serialization, in the sense that they are somehow “read” and “written”.




Figure 5.1: Storage

We have to store data we used for training for later use in the classification process. For this we pass FFT (Figure 5.4.2) and LPC (Figure 5.4.3) feature vectors through the `TrainingSet/TrainingSample` class pair, which, as a result, store mean vectors (clusters) for our training models.

In the Neural Network we use XML. The only reason XML and text files have been suggested is to allow us to easily modify values in a text editor and verify the data visually.

In the Neural Network classification, we are using one net for all the speakers. We had thought that one net for each speaker would be ideal, but then we'll lose too much discrimination power. But doing this means that the net will need complete re-training for each new training utterance (or group thereof).

We have a training/testing script that lists the location of all the wave files to be trained along with the identification of the speaker - `testing.sh`.

5.1.3 Assorted Training Notes

Revision : 1.6

For training we are using sets of feature vectors created by FFT or LPC and passing them to the `NeuralNetwork` in the form of a cluster, or rather probabilistic "cluster". With this, there are some issues:

1. Mapping. We have to have a record of speakers and IDs for these speakers. This can be the same for `NeuralNetwork` and `Stochastic` methods so long as the `NeuralNetwork` will return the proper number and the "clusters" in the `Stochastic` module have proper labeling.
2. Feature vector generation. I think the best way to do this is for each application using MARF to specify a feature file or directory which will contain lines/files with the following info:

```
[a1, a2, ... , an] : <speaker id>
```

Retraining for a new speaker would involve two phases 1) appending the features to the file/dir, then 2) re-training the models. The `Classification` modules will be aware of the scheme and re-train on all data required.

5.1.3.1 Clusters Defined

Given a set of feature vectors in n -dimensional space, if we want these to represent m "items" (in our case, speakers), we can make groupings of these vectors with a center point c_i (ie: m center points which will then represent the "items"). Suen discussed an iterative algorithm to find the optimal groupings (or clusters). Anyway, I don't believe that Suen's clustering stuff is at all useful, as we will know, through info from training, which speaker is associated with the feature vector and can create the "clusters" with that information.

So for `NeuralNetwork`: no clusters, just regular training. So for `Stochastic`: Clusters (kind of). I believe that we need to represent a Gaussian curve with a mean vector and a co-variance matrix. This will be created from the set of feature vectors for the speaker. But again, we know who it is so the Optimal Clustering business is useless.

5.1.4 File Location

We decided to keep all the data and intermediate files in the same directory or subdirectories of that of the application.

- `marf.Storage.TrainingSet.*` - represent training sets (global clusters) used in training with different preprocessing and feature extraction methods; they can either be gzipped binary (.bin) or CSV text (.csv).
- `speakers.txt.stats` - binary statistics file.
- `marf.Classification.NeuralNetwork.*.xml` - XML file representing a trained Neural Net for all the speakers in the database.
- `training-samples/` - directory with WAV files for training.
- `testing-samples/` - directory with WAV files for testing.

5.1.5 Sample and Feature Sizes

Wave files are read and outputted as an array of data points that represents the waveform of the signal.

Different methods will have different feature vector sizes. It depends on what kind of precision one desires. In the case of FFT, a 1024 FFT will result in 512 features, being an array of “doubles” corresponding to the frequency range.

[O'S00] said about using 3 ms for phoneme analysis and something like one second for general voice analysis. At 8 kHz, 1024 samples represents 128 ms, this might be a good compromise.

5.1.6 Parameter Passing

A generic `ModuleParams` container class has been created to for an application to be able to pass module-specific parameters when specifying model files, training data, amount of LPC coefficients, FFT window size, logging/stats files, etc.

5.1.7 Result

When classification is over, its result should be stored somehow for further retrieval by the application. We have defined the `Result` object to carry out this task. It contains ID of the subject identified as well as some additional statistics (such as second closest speaker and distances from other speakers, etc.)

5.1.8 Sample Format

Revision : 1.20

The sample format used for our samples was the following:

- Audio Format: PCM signed (WAV)
- Sample Rate: 8000 Hz
- Audio Sample Size: 16 bit
- Channels: 1 (mono)
- Duration: from about 7 to 20 seconds

All training and testing samples were recorded through an external sound recording program (MS Sound Recorder) using a standard microphone. Each sample was saved as a WAV file with the above properties and stored in the appropriate folders where they would be loaded from within the main application. The PCM audio format (which stands for Pulse Code Modulation) refers to the digital encoding of the audio sample contained in the file and is the format used for WAV files. In a PCM encoding, an analog signal is represented as a sequence of amplitude values. The range of the amplitude value is given by the audio sample size which represents the number of bits that a PCM value consists of. In our case, the audio sample size is 16-bit which means that that a PCM value can range from 0 to 65536. Since we are using PCM-signed format, this gives an amplitude range between -32768 and 32768 . That is, the amplitude values of each recorded sample can vary within this range. Also, this sample size gives a greater range and thus provides better accuracy in representing an audio signal then using a sample size of 8-bit which limited to a range of $(-128, 128)$. Therefore, a 16-bit audio sample size was used for our experiments in order to provide the best possible results. The sampling rate refers to the number of amplitude values taken per second during audio digitization. According to the Nyquist theorem, this rate must be at least twice the maximum rate (frequency) of the analog signal that we wish to digitize ([IJ02]). Otherwise, the signal cannot be properly regenerated in digitized form. Since we are using an 8 kHz sampling rate, this means that actual analog frequency of each sample is limited to 4 kHz. However, this limitation does not pose a hindrance since the difference in sound quality is negligible ([O'S00]). The number of channels refers to the output of the sound (1 for mono and 2 for stereo – left and right speakers). For our experiment, a single channel format was used to avoid complexity during the sample loading process.

5.1.9 Sample Loading Process

To read audio information from a saved voice sample, a special sample-loading component had to be implemented in order to load a sample into an internal data structure for further processing. For this, certain sound libraries (`javax.sound.sampled`) were provided from the Java programming language which enabled us to stream the audio data from the sample file. However once the data was captured, it had to be converted into readable amplitude values since the library routines only provide PCM values of

the sample. This required the need to implement special routines to convert raw PCM values to actual amplitude values (see `SampleLoader` class in the `Storage` package).

The following pseudo-code represents the algorithm used to convert the PCM values into real amplitude values ([Mic05]):

```
function readAmplitudeValues(Double Array : audioData)
{
    Integer: MSB, LSB,
           index = 0;

    Byte Array: AudioBuffer[audioData.length * 2];

    read audio data from Audio stream into AudioBuffer;

    while(not reached the end of stream OR index not equal to audioData.length)
    {
        if(Audio data representation is BigEndian)
        {
            // First byte is MSB (high order)
            MSB = audioBuffer[2 * index];
            // Second byte is LSB (low order)
            LSB = audioBuffer[2 * index + 1];
        }
        else
        {
            // Vice-versa...
            LSB = audioBuffer[2 * index];
            MSB = audioBuffer[2 * index + 1];
        }

        // Merge high-order and low-order byte to form a 16-bit double value.
        // Values are divided by maximum range
        audioData[index] = (merge of MSB and LSB) / 32768;
    }
}
```

This function reads PCM values from a sample stream into a byte array that has twice the length of `audioData`; the array which will hold the converted amplitude values (since sample size is 16-bit). Once the PCM values are read into `audioBuffer`, the high and low order bytes that make up the amplitude value are extracted according to the type of representation defined in the sample's audio format. If the data representation is *big endian*, the high order byte of each PCM value is located at every even-numbered position in `audioBuffer`. That is, the high order byte of the first PCM value is found at position 0, 2 for

the second value, 4 for the third and so forth. Similarly, the low order byte of each PCM value is located at every odd-numbered position (1, 3, 5, etc.). In other words, if the data representation is *big endian*, the bytes of each PCM code are read from left to right in the `audioBuffer`. If the data representation is not *big endian*, then high and low order bytes are inverted. That is, the high order byte for the first PCM value in the array will be at position 1 and the low order byte will be at position 0 (read right to left). Once the high and low order bytes are properly extracted, the two bytes can be merged to form a 16-bit double value. This value is then scaled down (divide by 32768) to represent an amplitude within a unit range $(-1, 1)$. The resulting value is stored into the `audioData` array, which will be passed to the calling routine once all the available audio data is entered into the array. An additional routine was also required to write audio data from an array into wave file. This routine involved the inverse of reading audio data from a sample file stream. More specifically, the amplitude values inside an array are converted back to PCM codes and are stored inside an array of bytes (used to create new audio stream). The following illustrates how this works:

```
public void writePCMValues(Double Array: audioData)
{
    Integer: word = 0,
           index = 0;

    Byte Array: audioBytes[(number of ampl. values in audioData) * 2];

    while(index not equal to (number of ampl. values in audioData * 2))
    {
        word = (audioData[index] * 32768);
        extract high order byte and place it in appropriate position in audioBytes;
        extract low order byte and place it in appropriate position in audioBytes;
    }

    create new audio stream from audioBytes;
}
```

5.2 Assorted File Format Notes

Revision : 1.4

We decided to stick to Mono-8000Hz-16bit WAV files. 8-bit might be okay too, but we could retain more precision with 16-bit files. 8000Hz is supposed to be all you need to contain all frequencies of the vocal spectrum (according to Nyquist anyways...). If we use 44.1 kHz we'll just be wasting space and computation time.

There are also MP3 and ULAW and other file format loaders stubs which are unimplemented as of this version of MARF.

Also: I was just thinking I think I may have made a bit of a mistake downsampling to 8000Hz... I was saying that the voice ranges to about 8000Hz so that's all we should need for the samples, but then I realized that if you have an 8000Hz sample, it actually only represents 4000Hz, which would account for the difference I noticed.. but maybe we should be using 16KHz samples. On the other hand, even at 4KHz the voice is still perfectly distinguishable...

I tried the WaveLoader with one of the samples provided by Stephen (jimmy1.wav naturally!) and got some nice results! I graphed the PCM obtained from the `getaudioData()` function and noticed quite a difference from the PCM graph obtained with my "test.wav". With "test.wav", I was getting unexpected results as the graph ("rawpcm.xls") didn't resemble any wave form. This lead me to believe that I needed to convert the data on order to represent it in wave form (done in the "getWaveform()" function). But after having tested the routine with "jimmy1.wav", I got a beautiful wave-like graph with just the PCM data which makes more sense since PCM represents amplitude values! The reason for this is that my "test.wav" sample was actually 8-bit mono (less info.) rather than 16-bit mono as with Stephen's samples. So basically, we don't need to do any "conversion" if we use 16-bit mono samples and we can scrap the "getWaveform()" function. I will come up with a "Wave" class sometime this week which will take care of loading wave files and windowing audio data. Also, we should only read audio data that has actual sound, meaning that any silence (say -10 ; db ; 10) should be discarded from the sample when extracting audio data. Just thinking out loud!

I agree here. I was thinking perhaps the threshold could be determined from the "silence" sample.

5.3 Preprocessing

Revision : 1.21

This section outlines the preprocessing mechanisms considered and implemented in MARF. We present you with the API and structure in Figure 5.2, along with the description of the methods.

5.3.1 “Raw Meat”

Revision : 1.4

5.3.1.1 Description

This is a basic “pass-everything-through” method that doesn’t do actually any preprocessing. Originally developed within the framework, it was meant to be a base line method, but it gives better top results out of many configurations. This method is however not “fair” to others as it doesn’t do any normalization so the samples compared on the original data coming in. Likewise silence and noise removal are not done in here.

5.3.1.2 Implementation Summary

- Implementation: `marf.Preprocessing.Dummy.Raw`
- Depends on: `marf.Preprocessing.Dummy.Dummy`
- Used by: `test`, `marf.MARF`, `SpeakerIdentApp`

5.3.2 Normalization

Since not all voices will be recorded at exactly the same level, it is important to normalize the amplitude of each sample in order to ensure that features will be comparable. Audio normalization is analogous to image normalization. Since all samples are to be loaded as floating point values in the range $[-1.0, 1.0]$, it should be ensured that every sample actually does cover this entire range.

The procedure is relatively simple: find the maximum amplitude in the sample, and then scale the sample by dividing each point by this maximum. Figure 5.3 illustrates normalized input wave signal.

5.3.3 Noise Removal

Any vocal sample taken in a less-than-perfect (which is always the case) environment will experience a certain amount of room noise. Since background noise exhibits a certain frequency characteristic, if the noise is loud enough it may inhibit good recognition of a voice when the voice is later tested in a different environment. Therefore, it is necessary to remove as much environmental interference as possible.




Figure 5.2: Preprocessing




Figure 5.3: Normalization of aihua5.wav from the testing set.

To remove room noise, it is first necessary to get a sample of the room noise by itself. This sample, usually at least 30 seconds long, should provide the general frequency characteristics of the noise when subjected to FFT analysis. Using a technique similar to overlap-add FFT filtering, room noise can then be removed from the vocal sample by simply subtracting the noise's frequency characteristics from the vocal sample in question.

That is, if $S(x)$ is the sample, $N(x)$ is the noise, and $V(x)$ is the voice, all in the frequency domain, then

$$S(x) = N(x) + V(x)$$

Therefore, it should be possible to isolate the voice:

$$V(x) = S(x) - N(x)$$

Unfortunately, time has not permitted us to implement this in practice yet.

5.3.4 Silence Removal

Silence removal got implemented in 0.3.0.6 in MARF's `Preprocessing.removeSilence()` methods (and derivatives, except `Raw`). For better results, `removeSilence()` should be executed after normalization, where the default threshold of 1% (0.01) works well for the most cases.

The silence removal is performed in time domain where the amplitudes below the threshold are discarded from the sample. This also makes the sample smaller and less resemblant to other samples improving overall recognition performance.

The actual threshold can be a settable parameter through `ModuleParams`, which is a third parameter according to the preprocessing parameter protocol.

5.3.5 Endpointing

The Endpointing algorithm got implemented in MARF as follows. By the *end-points* we mean the local minimums and maximums in the amplitude changes. A variation of that is whether to consider the sample edges and continuous data points (of the same value) as end-points. In MARF, all these four cases are considered as end-points by default with an option to enable or disable the latter two cases via setters or the `ModuleParams` facility. The endpointing algorithm is implemented in `Endpoint` of the `marf.Preprocessing.Endpoint` package and appeared in 0.3.0.5.

5.3.6 FFT Filter

The FFT filter is used to modify the frequency domain of the input sample in order to better measure the distinct frequencies we are interested in. Two filters are useful to speech analysis: high frequency boost, and low-pass filter (yet we provided more of them, to toy around).

Speech tends to fall off at a rate of 6 dB per octave, and therefore the high frequencies can be boosted to introduce more precision in their analysis. Speech, after all, is still characteristic of the speaker at high frequencies, even though they have a lower amplitude. Ideally this boost should be performed via compression, which automatically boosts the quieter sounds while maintaining the amplitude of the louder sounds. However, we have simply done this using a positive value for the filter's frequency response. The low-pass filter (Section 5.3.7) is used as a simplified noise reducer, simply cutting off all frequencies above a certain point. The human voice does not generate sounds all the way up to 4000 Hz, which is the maximum frequency of our test samples, and therefore since this range will only be filled with noise, it may be better just to cut it out.

Essentially the FFT filter is an implementation of the Overlap-Add method of FIR filter design [Ber05]. The process is a simple way to perform fast convolution, by converting the input to the frequency domain, manipulating the frequencies according to the desired frequency response, and then using an Inverse-FFT to convert back to the time domain. Figure 5.4 demonstrates the normalized incoming wave form translated into the frequency domain.

The code applies the square root of the hamming window to the input windows (which are overlapped by half-windows), applies the FFT, multiplies the results by the desired frequency response, applies the Inverse-FFT, and applies the square root of the hamming window again, to produce an undistorted output.

Another similar filter could be used for noise reduction, subtracting the noise characteristics from the frequency response instead of multiplying, thereby remove the room noise from the input sample.




Figure 5.4: FFT of normalized aihua5.wav from the testing set.

5.3.7 Low-Pass Filter

The low-pass filter has been realized on top of the FFT Filter, by setting up frequency response to zero for frequencies past certain threshold chosen heuristically based on the window size where to cut off. We filtered out all the frequencies past 2853 Hz.

Figure 5.5 presents an FFT graph of a low-pass filtered signal.

5.3.8 High-Pass Filter

Revision : 1.7

As the low-pass filter, the high-pass filter (e.g. is in Figure 5.6) has been realized on top of the FFT Filter, in fact, it is the opposite to low-pass filter, and filters out frequencies before 2853 Hz. The implementation of the high-pass filter can be found in `marf.Preprocessing.FFTFilter.HighPassFilter`.

5.3.9 Band-Pass Filter

Band-pass filter in MARF is yet another instance of an FFT Filter (Section 5.3.6), with the default settings of the band of frequencies of [1000, 2853] Hz. See Figure 5.7.

5.3.10 High Frequency Boost

Revision : 1.11




Figure 5.5: Low-pass filter applied to aihua5.wav.




Figure 5.6: High-pass filter applied to aihua5.wav.




Figure 5.7: Band-pass filter applied to aihua5.wav.

This filter was also implemented on top of the FFT filter to boost the high-end frequencies. The frequencies boosted after approx. 1000 Hz by a factor of 5π , heuristically determined, and then re-normalized. See Figure 5.8. The implementation of the high-frequency boost preprocessor can be found in `marf.Preprocessing.FFTFilter.HighFrequencyBoost`.

5.3.11 High-Pass High Frequency Boost Filter

Revision : 1.2

For experimentation we said what would be very useful to do is to test a high-pass filter along with high-frequency boost. While there is no immediate class that does this, MARF now chains the former and the latter via the new addition to the preprocessing framework (in 0.3.0-devel-20050606) where a constructor of one preprocessing module takes up another allowing a preprocessing pipeline on its own. The results of this experiment can be found in the Consolidated Results section. While they did not yield a better recognition performance, it was a good try to see. More tweaking and trying is required to make a final decision on this approach as there is an issue with the re-normalization of the entire input instead of just the boosted part.




Figure 5.8: High frequency boost filter applied to aihua5.wav.

5.4 Feature Extraction

Revision : 1.14

This section outlines some concrete implementations of feature extraction methods of the MARF project. First we present you with the API and structure, followed by the description of the methods. The class diagram of this module set is in Figure 5.9.

5.4.1 Hamming Window

Revision : 1.13

5.4.1.1 Implementation

The Hamming Window implementation in MARF is in the `marf.math.Algorithms.Hamming` class as of version 0.3.0-devel-20050606 (a.k.a 0.3.0.2).

5.4.1.2 Theory

In many DSP techniques, it is necessary to consider a smaller portion of the entire speech sample rather than attempting to process the entire sample at once. The technique of cutting a sample into smaller pieces to be considered individually is called “windowing”. The simplest kind of window to use is the “rectangle”, which is simply an unmodified cut from the larger sample.

$$r(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } (0 \leq t \leq N - 1) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Unfortunately, rectangular windows can introduce errors, because near the edges of the window there will potentially be a sudden drop from a high amplitude to nothing, which can produce false “pops” and “clicks” in the analysis.

A better way to window the sample is to slowly fade out toward the edges, by multiplying the points in the window by a “window function”. If we take successive windows side by side, with the edges faded out, we will distort our analysis because the sample has been modified by the window function. To avoid this, it is necessary to overlap the windows so that all points in the sample will be considered equally. Ideally, to avoid all distortion, the overlapped window functions should add up to a constant. This is exactly what the Hamming window does. It is defined as:

$$x = 0.54 - 0.46 \cdot \cos\left(\frac{2\pi n}{l-1}\right)$$

where x is the new sample amplitude, n is the index into the window, and l is the total length of the window.




Figure 5.9: Feature Extraction Class Diagram

5.4.2 Fast Fourier Transform (FFT)

The Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) algorithm is used both for feature extraction and as the basis for the filter algorithm used in preprocessing. Although a complete discussion of the FFT algorithm is beyond the scope of this document, a short description of the implementation will be provided here.

Essentially the FFT is an optimized version of the Discrete Fourier Transform. It takes a window of size 2^k and returns a complex array of coefficients for the corresponding frequency curve. For feature extraction, only the magnitudes of the complex values are used, while the FFT filter operates directly on the complex results.

The implementation involves two steps: First, shuffling the input positions by a binary reversion process, and then combining the results via a “butterfly” decimation in time to produce the final frequency coefficients. The first step corresponds to breaking down the time-domain sample of size n into n frequency-domain samples of size 1. The second step re-combines the n samples of size 1 into 1 n -sized frequency-domain sample.

The code used in MARF has been translated from the C code provided in the book, “Numeric Recipes in C”, [Pre93].

5.4.2.1 FFT Feature Extraction

The frequency-domain view of a window of a time-domain sample gives us the frequency characteristics of that window. In feature identification, the frequency characteristics of a voice can be considered as a list of “features” for that voice. If we combine all windows of a vocal sample by taking the average between them, we can get the average frequency characteristics of the sample. Subsequently, if we average the frequency characteristics for samples from the same speaker, we are essentially finding the center of the cluster for the speaker's samples. Once all speakers have their cluster centers recorded in the training set, the speaker of an input sample should be identifiable by comparing its frequency analysis with each cluster center by some classification method.

Since we are dealing with speech, greater accuracy should be attainable by comparing corresponding phonemes with each other. That is, “th” in “the” should bear greater similarity to “th” in “this” than will “the” and “this” when compared as a whole.

The only characteristic of the FFT to worry about is the window used as input. Using a normal rectangular window can result in glitches in the frequency analysis because a sudden cutoff of a high frequency may distort the results. Therefore it is necessary to apply a Hamming window to the input sample, and to overlap the windows by half. Since the Hamming window adds up to a constant when overlapped, no distortion is introduced.

When comparing phonemes, a window size of about 2 or 3 ms is appropriate, but when comparing whole words, a window size of about 20 ms is more likely to be useful. A larger window size produces a higher resolution in the frequency analysis.

5.4.3 Linear Predictive Coding (LPC)

This section presents implementation of the LPC Classification module.

One method of feature extraction used in the MARF project was Linear Predictive Coding (LPC) analysis. It evaluates windowed sections of input speech waveforms and determines a set of coefficients approximating the amplitude vs. frequency function. This approximation aims to replicate the results of the Fast Fourier Transform yet only store a limited amount of information: that which is most valuable to the analysis of speech.

5.4.3.1 Theory

The LPC method is based on the formation of a spectral shaping filter, $H(z)$, that, when applied to a input excitation source, $U(z)$, yields a speech sample similar to the initial signal. The excitation source, $U(z)$, is assumed to be a flat spectrum leaving all the useful information in $H(z)$. The model of shaping filter used in most LPC implementation is called an “all-pole” model, and is as follows:

$$H(z) = \frac{G}{\left(1 - \sum_{k=1}^p (a_k z^{-k})\right)}$$

Where p is the number of poles used. A pole is a root of the denominator in the Laplace transform of the input-to-output representation of the speech signal.

The coefficients a_k are the final representation if the speech waveform. To obtain these coefficients, the least-square autocorrelation method was used. This method requires the use of the autocorrelation of a signal defined as:

$$R(k) = \sum_{m=k}^{n-1} (x(m) \cdot x(m-k))$$

where $x(n)$ is the windowed input signal.

In the LPC analysis, the error in the approximation is used to derive the algorithm. The error at time n can be expressed in the following manner: $e(n) = s(n) - \sum_{k=1}^p (a_k \cdot s(n-k))$. Thusly, the complete squared error of the spectral shaping filter $H(z)$ is:

$$E = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(x(n) - \sum_{k=1}^p (a_k \cdot x(n-k)) \right)^2$$

To minimize the error, the partial derivative $\frac{\delta E}{\delta a_k}$ is taken for each $k = 1..p$, which yields p linear equations of the form:

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (x(n-i) \cdot x(n)) = \sum_{k=1}^p (a_k \cdot \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (x(n-i) \cdot x(n-k)))$$

For $i = 1..p$. Which, using the autocorrelation function, is:

$$\sum_{k=1}^p (a_k \cdot R(i - k)) = R(i)$$

Solving these as a set of linear equations and observing that the matrix of autocorrelation values is a Toeplitz matrix yields the following recursive algorithm for determining the LPC coefficients:

$$k_m = \frac{\left(R(m) - \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} (a_{m-1}(k)R(m - k)) \right)}{E_{m-1}}$$

$$a_m(m) = k_m$$

$$a_m(k) = a_{m-1}(k) - k_m \cdot a_m(m - k) \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq m - 1,$$

$$E_m = (1 - k_m^2) \cdot E_{m-1}$$

This is the algorithm implemented in the MARF LPC module.

5.4.3.2 Usage for Feature Extraction

The LPC coefficients were evaluated at each windowed iteration, yielding a vector of coefficient of size p . These coefficients were averaged across the whole signal to give a mean coefficient vector representing the utterance. Thus a p sized vector was used for training and testing. The value of p chosen was based on tests given speed vs. accuracy. A p value of around 20 was observed to be accurate and computationally feasible.

5.4.4 F0: The Fundamental Frequency

Revision : 1.6

[WORK ON THIS SECTION IS IN PROGRESS AS WE PROCEED WITH F0 IMPLEMENTATION IN MARF]

F0, the fundamental frequency, or “pitch”.

Ian: “The text ([O’S00]) doesn’t go into too much detail but gives a few techniques. Most seem to involve another preprocessing to remove high frequencies and then some estimation and postprocessing correction. Another, more detailed source may be needed.”

Serguei: “One of the prerequisites we already have: the low-pass filter that does remove the high frequencies.”

5.4.5 Min/Max Amplitudes

Revision : 1.4

5.4.5.1 Description

The Min/Max Amplitudes extraction simply involves picking up X maximums and N minimums out of the sample as features. If the length of the sample is less than $X + N$, the difference is filled in with the middle element of the sample.

TODO: This feature extraction does not perform very well yet in any configuration because of the simplistic implementation: the sample amplitudes are sorted and N minimums and X maximums are picked up from both ends of the array. As the samples are usually large, the values in each group are really close if not identical making it hard for any of the classifiers to properly discriminate the subjects. The future improvements here will include attempts to pick up values in N and X distinct enough to be features and for the samples smaller than the $X + N$ sum, use increments of the difference of smallest maximum and largest minimum divided among missing elements in the middle instead one the same value filling that space in.

5.4.6 Feature Extraction Aggregation

Revision : 1.2

5.4.6.1 Description

This method appeared in MARF as of 0.3.0.5. This class by itself does not do any feature extraction, but instead allows concatenation of the results of several actual feature extractors to be combined in a single result. This should give the classification modules more discriminatory power (e.g. when combining the results of FFT and F0 together). `FeatureExtractionAggregator` itself still implements the `FeatureExtraction` API in order to be used in the main pipeline of MARF.

The aggregator expects `ModuleParams` to be set to the enumeration constants of a module to be invoked followed by that module's enclosed instance `ModuleParams`. As of this implementation, that enclosed instance of `ModuleParams` isn't really used, so the **main limitation** of the aggregator is that all the aggregated feature extractors act with their default settings. This will happen until the pipeline is re-designed a bit to include this capability.

The aggregator clones the incoming preprocessed sample for each feature extractor and runs each module in a separate thread. At the end, the results of each thread are collected in the same order as specified by the initial `ModuleParams` and returned as a concatenated feature vector. Some meta-information is available if needed.

5.4.6.2 Implementation

Class: `marf.FeatureExtraction.FeatureExtractionAggregator`.

5.4.7 Random Feature Extraction

By default given a window of size 256 samples, it picks at random a number from a Gaussian distribution, and multiplies by the incoming sample frequencies. This all adds up and we have a feature vector at the end. This should be the bottom line performance of all feature extraction methods. It can also be used as a relatively fast testing module.

5.5 Classification

Revision : 1.13

This section outlines classification methods of the MARF project. First, we present you with the API and overall structure, followed by the description of the methods. Overall structure of the modules is in Figure 5.10.

5.5.1 Chebyshev Distance

Chebyshev distance is used along with other distance classifiers for comparison. Chebyshev distance is also known as a city-block or Manhattan distance. Here's its mathematical representation:

$$d(x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^n (|x_k - y_k|)$$

where x and y are feature vectors of the same length n .

5.5.2 Euclidean Distance

The Euclidean Distance classifier uses an Euclidean distance equation to find the distance between two feature vectors.

If $A = (x_1, x_2)$ and $B = (y_1, y_2)$ are two 2-dimensional vectors, then the distance between A and B can be defined as the square root of the sum of the squares of their differences:

$$d(x, y) = \sqrt{(x_1 - y_1)^2 + (x_2 - y_2)^2}$$

This equation can be generalized to n -dimensional vectors by simply adding terms under the square root.

$$d(x, y) = \sqrt{(x_n - y_n)^2 + (x_{n-1} - y_{n-1})^2 + \dots + (x_1 - y_1)^2}$$

or

$$d(x, y) = \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^n (x_k - y_k)^2}$$

or

$$d(x, y) = \sqrt{(x - y)^T (x - y)}$$

A cluster is chosen based on smallest distance to the feature vector in question.




Figure 5.10: Classification

5.5.3 Minkowski Distance

Minkowski distance measurement is a generalization of both Euclidean and Chebyshev distances.

$$d(x, y) = \left(\sum_{k=1}^n (|x_k - y_k|)^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}$$

where r is a Minkowski factor. When $r = 1$, it becomes Chebyshev distance, and when $r = 2$, it is the Euclidean one. x and y are feature vectors of the same length n .

5.5.4 Mahalanobis Distance

Revision : 1.7

5.5.4.1 Summary

- Implementation: `marf.Classification.Distance.MahalanobisDistance`
- Depends on: `marf.Classification.Distance.Distance`
- Used by: `test`, `marf.MARF`, `SpeakerIdentApp`

5.5.4.2 Theory

This distance classification is meant to be able to detect features that tend to vary together in the same cluster if linear transformations are applied to them, so it becomes invariant from these transformations unlike all the other, previously seen distance classifiers.

$$d(x, y) = \sqrt{(x - y)C^{-1}(x - y)^T}$$

where x and y are feature vectors of the same length n , and C is a covariance matrix, learnt during training for co-related features.

In this release, namely 0.3.0-devel, the covariance matrix being an identity matrix, $C = I$, making Mahalanobis distance be the same as the Euclidean one. We need to complete the learning of the covariance matrix to complete this classifier.

5.5.5 Diff Distance

Revision : 1.2

5.5.5.1 Summary

- Implementation: `marf.Classification.Distance.DiffDistance`
- Depends on: `marf.Classification.Distance.Distance`
- Used by: `test`, `marf.MARF`, `SpeakerIdentApp`

5.5.5.2 Theory

When Serguei Mokhov invented this classifier in May 2005, the original idea was based on the way the `diff` UNIX utility works. Later, for performance enhancements it was modified. The essence of the `diff` distance is to count how one input vector is different from the other in terms of elements correspondence. If the Chebyshev distance between the two corresponding elements is greater than some error e , then this distance is accounted for plus some additional distance penalty p is added. Both factors e and p can vary depending on desired configuration. If the two elements are equal or pretty close (the difference is less than e) then a small “bonus” of e is subtracted from the distance.

$$d(x, y) = \sum_i |x_i - y_i| + p, \text{ if } |x_i - y_i| > e, \text{ or } (-e)$$

where x and y are feature vectors of the same length.

5.5.6 Artificial Neural Network

This section presents implementation of the Neural Network Classification module.

One method of classification used is an Artificial Neural Network. Such a network is meant to represent the neuronal organization in organisms. Its use as a classification method lies in the training of the network to output a certain value given a particular input [RN95].

5.5.6.1 Theory

A neuron consists of a set of inputs with associated weights, a threshold, an activation function ($f(x)$) and an output value. The output value will propagate to further neurons (as input values) in the case where the neuron is not part of the “output” layer of the network. The relation of the inputs to the activation function is as follows:

$$\text{output} \leftarrow f(\text{in})$$

where $\text{in} = \sum_{i=0}^n (w_i \cdot a_i) - t$, “vector” a is the input activations, “vector” w is the associated weights and t is the threshold of the network. The following activation function was used:

$$\text{sigmoid}(x; c) = \frac{1}{(1+e^{-cx})}$$

where c is a constant. The advantage of this function is that it is differentiable over the region $(-\infty, +\infty)$ and has derivative:

$$\frac{d(\text{sigmoid}(x;c))}{dx} = c \cdot \text{sigmoid}(x;c) \cdot (1 - \text{sigmoid}(x;c))$$

The structure of the network used was a Feed-Forward Neural Network. This implies that the neurons are organized in sets, representing layers, and that a neuron in layer j , has inputs from layer $j - 1$ and output to layer $j + 1$ only. This structure facilitates the evaluation and the training of a network. For instance, in the evaluation of a network on an input vector I , the output of neuron in the first layer is calculated, followed by the second layer, and so on.

5.5.6.2 Training

Training in a Feed-Forward Neural Network is done through the an algorithm called Back-Propagation Learning. It is based on the error of the final result of the network. The error the propagated backward throughout the network, based on the amount the neuron contributed to the error. It is defined as follows:

$$w_{i,j} \leftarrow \beta w_{i,j} + \alpha \cdot a_j \cdot \Delta_i$$

where

$$\Delta_i = Err_i \cdot \frac{df}{dx(in_i)} \text{ for neuron } i \text{ in the output layer}$$

and

$$\Delta_i = \frac{df}{dt(in_i)} \cdot \sum_{j=0}^n (\Delta_j) \text{ for neurons in other layers}$$

The parameters α and β are used to avoid local minima in the training optimization process. They weight the combination of the old weight with the addition of the new change. Usual values for these are determined experimentally.

The Back-Propagation training method was used in conjunction with epoch training. Given a set of training input vectors Tr , the Back-Propagation training is done on each run. However, the new weight vectors for each neuron, "vector" w' , are stored and not used. After all the inputs in Tr have been trained, the new weights are committed and a set of test input vectors Te , are run, and a mean error is calculated. This mean error determines whether to continue epoch training or not.

5.5.6.3 Usage as a Classifier

As a classifier, a Neural Network is used to map feature vectors to speaker identifiers. The neurons in the input layer correspond to each feature in the feature vector. The output of the network is the binary interpretation of the output layer. Therefore the Neural Network has an input layer of size m , where m is the size of all feature vectors and the output layer has size $\lceil (\log_2(n)) \rceil$, where n is the maximum speaker identifier.

A network of this structure is trained with the set of input vectors corresponding to the set of training samples for each speaker. The network is epoch trained to optimize the results. This fully trained network is then used for classification in the recognition process.

5.5.7 Random Classification

That might sound strange, but we have a random classifier in MARF. This is more or less testing module just to quickly test the PR pipeline. It picks an ID in the pseudo-random manner from the list of trained IDs of subjects to classification. It also serves as a bottom-line of performance (i.e. recognition rate) for all the other, slightly more sophisticated classification methods meaning performance of the aforementioned methods must be better than that of the Random; otherwise, there is a problem.

Chapter 6

Statistics Processing

Revision : 1.2

This chapter describes what kind of statistics processing is done in MARF in terms of statistics collection, estimators, and smoothing and their use.

TODO

6.1 Statistics Collection

TODO

6.2 Statistical Estimators and Smoothing

TODO

Chapter 7

Natural Language Processing (NLP)

Revision : 1.5

This chapter will describe the NLP facilities now present in MARF. This includes:

1. Probabilistic Parsing of English
2. Stemming
3. Collocations
4. Related N-gram Models, Zipf's Law and Maximum Probability Classifiers, Statistical Estimators and Smoothing.

Please for now refer the related applications in the Applications chapter in Chapter 11.

TODO

7.1 Zipf's Law

See Section 11.1.2.1.5.

TODO

7.2 Statistical N-gram Models

See Section 11.1.3.10.4.

TODO

7.3 Probabilistic Parsing

See Section 11.1.4.

TODO

7.4 Collocations

TODO

7.5 Stemming

TODO

Chapter 8

GUI

Revision : 1.6

[UPDATE ME]

Even though this section is entitled as GUI, we don't really have too much GUI yet (it's planned though, see TODO, E). We do have a couple of things under the `marf.gui` package, which we do occasionally use and eventually they will expand to be a real GUI classes. This tiny package is in Figure 8.1.

TODO

8.1 Spectrogram

Revision : 1.4

Sometimes it is useful to visualize the data we are playing with. One of the typical thing when dealing with sounds, specifically voice, people are interested in spectrograms of frequency distributions. The `Spectrogram` class was designed to handle that and produce spectrograms from both FFT and LPC algorithms and simply draw them. We did not manage to make it a true GUI component yet, but instead we made it to dump the spectrograms into PPM-format image files to be looked at using some graphical package. Two examples of such spectrograms are in the Appendix A.

We are just taking all the `Output[]` for the spectrogram. It's supposed to be only half ([O'S00]). We took a hamming window of the waveform at 1/2 intervals of 128 samples (ie: 8 kHz, 16 ms). By half intervals we mean that the second half of the window was the first half of the next. O'Shaughnessy in [O'S00] says this is a good way to use the window. Thus, any streaming of waveform must consider this.

What we did for both the FFT spectrogram and LPC determination was to multiply the signal by the window and do a `doFFT()` or a `doLPC()` coefficient determination on the resulting array of N windowed samples. This gave us an approximation of the stable signal at $s(i \cdot N/2)$. Or course, we will have to experiment with windows and see which one is better, but there may be no definitive best.




Figure 8.1: GUI Package

8.2 Wave Grapher

Revision : 1.4

WaveGrapher is another class designed, as the name suggests, to draw the wave form of the incoming/preprocessed signal. Well, it doesn't actually draw a thing, but dumps the sample points into a tab-delimited text file to be loaded into some plotting software, such as **gnuplot** or **Excel**. We also use it to produce graphs of the signal in the frequency domain instead of time domain. Examples of the graphs of data obtained via this class are in the Preprocessing Section (5.3).

Chapter 9

Sample Data and Experimentation

Revision : 1.21

9.1 Sample Data

Revision : 1.16

We have both female and male speakers, with age ranging from a college student to a University professor. The table 9.1 has a list of people who have contributed their voice samples for our project (with the first four being ourselves). We want to thank them once again for helping us out.

9.2 Comparison Setup

The main idea was to compare combinations (in MARF: *configurations*) of different methods and variations within them in terms of recognition rate performance. That means that having several preprocessing modules, several feature extraction modules, and several classification modules, we can (and did) try all their possible combinations.

That includes:

1. Preprocessing: No-filtering, normalization, low-pass, high-pass, band-pass, and high-frequency boost, high-pass and boost filters, and endpointing.
2. Feature Extraction: FFT/LPC/Min-Max/Random algorithms comparison.
3. Classification: Distance classifiers, such as Chebyshev, Euclidean, Minkowski, Mahalanobis, and Diff distances, as well as Neural Network and Random classification.

For this purpose we have written a **SpeakerIdentApp**, a command-line application (so far, but GUI is planned) for TI speaker identification. We ran it for every possible configuration with the following shell script, namely `testing.sh`:

ID	Name	Training Samples	Testing Samples
1	Serge	14	1
2	Ian	14	1
3	Steve	12	3
4	Jimmy	14	1
5	Dr. C.Y. Suen	2	1
6	Margarita Mokhova	14	1
7	Alexei Mokhov	14	1
8	Alexandr Mokhov	14	1
9	Graham Sinclair	12	2
10	Jihed Halimi	2	1
11	Madhumita Banerjee	3	1
13	Irina Dymova	3	1
14	Aihua Wu	14	1
15	Nick	9	1
16	Michelle Khalife	14	1
17	Shabana	7	1
18	Van Halen	8	1
19	RHCP	8	1
20	Talal Al-Khoury	14	1
21	Ke Gong	14	1
22	Emily Wu Rong	14	1
23	Emily Ying Lu	14	1
24	Shaozhen Fang	14	1
25	Chunlei He	14	1
26	Shuxin Fan	15	1
27	Shivani Bhat	14	1
Total	25	293	29

Table 9.1: Speakers contributed their voice samples.

```

#!/bin/tcsh -f

#
# Batch Processing of Training/Testing Samples
# NOTE: Make take quite some time to execute
#
# Copyright (C) 2002 - 2007 The MARF Research and Development Group
#
# $Header: /cvsroot/marf/apps/SpeakerIdentApp/testing.sh,v 1.43 2007/06/20 04:28:45 mokhov Exp $
#

#
# Set environment variables, if needed
#

setenv CLASSPATH ./marf.jar
setenv EXTDIRS

#
# Set flags to use in the batch execution
#

set java = 'java -ea -verify -Xmx512m'
#set debug = '-debug'
set debug = ''
set graph = ''
#set graph = '-graph'
#set spectrogram = '-spectrogram'
set spectrogram = ''

if($1 == '--reset') then
    echo "Resetting Stats..."
    $java SpeakerIdentApp --reset
    exit 0
endif

if($1 == '--retrain') then
    echo "Training..."

    # Always reset stats before retraining the whole thing
    $java SpeakerIdentApp --reset

    foreach preprep (" -silence)
        foreach prep (-norm -boost -low -high -band -highpassboost -raw -endp -lowcfe -highcfe -bandcfe -bandstopcfe)
            foreach feat (-fft -lpc -randfe -minmax -aggr)

                # Here we specify which classification modules to use for
                # training.
                #
                # NOTE: for most distance classifiers it's not important
                # which exactly it is, because the one of the generic Distance is used.
                # Exception from this rule is the Mahalanobis Distance, which needs
                # to learn its Covariance Matrix.

                foreach class (-cheb -mah -randcl -nn)
                    echo "Config: $preprep $prep $feat $class $spectrogram $graph $debug"
                    date

```

```

# XXX: We cannot cope gracefully right now with these combinations in the
# typical PC/JVM set up --- too many links in the fully-connected NNet,
# so can run out of memory quite often; hence, skip them for now.
if("${class}" == "-nn" && ("${feat}" == "-fft" || "${feat}" == "-randfe" || "${feat}" == "-aggr")) then
    echo "skipping..."
    continue
endif

time $java SpeakerIdentApp --train training-samples $preprep $prep $feat $class $spectrogram $graph $debug
end

end
end
end

endif

echo "Testing..."

foreach preprep (" -silence)
    foreach prep (-norm -boost -low -high -band -highpassboost -raw -endp -lowcfe -highcfe -bandcfe -bandstopcfe)
        foreach feat (-fft -lpc -randfe -minmax -aggr)
            foreach class (-eucl -cheb -mink -mah -diff -hamming -cos -randcl -nn)
                echo "======"
                echo "Config: $preprep $prep $feat $class $spectrogram $graph $debug"
                date
                echo "======"

# XXX: We cannot cope gracefully right now with these combinations in the
# typical PC/JVM set up --- too many links in the fully-connected NNet,
# so can run out of memory quite often; hence, skip them for now.
if("${class}" == "-nn" && ("${feat}" == "-fft" || "${feat}" == "-randfe" || "${feat}" == "-aggr")) then
    echo "skipping..."
    continue
endif

time $java SpeakerIdentApp --batch-ident testing-samples $preprep $prep $feat $class $spectrogram $graph $debug

echo "-----"
end
end
end

echo "Stats:"

$java SpeakerIdentApp --stats | tee stats.txt
$java SpeakerIdentApp --best-score | tee best-score.tex
date | tee stats-date.tex

echo "Testing Done"

exit 0

# EOF

```

The above script is for Linux/UNIX environments. To run a similar script from Windows, use `testing.bat` for classification and the `retrain` shortcut for re-training and classification. These have been completed during the development of the 0.3.0 series.

See the results section (10) for results analysis.

9.3 What Else Could/Should/Will Be Done

There is a lot more that we realistically could do, but due to lack of time, these things are not in yet. If you would like to contribute, let us know, meanwhile we'll keep working at our speed.

9.3.1 Combination of Feature Extraction Methods

For example, assuming we use a combination of LPC coefficients and F0 estimation, we could compare the results of different combinations of these, and discuss them later. Same with the Neural Nets (modifying number of layers and number of neurons, etc.).

We could also do a 1024 FFT analysis and compare it against a 128 FFT analysis. (That is, the size of the resulting feature vector would be 512 or 64 respectively). With LPC, one can specify the number of coefficients you want, the more you have the more precise the analysis will be.

9.3.2 Entire Recognition Path

The LPC module is used to generate a mean vector of LPC coefficients for the utterance. F0 is used to find the average fundamental frequency of the utterance. The results are concatenated to form the output vector, in a particular order. The classifier would take into account the weighting of the features: Neural Network would do so implicitly if it benefits the speaker matching, and stochastic can be modified to give more weight to the F0 or vice versa, depending on what we see best (i.e.: the covariance matrix in the Mahalanobis distance (5.5.4)).

9.3.3 More Methods

Things like F_0 , stochastic, and some other methods have not made to this release. More detailed on this aspect, please refer to the TODO list in the Appendix.

Chapter 10

Experimentation Results

Revision : 1.23

10.1 Notes

Before we get to numbers, few notes and observations first:

1. By increasing the number of samples our results got better; with few exceptions, however. This can be explained by the diversity of the recording equipment, a lot less than uniform number of samples per speaker, and absence of noise removal. All the samples were recorded in not the same environments. The results then start averaging after awhile.
2. Another observation we made from our output, is that when the speaker is guessed incorrectly, quite often the second guess is correct, so we included this in our results as if we were “guessing” right from the second attempt.
3. FUN. Interesting to note, that we also tried to take some samples of music bands, and feed it to our application along with the speakers, and application’s performance didn’t suffer, yet even improved because the samples were treated in the same manner. The groups were not mentioned in the table, so we name them here: Van Halen [8:1] and Red Hot Chili Peppers [10:1] (where numbers represent [training:testing] samples used).

10.2 SpeakerIdentApp's Options

Configuration parameters were extracted from the command line, which `SpeakerIdentApp` can be invoked with. They mean the following:

Usage:

```

java SpeakerIdentApp --train <samples-dir> [options]      -- train mode
                    --single-train <sample> [options]    -- add a single sample to the training set
                    --ident <sample> [options]          -- identification mode
                    --batch-ident <samples-dir> [options] -- batch identification mode
                    --gui                                -- use GUI as a user interface
                    --stats                              -- display stats
                    --best-score                        -- display best classification result
                    --reset                             -- reset stats
                    --version                           -- display version info
                    --help | -h                         -- display this help and exit

```

Options (one or more of the following):

Preprocessing:

```

-silence    - remove silence (can be combined with any below)
-noise      - remove noise (can be combined with any below)
-raw        - no preprocessing
-norm       - use just normalization, no filtering
-low        - use low-pass FFT filter
-high       - use high-pass FFT filter
-boost      - use high-frequency-boost FFT preprocessor
-band       - use band-pass FFT filter
-endp       - use endpointing
-lowcfe     - use low-pass CFE filter
-highcfe    - use high-pass CFE filter
-bandcfe    - use band-pass CFE filter
-bandstopcfe - use band-stop CFE filter

```

Feature Extraction:

```

-lpc        - use LPC
-fft        - use FFT
-minmax     - use Min/Max Amplitudes
-randfe     - use random feature extraction
-aggr       - use aggregated FFT+LPC feature extraction

```

Classification:

```

-nn         - use Neural Network
-cheb       - use Chebyshev Distance
-eucl       - use Euclidean Distance
-mink       - use Minkowski Distance
-diff       - use Diff-Distance
-randcl     - use random classification

```

Misc:

```

-debug      - include verbose debug output

```

-spectrogram - dump spectrogram image after feature extraction
-graph - dump wave graph before preprocessing and after feature extraction
<integer> - expected speaker ID

10.3 Consolidated Results

Our ultimate results ¹ for all configurations we can have and samples we've got are below. Looks like our best results are with “-endp -lpc -cheb”, “-raw -aggr -eucl”, “-norm -aggr -diff”, “-norm -aggr -cheb”, “-raw -aggr -mah”, “-raw -fft -mah”, “-raw -fft -eucl”, and “-norm -fft -diff” with the top result being around 82.76 % and the second-best is around 86.21 % (see Table 10.1).

¹authoritative as of Tue Jan 24 06:23:21 EST 2006

Guess	Run #	Configuration	GOOD	BAD	Recognition Rate,%
1st	1	-endp -lpc -cheb	24	5	82.76
1st	2	-raw -aggr -eucl	22	7	75.86
1st	3	-norm -aggr -diff	22	7	75.86
1st	4	-norm -aggr -cheb	22	7	75.86
1st	5	-raw -aggr -mah	22	7	75.86
1st	6	-raw -fft -mah	22	7	75.86
1st	7	-raw -fft -eucl	22	7	75.86
1st	8	-norm -fft -diff	22	7	75.86
1st	9	-norm -fft -cheb	21	8	72.41
1st	10	-raw -aggr -cheb	21	8	72.41
1st	11	-endp -lpc -mah	21	8	72.41
1st	12	-endp -lpc -eucl	21	8	72.41
1st	13	-raw -fft -mink	21	8	72.41
1st	14	-norm -fft -mah	21	8	72.41
1st	15	-norm -fft -eucl	21	8	72.41
1st	16	-norm -aggr -eucl	20	9	68.97
1st	17	-low -aggr -diff	20	9	68.97
1st	18	-norm -aggr -mah	20	9	68.97
1st	19	-raw -fft -cheb	20	9	68.97
1st	20	-raw -aggr -mink	20	9	68.97
1st	21	-norm -aggr -mink	19	10	65.52
1st	22	-low -fft -cheb	19	10	65.52
1st	23	-raw -lpc -mink	19	10	65.52
1st	24	-raw -lpc -diff	19	10	65.52
1st	25	-raw -lpc -eucl	19	10	65.52
1st	26	-raw -lpc -mah	19	10	65.52
1st	27	-raw -lpc -cheb	19	10	65.52
1st	28	-low -aggr -eucl	19	10	65.52
1st	29	-norm -lpc -mah	19	10	65.52

Table 10.1: Consolidated results, Part 1.

Guess	Run #	Configuration	GOOD	BAD	Recognition Rate,%
1st	30	-norm -lpc -mink	19	10	65.52
1st	31	-norm -lpc -diff	19	10	65.52
1st	32	-norm -lpc -eucl	19	10	65.52
1st	33	-low -aggr -cheb	19	10	65.52
1st	34	-norm -lpc -cheb	19	10	65.52
1st	35	-low -aggr -mah	19	10	65.52
1st	36	-low -fft -mah	19	10	65.52
1st	37	-norm -fft -mink	19	10	65.52
1st	38	-low -fft -diff	19	10	65.52
1st	39	-low -fft -eucl	19	10	65.52
1st	40	-raw -aggr -diff	19	10	65.52
1st	41	-high -aggr -mink	18	11	62.07
1st	42	-high -aggr -eucl	18	11	62.07
1st	43	-endp -lpc -mink	18	11	62.07
1st	44	-high -aggr -mah	18	11	62.07
1st	45	-raw -fft -diff	18	11	62.07
1st	46	-high -aggr -cheb	17	12	58.62
1st	47	-low -aggr -mink	17	12	58.62
1st	48	-low -fft -mink	17	12	58.62
1st	49	-high -fft -cheb	16	13	55.17
1st	50	-high -fft -mah	16	13	55.17
1st	51	-low -lpc -cheb	16	13	55.17
1st	52	-high -fft -mink	16	13	55.17
1st	53	-high -fft -eucl	16	13	55.17
1st	54	-low -lpc -eucl	15	14	51.72
1st	55	-low -lpc -mah	15	14	51.72
1st	56	-low -lpc -mink	14	15	48.28
1st	57	-low -lpc -diff	14	15	48.28
1st	58	-high -lpc -cheb	14	15	48.28
1st	59	-raw -lpc -nm	14	15	48.28

Table 10.2: Consolidated results, Part 2.

Guess	Run #	Configuration	GOOD	BAD	Recognition Rate,%
1st	60	-band -aggr -diff	13	16	44.83
1st	61	-norm -lpc -nn	13	16	44.83
1st	62	-band -fft -diff	13	16	44.83
1st	63	-high -lpc -eucl	12	17	41.38
1st	64	-high -aggr -diff	12	17	41.38
1st	65	-endp -fft -diff	12	17	41.38
1st	66	-endp -fft -eucl	12	17	41.38
1st	67	-band -lpc -mink	12	17	41.38
1st	68	-band -lpc -mah	12	17	41.38
1st	69	-band -lpc -eucl	12	17	41.38
1st	70	-endp -fft -cheb	12	17	41.38
1st	71	-band -lpc -cheb	12	17	41.38
1st	72	-endp -fft -mah	12	17	41.38
1st	73	-high -lpc -mah	12	17	41.38
1st	74	-endp -aggr -diff	12	17	41.38
1st	75	-endp -aggr -eucl	12	17	41.38
1st	76	-endp -aggr -mah	12	17	41.38
1st	77	-endp -aggr -cheb	12	17	41.38
1st	78	-high -lpc -diff	11	18	37.93
1st	79	-band -aggr -eucl	11	18	37.93
1st	80	-endp -fft -mink	11	18	37.93
1st	81	-band -aggr -cheb	11	18	37.93
1st	82	-band -lpc -diff	11	18	37.93
1st	83	-band -aggr -mah	11	18	37.93
1st	84	-band -fft -mah	11	18	37.93
1st	85	-band -fft -eucl	11	18	37.93
1st	86	-endp -aggr -mink	11	18	37.93
1st	87	-high -fft -diff	11	18	37.93
1st	88	-high -lpc -mink	10	19	34.48
1st	89	-raw -minmax -mink	10	19	34.48

Table 10.3: Consolidated results, Part 3.

Guess	Run #	Configuration	GOOD	BAD	Recognition Rate,%
1st	90	-raw -minmax -eucl	10	19	34.48
1st	91	-band -aggr -mink	10	19	34.48
1st	92	-raw -minmax -mah	10	19	34.48
1st	93	-endp -minmax -eucl	10	19	34.48
1st	94	-endp -minmax -cheb	10	19	34.48
1st	95	-endp -minmax -mah	10	19	34.48
1st	96	-band -fft -cheb	10	19	34.48
1st	97	-raw -minmax -cheb	9	20	31.03
1st	98	-endp -lpc -nn	9	20	31.03
1st	99	-endp -lpc -diff	9	20	31.03
1st	100	-endp -minmax -mink	8	21	27.59
1st	101	-endp -randfe -diff	7	22	24.14
1st	102	-endp -randfe -cheb	7	22	24.14
1st	103	-endp -minmax -diff	7	22	24.14
1st	104	-endp -minmax -nn	7	22	24.14
1st	105	-band -fft -mink	7	22	24.14
1st	106	-norm -randfe -eucl	6	23	20.69
1st	107	-raw -minmax -diff	6	23	20.69
1st	108	-endp -randfe -eucl	6	23	20.69
1st	109	-endp -randfe -mah	6	23	20.69
1st	110	-low -randfe -mink	6	23	20.69
1st	111	-norm -randfe -mah	6	23	20.69
1st	112	-norm -minmax -eucl	6	23	20.69
1st	113	-norm -minmax -cheb	6	23	20.69
1st	114	-low -minmax -mink	6	23	20.69
1st	115	-norm -minmax -mah	6	23	20.69
1st	116	-norm -randfe -mink	6	23	20.69
1st	117	-endp -randfe -mink	5	24	17.24
1st	118	-low -minmax -mah	5	24	17.24
1st	119	-low -randfe -eucl	5	24	17.24

Table 10.4: Consolidated results, Part 4.

Guess	Run #	Configuration	GOOD	BAD	Recognition Rate,%
1st	120	-high -minmax -mah	5	24	17.24
1st	121	-raw -randfe -mink	5	24	17.24
1st	122	-low -randfe -mah	5	24	17.24
1st	123	-low -minmax -diff	5	24	17.24
1st	124	-high -minmax -diff	5	24	17.24
1st	125	-high -minmax -eucl	5	24	17.24
1st	126	-low -minmax -eucl	5	24	17.24
1st	127	-low -minmax -cheb	5	24	17.24
1st	128	-norm -randfe -diff	4	25	13.79
1st	129	-norm -randfe -cheb	4	25	13.79
1st	130	-high -randfe -mink	4	25	13.79
1st	131	-low -randfe -diff	4	25	13.79
1st	132	-high -randfe -diff	4	25	13.79
1st	133	-high -randfe -eucl	4	25	13.79
1st	134	-high -randfe -cheb	4	25	13.79
1st	135	-low -randfe -cheb	4	25	13.79
1st	136	-norm -minmax -mink	4	25	13.79
1st	137	-raw -randfe -eucl	4	25	13.79
1st	138	-band -lpc -nn	4	25	13.79
1st	139	-raw -randfe -mah	4	25	13.79
1st	140	-high -minmax -mink	4	25	13.79
1st	141	-raw -minmax -nn	4	25	13.79
1st	142	-high -randfe -mah	4	25	13.79
1st	143	-high -minmax -cheb	4	25	13.79
1st	144	-high -minmax -nn	4	25	13.79
1st	145	-endp -randfe -randcl	4	25	13.79
1st	146	-band -minmax -mink	3	26	10.34
1st	147	-band -minmax -diff	3	26	10.34
1st	148	-band -minmax -eucl	3	26	10.34
1st	149	-band -minmax -mah	3	26	10.34

Table 10.5: Consolidated results, Part 5.

Guess	Run #	Configuration	GOOD	BAD	Recognition Rate,%
1st	150	-raw -minmax -randcl	3	26	10.34
1st	151	-band -minmax -cheb	3	26	10.34
1st	152	-low -lpc -nn	3	26	10.34
1st	153	-raw -randfe -diff	3	26	10.34
1st	154	-norm -minmax -diff	3	26	10.34
1st	155	-boost -lpc -randcl	3	26	10.34
1st	156	-raw -randfe -cheb	3	26	10.34
1st	157	-boost -minmax -nn	3	26	10.34
1st	158	-highpassboost -lpc -nn	3	26	10.34
1st	159	-norm -minmax -nn	3	26	10.34
1st	160	-highpassboost -minmax -nn	3	26	10.34
1st	161	-boost -minmax -randcl	3	26	10.34
1st	162	-boost -lpc -nn	3	26	10.34
1st	163	-raw -aggr -randcl	2	27	6.90
1st	164	-band -randfe -mah	2	27	6.90
1st	165	-highpassboost -lpc -randcl	2	27	6.90
1st	166	-band -randfe -diff	2	27	6.90
1st	167	-band -randfe -eucl	2	27	6.90
1st	168	-low -minmax -nn	2	27	6.90
1st	169	-boost -randfe -randcl	2	27	6.90
1st	170	-band -randfe -cheb	2	27	6.90
1st	171	-raw -lpc -randcl	2	27	6.90
1st	172	-highpassboost -aggr -randcl	2	27	6.90
1st	173	-boost -fft -randcl	2	27	6.90
1st	174	-highpassboost -minmax -diff	1	28	3.45
1st	175	-boost -randfe -eucl	1	28	3.45
1st	176	-highpassboost -minmax -eucl	1	28	3.45
1st	177	-boost -lpc -mink	1	28	3.45
1st	178	-boost -lpc -diff	1	28	3.45
1st	179	-boost -fft -mah	1	28	3.45

Table 10.6: Consolidated results, Part 6.

Guess	Run #	Configuration	GOOD	BAD	Recognition Rate,%
1st	180	-boost -lpc -eucl	1	28	3.45
1st	181	-low -fft -randcl	1	28	3.45
1st	182	-low -minmax -randcl	1	28	3.45
1st	183	-boost -minmax -mah	1	28	3.45
1st	184	-highpassboost -minmax -mah	1	28	3.45
1st	185	-boost -randfe -cheb	1	28	3.45
1st	186	-high -randfe -randcl	1	28	3.45
1st	187	-highpassboost -minmax -cheb	1	28	3.45
1st	188	-highpassboost -fft -mah	1	28	3.45
1st	189	-boost -lpc -cheb	1	28	3.45
1st	190	-boost -aggr -mah	1	28	3.45
1st	191	-highpassboost -lpc -mink	1	28	3.45
1st	192	-highpassboost -lpc -diff	1	28	3.45
1st	193	-endp -lpc -randcl	1	28	3.45
1st	194	-highpassboost -lpc -eucl	1	28	3.45
1st	195	-high -minmax -randcl	1	28	3.45
1st	196	-highpassboost -lpc -cheb	1	28	3.45
1st	197	-norm -fft -randcl	1	28	3.45
1st	198	-band -aggr -randcl	1	28	3.45
1st	199	-low -randfe -randcl	1	28	3.45
1st	200	-boost -aggr -mink	1	28	3.45
1st	201	-boost -aggr -diff	1	28	3.45
1st	202	-endp -aggr -randcl	1	28	3.45
1st	203	-boost -aggr -eucl	1	28	3.45
1st	204	-boost -fft -mink	1	28	3.45
1st	205	-boost -randfe -mah	1	28	3.45
1st	206	-boost -fft -diff	1	28	3.45
1st	207	-boost -fft -eucl	1	28	3.45
1st	208	-highpassboost -randfe -mink	1	28	3.45
1st	209	-highpassboost -randfe -diff	1	28	3.45

Table 10.7: Consolidated results, Part 7.

Guess	Run #	Configuration	GOOD	BAD	Recognition Rate,%
1st	210	-boost -minmax -mink	1	28	3.45
1st	211	-boost -minmax -diff	1	28	3.45
1st	212	-highpassboost -randfe -eucl	1	28	3.45
1st	213	-boost -minmax -eucl	1	28	3.45
1st	214	-low -aggr -randcl	1	28	3.45
1st	215	-band -fft -randcl	1	28	3.45
1st	216	-boost -aggr -cheb	1	28	3.45
1st	217	-band -randfe -randcl	1	28	3.45
1st	218	-boost -fft -cheb	1	28	3.45
1st	219	-highpassboost -aggr -mink	1	28	3.45
1st	220	-highpassboost -aggr -diff	1	28	3.45
1st	221	-highpassboost -fft -mink	1	28	3.45
1st	222	-endp -minmax -randcl	1	28	3.45
1st	223	-highpassboost -fft -diff	1	28	3.45
1st	224	-highpassboost -aggr -eucl	1	28	3.45
1st	225	-highpassboost -randfe -cheb	1	28	3.45
1st	226	-high -lpc -nn	1	28	3.45
1st	227	-boost -minmax -cheb	1	28	3.45
1st	228	-highpassboost -fft -eucl	1	28	3.45
1st	229	-boost -lpc -mah	1	28	3.45
1st	230	-norm -randfe -randcl	1	28	3.45
1st	231	-highpassboost -aggr -cheb	1	28	3.45
1st	232	-highpassboost -fft -cheb	1	28	3.45
1st	233	-band -minmax -randcl	1	28	3.45
1st	234	-boost -aggr -randcl	1	28	3.45
1st	235	-highpassboost -lpc -mah	1	28	3.45
1st	236	-highpassboost -aggr -mah	1	28	3.45
1st	237	-high -lpc -randcl	1	28	3.45
1st	238	-highpassboost -randfe -mah	1	28	3.45
1st	239	-boost -randfe -mink	1	28	3.45

Table 10.8: Consolidated results, Part 8.

Guess	Run #	Configuration	GOOD	BAD	Recognition Rate,%
1st	240	-boost -randfe -diff	1	28	3.45
1st	241	-highpassboost -minmax -mink	1	28	3.45
1st	242	-raw -randfe -randcl	0	29	0.00
1st	243	-highpassboost -fft -randcl	0	29	0.00
1st	244	-band -lpc -randcl	0	29	0.00
1st	245	-endp -fft -randcl	0	29	0.00
1st	246	-raw -fft -randcl	0	29	0.00
1st	247	-norm -lpc -randcl	0	29	0.00
1st	248	-highpassboost -randfe -randcl	0	29	0.00
1st	249	-high -aggr -randcl	0	29	0.00
1st	250	-band -randfe -mink	0	29	0.00
1st	251	-low -lpc -randcl	0	29	0.00
1st	252	-highpassboost -minmax -randcl	0	29	0.00
1st	253	-norm -aggr -randcl	0	29	0.00
1st	254	-high -fft -randcl	0	29	0.00
1st	255	-band -minmax -nn	0	29	0.00
1st	256	-norm -minmax -randcl	0	29	0.00
2nd	1	-endp -lpc -cheb	24	5	82.76
2nd	2	-raw -aggr -eucl	24	5	82.76
2nd	3	-norm -aggr -diff	24	5	82.76
2nd	4	-norm -aggr -cheb	24	5	82.76
2nd	5	-raw -aggr -mah	24	5	82.76
2nd	6	-raw -fft -mah	24	5	82.76
2nd	7	-raw -fft -eucl	24	5	82.76
2nd	8	-norm -fft -diff	24	5	82.76
2nd	9	-norm -fft -cheb	24	5	82.76
2nd	10	-raw -aggr -cheb	22	7	75.86
2nd	11	-endp -lpc -mah	22	7	75.86
2nd	12	-endp -lpc -eucl	22	7	75.86
2nd	13	-raw -fft -mink	25	4	86.21

Table 10.9: Consolidated results, Part 9.

Guess	Run #	Configuration	GOOD	BAD	Recognition Rate,%
2nd	14	-norm -fft -mah	24	5	82.76
2nd	15	-norm -fft -eucl	24	5	82.76
2nd	16	-norm -aggr -eucl	24	5	82.76
2nd	17	-low -aggr -diff	21	8	72.41
2nd	18	-norm -aggr -mah	24	5	82.76
2nd	19	-raw -fft -cheb	22	7	75.86
2nd	20	-raw -aggr -mink	25	4	86.21
2nd	21	-norm -aggr -mink	24	5	82.76
2nd	22	-low -fft -cheb	22	7	75.86
2nd	23	-raw -lpc -mink	23	6	79.31
2nd	24	-raw -lpc -diff	23	6	79.31
2nd	25	-raw -lpc -eucl	23	6	79.31
2nd	26	-raw -lpc -mah	23	6	79.31
2nd	27	-raw -lpc -cheb	23	6	79.31
2nd	28	-low -aggr -eucl	23	6	79.31
2nd	29	-norm -lpc -mah	23	6	79.31
2nd	30	-norm -lpc -mink	23	6	79.31
2nd	31	-norm -lpc -diff	23	6	79.31
2nd	32	-norm -lpc -eucl	23	6	79.31
2nd	33	-low -aggr -cheb	22	7	75.86
2nd	34	-norm -lpc -cheb	23	6	79.31
2nd	35	-low -aggr -mah	23	6	79.31
2nd	36	-low -fft -mah	23	6	79.31
2nd	37	-norm -fft -mink	24	5	82.76
2nd	38	-low -fft -diff	21	8	72.41
2nd	39	-low -fft -eucl	23	6	79.31
2nd	40	-raw -aggr -diff	22	7	75.86
2nd	41	-high -aggr -mink	21	8	72.41
2nd	42	-high -aggr -eucl	21	8	72.41
2nd	43	-endp -lpc -mink	20	9	68.97

Table 10.10: Consolidated results, Part 10.

Guess	Run #	Configuration	GOOD	BAD	Recognition Rate,%
2nd	44	-high -aggr -mah	21	8	72.41
2nd	45	-raw -fft -diff	22	7	75.86
2nd	46	-high -aggr -cheb	20	9	68.97
2nd	47	-low -aggr -mink	24	5	82.76
2nd	48	-low -fft -mink	24	5	82.76
2nd	49	-high -fft -cheb	20	9	68.97
2nd	50	-high -fft -mah	21	8	72.41
2nd	51	-low -lpc -cheb	22	7	75.86
2nd	52	-high -fft -mink	19	10	65.52
2nd	53	-high -fft -eucl	21	8	72.41
2nd	54	-low -lpc -eucl	20	9	68.97
2nd	55	-low -lpc -mah	20	9	68.97
2nd	56	-low -lpc -mink	18	11	62.07
2nd	57	-low -lpc -diff	21	8	72.41
2nd	58	-high -lpc -cheb	19	10	65.52
2nd	59	-raw -lpc -nn	14	15	48.28
2nd	60	-band -aggr -diff	14	15	48.28
2nd	61	-norm -lpc -nn	15	14	51.72
2nd	62	-band -fft -diff	14	15	48.28
2nd	63	-high -lpc -eucl	17	12	58.62
2nd	64	-high -aggr -diff	18	11	62.07
2nd	65	-endp -fft -diff	17	12	58.62
2nd	66	-endp -fft -eucl	16	13	55.17
2nd	67	-band -lpc -mink	16	13	55.17
2nd	68	-band -lpc -mah	16	13	55.17
2nd	69	-band -lpc -eucl	16	13	55.17
2nd	70	-endp -fft -cheb	17	12	58.62
2nd	71	-band -lpc -cheb	17	12	58.62
2nd	72	-endp -fft -mah	16	13	55.17
2nd	73	-high -lpc -mah	17	12	58.62

Table 10.11: Consolidated results, Part 11.

Guess	Run #	Configuration	GOOD	BAD	Recognition Rate,%
2nd	74	-endp -aggr -diff	18	11	62.07
2nd	75	-endp -aggr -eucl	17	12	58.62
2nd	76	-endp -aggr -mah	17	12	58.62
2nd	77	-endp -aggr -cheb	18	11	62.07
2nd	78	-high -lpc -diff	18	11	62.07
2nd	79	-band -aggr -eucl	15	14	51.72
2nd	80	-endp -fft -mink	14	15	48.28
2nd	81	-band -aggr -cheb	14	15	48.28
2nd	82	-band -lpc -diff	17	12	58.62
2nd	83	-band -aggr -mah	15	14	51.72
2nd	84	-band -fft -mah	15	14	51.72
2nd	85	-band -fft -eucl	15	14	51.72
2nd	86	-endp -aggr -mink	14	15	48.28
2nd	87	-high -fft -diff	18	11	62.07
2nd	88	-high -lpc -mink	14	15	48.28
2nd	89	-raw -minmax -mink	12	17	41.38
2nd	90	-raw -minmax -eucl	12	17	41.38
2nd	91	-band -aggr -mink	13	16	44.83
2nd	92	-raw -minmax -mah	12	17	41.38
2nd	93	-endp -minmax -eucl	12	17	41.38
2nd	94	-endp -minmax -cheb	12	17	41.38
2nd	95	-endp -minmax -mah	12	17	41.38
2nd	96	-band -fft -cheb	14	15	48.28
2nd	97	-raw -minmax -cheb	11	18	37.93
2nd	98	-endp -lpc -nn	12	17	41.38
2nd	99	-endp -lpc -diff	19	10	65.52
2nd	100	-endp -minmax -mink	12	17	41.38
2nd	101	-endp -randfe -diff	8	21	27.59
2nd	102	-endp -randfe -cheb	8	21	27.59
2nd	103	-endp -minmax -diff	12	17	41.38

Table 10.12: Consolidated results, Part 12.

Guess	Run #	Configuration	GOOD	BAD	Recognition Rate,%
2nd	104	-endp -minmax -nn	7	22	24.14
2nd	105	-band -fft -mink	13	16	44.83
2nd	106	-norm -randfe -eucl	10	19	34.48
2nd	107	-raw -minmax -diff	10	19	34.48
2nd	108	-endp -randfe -eucl	9	20	31.03
2nd	109	-endp -randfe -mah	9	20	31.03
2nd	110	-low -randfe -mink	9	20	31.03
2nd	111	-norm -randfe -mah	10	19	34.48
2nd	112	-norm -minmax -eucl	9	20	31.03
2nd	113	-norm -minmax -cheb	10	19	34.48
2nd	114	-low -minmax -mink	8	21	27.59
2nd	115	-norm -minmax -mah	9	20	31.03
2nd	116	-norm -randfe -mink	10	19	34.48
2nd	117	-endp -randfe -mink	8	21	27.59
2nd	118	-low -minmax -mah	6	23	20.69
2nd	119	-low -randfe -eucl	9	20	31.03
2nd	120	-high -minmax -mah	6	23	20.69
2nd	121	-raw -randfe -mink	9	20	31.03
2nd	122	-low -randfe -mah	9	20	31.03
2nd	123	-low -minmax -diff	6	23	20.69
2nd	124	-high -minmax -diff	6	23	20.69
2nd	125	-high -minmax -eucl	6	23	20.69
2nd	126	-low -minmax -eucl	6	23	20.69
2nd	127	-low -minmax -cheb	6	23	20.69
2nd	128	-norm -randfe -diff	10	19	34.48
2nd	129	-norm -randfe -cheb	10	19	34.48
2nd	130	-high -randfe -mink	5	24	17.24
2nd	131	-low -randfe -diff	9	20	31.03
2nd	132	-high -randfe -diff	7	22	24.14
2nd	133	-high -randfe -eucl	6	23	20.69

Table 10.13: Consolidated results, Part 13.

Guess	Run #	Configuration	GOOD	BAD	Recognition Rate,%
2nd	134	-high -randfe -cheb	7	22	24.14
2nd	135	-low -randfe -cheb	9	20	31.03
2nd	136	-norm -minmax -mink	10	19	34.48
2nd	137	-raw -randfe -eucl	8	21	27.59
2nd	138	-band -lpc -nn	4	25	13.79
2nd	139	-raw -randfe -mah	8	21	27.59
2nd	140	-high -minmax -mink	6	23	20.69
2nd	141	-raw -minmax -nn	4	25	13.79
2nd	142	-high -randfe -mah	6	23	20.69
2nd	143	-high -minmax -cheb	5	24	17.24
2nd	144	-high -minmax -nn	4	25	13.79
2nd	145	-endp -randfe -randcl	6	23	20.69
2nd	146	-band -minmax -mink	5	24	17.24
2nd	147	-band -minmax -diff	5	24	17.24
2nd	148	-band -minmax -eucl	5	24	17.24
2nd	149	-band -minmax -mah	5	24	17.24
2nd	150	-raw -minmax -randcl	3	26	10.34
2nd	151	-band -minmax -cheb	4	25	13.79
2nd	152	-low -lpc -nn	4	25	13.79
2nd	153	-raw -randfe -diff	6	23	20.69
2nd	154	-norm -minmax -diff	9	20	31.03
2nd	155	-boost -lpc -randcl	3	26	10.34
2nd	156	-raw -randfe -cheb	6	23	20.69
2nd	157	-boost -minmax -nn	4	25	13.79
2nd	158	-highpassboost -lpc -nn	4	25	13.79
2nd	159	-norm -minmax -nn	3	26	10.34
2nd	160	-highpassboost -minmax -nn	4	25	13.79
2nd	161	-boost -minmax -randcl	5	24	17.24
2nd	162	-boost -lpc -nn	4	25	13.79
2nd	163	-raw -aggr -randcl	4	25	13.79

Table 10.14: Consolidated results, Part 14.

Guess	Run #	Configuration	GOOD	BAD	Recognition Rate,%
2nd	164	-band -randfe -mah	5	24	17.24
2nd	165	-highpassboost -lpc -randcl	2	27	6.90
2nd	166	-band -randfe -diff	4	25	13.79
2nd	167	-band -randfe -eucl	5	24	17.24
2nd	168	-low -minmax -nn	3	26	10.34
2nd	169	-boost -randfe -randcl	3	26	10.34
2nd	170	-band -randfe -cheb	4	25	13.79
2nd	171	-raw -lpc -randcl	3	26	10.34
2nd	172	-highpassboost -aggr -randcl	2	27	6.90
2nd	173	-boost -fft -randcl	3	26	10.34
2nd	174	-highpassboost -minmax -diff	2	27	6.90
2nd	175	-boost -randfe -eucl	2	27	6.90
2nd	176	-highpassboost -minmax -eucl	2	27	6.90
2nd	177	-boost -lpc -mink	2	27	6.90
2nd	178	-boost -lpc -diff	2	27	6.90
2nd	179	-boost -fft -mah	2	27	6.90
2nd	180	-boost -lpc -eucl	2	27	6.90
2nd	181	-low -fft -randcl	3	26	10.34
2nd	182	-low -minmax -randcl	2	27	6.90
2nd	183	-boost -minmax -mah	2	27	6.90
2nd	184	-highpassboost -minmax -mah	2	27	6.90
2nd	185	-boost -randfe -cheb	2	27	6.90
2nd	186	-high -randfe -randcl	2	27	6.90
2nd	187	-highpassboost -minmax -cheb	2	27	6.90
2nd	188	-highpassboost -fft -mah	2	27	6.90
2nd	189	-boost -lpc -cheb	2	27	6.90
2nd	190	-boost -aggr -mah	2	27	6.90
2nd	191	-highpassboost -lpc -mink	2	27	6.90
2nd	192	-highpassboost -lpc -diff	2	27	6.90
2nd	193	-endp -lpc -randcl	1	28	3.45

Table 10.15: Consolidated results, Part 15.

Guess	Run #	Configuration	GOOD	BAD	Recognition Rate,%
2nd	194	-highpassboost -lpc -eucl	2	27	6.90
2nd	195	-high -minmax -randcl	1	28	3.45
2nd	196	-highpassboost -lpc -cheb	2	27	6.90
2nd	197	-norm -fft -randcl	3	26	10.34
2nd	198	-band -aggr -randcl	1	28	3.45
2nd	199	-low -randfe -randcl	1	28	3.45
2nd	200	-boost -aggr -mink	2	27	6.90
2nd	201	-boost -aggr -diff	2	27	6.90
2nd	202	-endp -aggr -randcl	3	26	10.34
2nd	203	-boost -aggr -eucl	2	27	6.90
2nd	204	-boost -fft -mink	2	27	6.90
2nd	205	-boost -randfe -mah	2	27	6.90
2nd	206	-boost -fft -diff	2	27	6.90
2nd	207	-boost -fft -eucl	2	27	6.90
2nd	208	-highpassboost -randfe -mink	2	27	6.90
2nd	209	-highpassboost -randfe -diff	2	27	6.90
2nd	210	-boost -minmax -mink	2	27	6.90
2nd	211	-boost -minmax -diff	2	27	6.90
2nd	212	-highpassboost -randfe -eucl	2	27	6.90
2nd	213	-boost -minmax -eucl	2	27	6.90
2nd	214	-low -aggr -randcl	2	27	6.90
2nd	215	-band -fft -randcl	2	27	6.90
2nd	216	-boost -aggr -cheb	2	27	6.90
2nd	217	-band -randfe -randcl	2	27	6.90
2nd	218	-boost -fft -cheb	2	27	6.90
2nd	219	-highpassboost -aggr -mink	2	27	6.90
2nd	220	-highpassboost -aggr -diff	2	27	6.90
2nd	221	-highpassboost -fft -mink	2	27	6.90
2nd	222	-endp -minmax -randcl	2	27	6.90
2nd	223	-highpassboost -fft -diff	2	27	6.90

Table 10.16: Consolidated results, Part 16.

Guess	Run #	Configuration	GOOD	BAD	Recognition Rate,%
2nd	224	-highpassboost -aggr -eucl	2	27	6.90
2nd	225	-highpassboost -randfe -cheb	2	27	6.90
2nd	226	-high -lpc -nn	2	27	6.90
2nd	227	-boost -minmax -cheb	2	27	6.90
2nd	228	-highpassboost -fft -eucl	2	27	6.90
2nd	229	-boost -lpc -mah	2	27	6.90
2nd	230	-norm -randfe -randcl	1	28	3.45
2nd	231	-highpassboost -aggr -cheb	2	27	6.90
2nd	232	-highpassboost -fft -cheb	2	27	6.90
2nd	233	-band -minmax -randcl	2	27	6.90
2nd	234	-boost -aggr -randcl	2	27	6.90
2nd	235	-highpassboost -lpc -mah	2	27	6.90
2nd	236	-highpassboost -aggr -mah	2	27	6.90
2nd	237	-high -lpc -randcl	1	28	3.45
2nd	238	-highpassboost -randfe -mah	2	27	6.90
2nd	239	-boost -randfe -mink	2	27	6.90
2nd	240	-boost -randfe -diff	2	27	6.90
2nd	241	-highpassboost -minmax -mink	2	27	6.90
2nd	242	-raw -randfe -randcl	0	29	0.00
2nd	243	-highpassboost -fft -randcl	1	28	3.45
2nd	244	-band -lpc -randcl	0	29	0.00
2nd	245	-endp -fft -randcl	0	29	0.00
2nd	246	-raw -fft -randcl	2	27	6.90
2nd	247	-norm -lpc -randcl	1	28	3.45
2nd	248	-highpassboost -randfe -randcl	1	28	3.45
2nd	249	-high -aggr -randcl	0	29	0.00
2nd	250	-band -randfe -mink	1	28	3.45
2nd	251	-low -lpc -randcl	2	27	6.90
2nd	252	-highpassboost -minmax -randcl	2	27	6.90
2nd	253	-norm -aggr -randcl	1	28	3.45

Table 10.17: Consolidated results, Part 17.

Guess	Run #	Configuration	GOOD	BAD	Recognition Rate,%
2nd	254	-high -fft -randcl	3	26	10.34
2nd	255	-band -minmax -nn	1	28	3.45
2nd	256	-norm -minmax -randcl	1	28	3.45

Table 10.18: Consolidated results, Part 18.

Chapter 11

Applications

Revision : 1.18

This chapter describes the applications that employ MARF for one purpose or another. Most of them are our own applications that demonstrate how to use various MARF's features. Others are either research or internal projects of their own. If you use MARF or its derivative in your application and would like to be listed here, please let us know at `marf-devel@sf.lists.net`.

11.1 MARF Research Applications

11.1.1 SpeakerIdentApp - Text-Independent Speaker Identification Application

`SpeakerIdentApp` is an application for text-independent speaker identification that exercises the most of the MARF's features. `SpeakerIdentApp` is broadly presented through the rest of this manual.

11.1.2 Zipf's Law Application

Revision : 1.16

Originally written on February 7, 2003.

11.1.2.0.1 The Program

11.1.2.0.2 Data Structures The main statistics "place-holder" is a `oStats Hashtable`, nicely provided by Java, which hashes by words as keys to other data structures, called `WordStats`. The `WordStats` data structure contains word's spelling, called lexeme (borrowed this term from compiler design), word's frequency (initially 0), and word's rank (initially -1 , i.e. `unset`). `WordStats` provides a typical variety of methods to access and alter any of those three values. There is an array, called `oSortedStatRefs`, which will eventually hold references to `WordStats` objects in the `oStats` hashtable in the sorted by the frequency.

Hashtable entry might look like this in pseudo-notation:

```
{ <word> } -> [ WordStats{ <word>, <f>, <r> } ]
```

11.1.2.1 Mini User Manual

11.1.2.1.1 System Requirements The program was mostly developed under Linux, so there's a `Makefile` and a testing shell script to simplify some routine tasks. For JVM, any JDK 1.4.* and above will do. `bash` would be nice to have to be able to run the batch script. Since the application itself is written in Java, it's not bound to specific architecture, thus may be compiled and run without the makefiles and scripts on virtually any operating system.

11.1.2.1.2 How To Run It There are at least three ways how to run the program, listed in order of complexity (in terms of number of learning and typing involved). In order for the below to work you'd need some corpora in the same directory as the application.

11.1.2.1.3 Using the `testing.sh` Script The script is written using `bash` syntax; hence, `bash` should be present. The script ensures that the program was compiled first, by invoking `make`, then in a for loop feeds all the `*.txt` files (presumably our corpora) along with the `ZipfLaw.java` program itself to the executable, one by one, and redirects its standard output to the files as `<corpus-name>[<options>].log` in the current directory. These files will contain the grouping of the 100 most frequent words every 1000 words as well as frequency-of-frequency counts at the end.

Type:

```
./testing.sh
```

to run the batch through with the default settings.

```
./testing.sh <options>
```

to override the default settings with `<options>`. `<options>` are the same as that of the `ZipfLaw` application (see 11.1.2.1.5).

11.1.2.1.4 Using Makefile If you want to build and to run the application just for individual, pre-set corpora, use the `make` utility provided with UNIXen.

Type (assuming GNU-style `make`, or `gmake`):

```
make
```

to just compile the thing

```
make <corpus-name> [ > <filename>.log ]
```

to (possibly compile if not done yet) and run it for the corpus `<corpus-name>` and to optionally redirect output to the file named `<filename>.log` The `<corpus-name>` are described in the `Makefile` itself.

make clean

to clean up the *.class and *.log files that happened to be generated and so on.

make run

is actually equivalent to running `testing.sh` with no options.

make report

to produce a PDF file out of the L^AT_EX source of the report.

11.1.2.1.5 Running The ZipfLaw Application You can run the application itself without any wrapping scripts and provide options to it. This is a command-line application, so there is no GUI associated with it yet. To run the application you have to compile it first. You can use either `make` with no arguments to compile or use the standard Java compiler.

make

or

```
javac -cp marf.jar:. ZipfLaw.java
```

After having compiled the thing, you can run it with the JVM. There is one required argument - either corpus file to analyze or `--help`. If it's a corpus, it may be accompanied with one or more options overriding the default settings. Here are the options as per the application's output:

Usage:

```
java ZipfLaw --help | -h | [ OPTIONS ] <corpus-file>
java ZipfLaw --list [ OPTIONS ] <corpus-file>
```

Options (one or more of the following):

```
--case - make it case-sensitive
--num  - parse numerical values
--quote - consider quotes and count quoted strings as one token
--eos  - make typical ends of sentences (<?>, <!>, <.>) significant
--nolog - dump Zipf's law graph values as-is instead of log/log
--list - lists already pre-collected statistics for a given corpus
```

If the filename isn't specified, that will be stated and the usage instructions above displayed. The output filename generated from the input file name with the options (if any) pre-pended and it ends with the extension of `.csv`, which can directly be opened by OpenOffice Calc or Microsoft Excel.

11.1.2.2 Experiments

Various experiments on diverse corpora were conducted to find out whether Zipf's Law can possibly fail. For that purpose I used the following corpora:

- a technical white paper of Dr. Probst ([Pro95]) of 20k in size, the filename is `multiprocessor.txt`
- three ordinary corpora (non-technical literature) ([Cra, Joy, ebTW]) – `grfst10.txt`, 853k; `ulysses.txt`, 1.5M; and `hswc10.txt`, 271k
- my personal mailbox in UNIX format, raw as is, 5.5M
- the source code of this application itself, `ZipfLaw.java`, 8.0k

11.1.2.2.1 Default Setup This is very simplistic approach in the application, where everything but a letter (26 caps, and 26 lowercase) is a blank, and as such is discarded. All the words were folded to the lower case as well. This default setup can be overridden by specifying the command-line options described above.

11.1.2.2.2 Extreme Setup One of the option combinations, that makes the program case-sensitive, considers the numbers, and treats “!”, “.”, and “?” as special tokens.

11.1.2.3 Results

We failed to prove Zipf wrong. With **any** of the corpora and the settings. Further, the log/log graphs of the frequency and the rank for the default and the extreme setup are provided. The graphs don't change very much in shape. For other option combinations, the graphs are not provided since they don't vary much. It turned out to be the that capitalization, end of sentence symbols, and numbers, if treated as tokens, don't make much of a difference, as if they simply aren't there.

In the distribution archive, you will find the `*.log` and `*.csv` files of the test runs, which contain the described statistics. You are welcome to do `make clean` and re-run the tests on your own. NOTE, by default the output goes to the standard output, so it's a good idea to redirect it to a file especially if a corpus is a very large one.

11.1.2.3.1 Graphs, The Default Setup




Figure 11.1: Zipf's Law for the "Greifenstein" corpus with the default setup.




Figure 11.2: Zipf's Law for the "How to Speak and Write Correctly" corpus with the default setup.




Figure 11.3: Zipf's Law for my 5.6 Mb INBOX with the default setup.




Figure 11.4: Zipf's Law for the white paper "The United States Needs a Scalable Shared-Memory Multiprocessor, But Might Not Get One!" with the default setup.




Figure 11.5: Zipf's Law for the "Ulysses" corpus with the default setup.




Figure 11.6: Zipf's Law for the "ZipfLaw.java" program itself with the default setup.




Figure 11.7: Zipf's Law for the "Greifenstein" corpus with the extreme setup.

11.1.2.3.2 Graphs, The Extreme Setup

11.1.2.4 Conclusions

Zipf's Law holds so far. However, more experimentation is required, for example, other punctuation characters than that ending a sentence were not considered. Then other languages than English is a good area to explore as well.

11.1.3 Language Identification Application

Revision : 1.28

Originally written on March 17, 2003.

11.1.3.1 The Program

The Mini-User Manual is provided in the Section 11.1.3.10. The source is provided in the electronic form only from the release tarballs or the CVS repository.

NOTE: in the code there are a lot of files. Not all of them might be of a great interest to you since some of them are stubs right now and don't provide much functionality in them or the functionality is not linked anyhow to the main application. These files are:

```
./marf/nlp/Collocations:
  ChiSquareTest.java
  CollocationWindow.java
  TTest.java
```




Figure 11.8: Zipf's Law for the "How to Speak and Write Correctly" corpus with the extreme setup.




Figure 11.9: Zipf's Law for my 5.6 Mb INBOX with the extreme setup.




Figure 11.10: Zipf's Law for the white paper "The United States Needs a Scalable Shared-Memory Multiprocessor, But Might Not Get One!" with the extreme setup




Figure 11.11: Zipf's Law for the "Ulysses" corpus with the extreme setup.




Figure 11.12: Zipf's Law for the "ZipfLaw.java" program itself with the extreme setup.

```
./marf/Stats/StatisticalEstimators:
```

```
GLI.java
```

```
KatzBackoff.java
```

```
SLI.java
```

```
./marf/util/comparators:
```

```
RankComparator.java
```

```
./marf/nlp/Stemming:
```

```
StemmingEN.java
```

```
Stemming.java
```

11.1.3.2 Hypotheses

11.1.3.2.1 Identifying Non-Latin-Script-Based Languages The methodology, if implemented correctly, should work for natural languages that use non-Latin scripts for their writing. Of course, to test this, such scripts will have to be romanized (either transcribed or transliterated using Latin, more precisely, ASCII characters).

11.1.3.2.2 Identifying Programming Languages I have thought of if the proposed methodology works well for natural languages, it would probably work at least as good for the programming languages.

11.1.3.2.3 Sophisticated Statistical Estimators Should Work Better "Good" (or "better" if you will) statistical estimators presented in Chapter ?? should give better results (higher language recognition rate) over simpler ones. By "simpler" we mean the MLE and Add-* family. By more sophisticated we mean Witten-Bell, Good-Turing, and the combination of the Statistical Estimators.

11.1.3.2.4 Zipf's Law Can Also Be Effective in Language Identification Zipf's Law can be reasonably effective and very "cheap" in language identification task. This one is yet to be verified.

11.1.3.3 Initial Experiments Setup

11.1.3.3.1 Languages Several natural and programming languages in were used in the experiments.

Natural Lanugages (NLs)

- English (en - ISO 2-letter code code [iso])
- French (fr, desaccented)
- Spanish (es, desaccented)
- Italian (it)
- Arabic (ar, transcribed in ASCII according to the qalam rules [Hed92])
- Hebrew (he, transcribed in ASCII)
- Bulgarian (bg, transcribed in ASCII)
- Russian (ru, transcribed in ASCII)

Programming Languages (PLs)

- C/C++ (together, since C is a proper subset of C++)
- Java
- Perl

Statistical Estimators Implemented

- MLE in MLE
- Add-One in AddOne
- Add-Delta (ELE) in AddDelta
- Witten-Bell in WittenBell
- Good-Turing in GoodTuring

N-gram Models

- Unigram
- Bigram
- Trigram

11.1.3.3.2 Corpora

English The English language corpora is (not very surprisingly) was the biggest one. To simplify the training process, we combined them all in one file `en.txt`. It includes [Pro95, Joy, Cra, ebTW, Cle49, AriBC, Cha80, Def, tbWCM82, tbEF]. Additionally, a few chapters of “The Little Prince”. Total size of the combined file is 7Mb.

French For French we used few chapters of “Le Petit Prince”. The totals combined size is 12k, `fr.txt`.

Spanish Like the French corpora, the Spanish one includes several chapters of “Le Petit Prince” in Spanish (from the same source). The total size is 12k, `es.txt`.

Arabic We have compiled a few surah from transliterated Quran ([mus]) as well as a couple of texts transcribed by Michelle Khalifé from a proverb [AP] and a passage from a newspaper in Arabic [tbMK]. Total size: 208k, `ar.txt`.

Hebrew We used a few poems written by their author ([Fuh]) in ASCII alphabet. Total size is 37k, `he.txt`.

Russian Latinized classics (one whole book) was used (see [Aks87]). Total size is 877k, `ru.txt`.

Bulgarian A few transcribed poems were used for training from [ec]. Total size: 21k, `bg.txt`.

Italian We used the “Pinocchio” book [(Co) of the size of 245k, `it.txt`.

C/C++ Various `.c` and `.cpp` files were used from a variety of projects and examples for the “COMP444 - System Software Design”, “COMP471 - Computer Graphics”, “COMP361”, and “COMP229 - System Software courses”. The total size is 137k, `cpp.txt`.

Java As Java “corpora” we used the sources of this application at some point in the development cycle and source files for the MARF project itself. The total size is 260k, `java.txt`.

Perl For Perl, we used many of Serguei's scripts written to help with marking of assignments and accept electronic submissions as well as a couple tools for CVS written in Perl from the Internet (Google keywords: `cvs2cl.pl` and `cvs2html.pl`). Size: 299k, `perl.txt`.

11.1.3.4 Methodology

11.1.3.4.1 Add-Delta Family Add-Delta is defined as:

$$P(\text{ngram}) = \frac{C_{\text{ngram}+\delta}}{N+\delta \cdot V}$$

where V is a vocabulary size, N is the number of n-grams that start with *ngram*. By implementing this general Add-Delta smoothing we get MLE, ELE, and Add-One “for free” as special cases of Add-Delta:

- $\delta = 0$ is MLE
- $\delta = 1$ is Add One
- $\delta = 0.5$ is ELE

11.1.3.4.2 Witten-Bell and Good Turing These two estimators were implemented as given in hopes to get a better recognition rate over the Add-Delta family.

11.1.3.5 Difficulties Encountered

During the experimentations we faced several problems, some of which are worth mentioning.

11.1.3.5.1 “Bag-of-Languages” and Alphabets From this point now on, by an *alphabet* in this document we mean something more than what people understand by the language alphabet. In our case an alphabet may include characters other than letters, such as numbers, punctuation, even a blank sometimes, all being derived from a training corpus.

Initially, we attempted to treat programming languages as if they were natural ones. That way, from the developer's standpoint we deal with them all uniformly. This assumption could be viewed as cheating to some extent however because programming languages have a lot larger alphabets that are necessary lexical parts of the language in addition to the statements written using ASCII letters. Therefore, this gives a lot of discriminatory power as compared to the NLS when these characters are inputted by an user. Treating PLs as using only ASCII Latin base should lead to a lot of confusion with English (and sometimes other NLS) because most of the keywords are English words in addition to literal text strings and comments present within the code.

Among NLS that were transcribed or transliterated in Latin there are alphabetical differences. For instance, in Arabic there are three h-like sounds that have no English equivalent, so sometimes numbers 3, 7, and 5 are used for that purpose (or in more standard LAiLA notation [Hed92] capitals are used instead. To be more fair to others, we let numerals to be a part of the alphabet as well. Analogous problem existed

when using capitals for different sounds as opposed to direct lowercase transliteration in Arabic making lowercasing a not necessarily good idea.

Russian and Bulgarian (transcribed from Cyrillic scripts) use (') and some other symbols (like (|) or (|) in Bulgarian) to represent certain letters or sounds; hence, they always have to be a part of the alphabet. This has caused some problems again, and I thought of another separation that is needed: Latin-based, Cyrillic-based, and Semitic-based languages, and our “bag-of-languages” approach might not do so well. (We, however, just split PLs, Latin-based and non-Latin as the end result.)

Even for Latin-based languages that can be a problem. For example, the letter *k* does not exist in Spanish or Italian (it may if referred to the foreign words, such as “kilogram” or proper names but is not used otherwise). So are *w* and *x* and maybe some others. The same with French – the letters *k* and *w* are very rare (so they didn't happen to be in “Le Petit Prince” corpus used, for example).

11.1.3.5.2 Alphabet Normalization We *do* get different alphabet sizes of my corpora for a language. The alphabets are derived from the corpora themselves, so depending on the size some characters that appear in one corpora might not appear in another. Thus, when we perform classification task for a given sentence, the models compared may be with differently-sized alphabets thereby returning a probability of 0.0 for the n-gram's characters that have not been present yet in a given trained model. This can be viewed as a non-uniform smoothing of the models and implies necessity of the normalization of the alphabets of all the language models after accounting for n-grams has been made, and only then smooth.

Language model normalization in has not been implemented yet. Such normalization, however, will provoke a problem of data sparseness similar to the one described below. This presents a problem for smoothing techniques, because some counts we get, N of either n-grams or (n-1)-grams, will have values of 0.0 and division by 0.0 will become a problem.

11.1.3.5.3 N-grams with $n > 2$ The implemented maximum so far is $n = 3$, but it is a general problem for any n . The problem stems from the excessive data sparseness of the models for $n > 2$. Taking for example MLE — it won't be able to cope with it properly without special care because N there is now a two-dimensional matrix, which can easily be 0.0 in places and the division by zero is unavoidable. Analogous problem exists in the Good-Turing smoothing. To solve this we have said if $N = 0$ make $N = 1$. Maybe by doing so (as this is a quite a kludge) we have created more trouble, but that was the “design decision” in the first implementation.

11.1.3.6 Experiments

11.1.3.6.1 Bag-of-Languages and the Language Split We came up with a few testing sentences/statements for all languages (can be found in the `test.langs` file, see Figure 11.13). Then, based on my random observations above we conducted more guided experiments.

The sentences from the Figure 11.13 were used as-is to pipe to the program for classification for the bag-of-languages approach. This file has been split into parts (see Figure 11.14, Figure 11.15, and Figure 11.16) to try out other approaches as well (see Section 11.1.3.7).

Note, the sentences below do not necessarily convey any meaning or information of interest; they are here just for testing purposes including this one :-).

Esta noche salimos juntos a la fiesta.

We should abolish microprocessor use.

Shla Sasha po shosse i sosala sushku

Mon amour, tu me manques tellement beaucoup.

Buduchi chelovekom s borodoi let Misha slegka posgatyvalsya posle burnoi p'yanki s druz'yami

Te amo y te quiero muchisimo

troyer krekhstst in harts er.

Un burattino Pinocchio

chou habbib

Na gara s nepoznato ime i lampi s mqtna svetlina

```
class foo extends bar
```

```
#include <foo.h>
```

```
cout << a;
```

vos makhn neshome farvundert

```
public interface doo
```

```
use strict;
```

Wa-innaka laAAala khuluqin AAatheemin

```
sub foo
```

etim letom mimiletom lyudi edut kto kuda

avant avoir capote mon ordi est devenu vraiment fou

ishtara jeha aacharat hamir

the assignment is due tomorrow, n'est-ce pas?

Figure 11.13: Sample sentences in various languages used for testing. Was used in “Bag-of-Languages” experiments.

Note, the sentences below does not necessarily convey any meaning or information of interest; they are here just for testing purposes including this one :-).

Esta noche salimos juntos a la fiesta.

We should abolish microprocessor use.

Mon amour, tu me manques tellement beaucoup.

Te amo y te quiero muchisimo

Un burattino Pinocchio

avant avoir capote mon ordi est devenu vraiment fou

the assignment is due tomorrow, n'est-ce pas?

Figure 11.14: Subset of the sample sentences in languages with Latin base.

```
Shla Sasha po shosse i sosala sushku
Buduchi chelovekom s borodoi let Misha slegka posgatyvalsya posle burnoi p'yanki s druz'yami
troyer krehtst in harts er.
chou habbib
Na gara s nepoznato ime i lampi s mqtna svetlina
vos makhn neshome farvundert
Wa-innaka laAAala khuluqin AAatheemin
etim letom mimiletom lyudi edut kto kuda
ishtara jeha aacharat hamir
```

Figure 11.15: Subset of the sample sentences in languages with non-Latin base.

```
class foo extends bar
#include <foo.h>
cout << a;
public interface doo
use strict;
sub foo
```

Figure 11.16: Subset of the sample statements in programming languages.

11.1.3.6.2 Tokenization We used two types of tokenizer, **restricted** and **unrestricted** to experiment with the diverse alphabets. The “restricted tokenizer” means lowercase-folded ASCII characters and numbers (more corresponding to the original requirements). The “unrestricted tokenizer” means additional characters are allowed and it is case-sensitive. In both tokenizers blanks are discarded. An implementation of these tokenizer settings via command-line options is still a TODO, so we were simply changing the code and recompiling. The code has an unrestricted tokenizer (`NLPStreamTokenizer.java` under `marf/nlp/util`).

11.1.3.7 Training

We trained language models to include the following:

- all the languages (both NLs and PLs) with the restricted tokenizer
- all the languages with the unrestricted tokenizer
- latin-based NLs (English, French, Spanish, and Italian) with the restricted tokenizer
- non-latin-based romanized NLs (Arabic, Hebrew, Russian, and Bulgarian) with the unrestricted tokenizer
- PLs (Java, C/C++, Perl) with the unrestricted tokenizer.

11.1.3.8 Results

11.1.3.8.1 Brief Summary (Brief because there's more elaborate Conclusion section).

So far, the results are good in places, sometimes pitiful. Trigrams alone generally were very poor and slow for us. Unigrams and bigrams performed quite well, however.

More detailed results can be observed in the Appendix 11.1.3.12. Below are the numbers as a recognition rate of the sentences presented earlier for every language model. Note that numbers by themselves may not convey enough information, one has to look at the detailed results further to actually realize that the number of samples is debatable to be good and so are the training corpora is not uniform. One might also want to look which languages get confused with each other.

11.1.3.8.2 Summary of Recognition Rates Language Model:

- “Bag-of-Languages”
- Unrestricted tokenizer

	unigram	bigram	trigram
MLE	54.17%	16.67%	16.67%
add-delta (ELE)	58.33%	12.50%	16.67%
add-one	58.33%	12.50%	16.67%
Witten-Bell	16.67%	29.17%	16.67%
Good-Turing	16.67%	12.50%	16.67%

Language Model:

- NLS transcribed in ASCII (Arabic, Hebrew, Bulgarian, and Russian)
- Unrestricted tokenizer

	unigram	bigram	trigram
MLE	66.67%	33.33%	33.33%
add-delta (ELE)	77.78%	11.11%	55.56%
add-one	77.78%	11.11%	55.56%
Witten-Bell	55.56%	66.67%	33.33%
Good-Turing	55.56%	55.56%	55.56%

Language Model:

- PLs only (C/C++, Java, and Perl)
- Unrestricted tokenizer

	unigram	bigram	trigram
MLE	33.33%	33.33%	33.33%
add-delta (ELE)	33.33%	50.00%	33.33%
add-one	33.33%	50.00%	33.33%
Witten-Bell	33.33%	50.00%	33.33%
Good-Turing	33.33%	33.33%	33.33%

Language Model:

- Latin-based Languages only (English, French, Spanish, and Italian)
- Restricted tokenizer

	unigram	bigram	trigram
MLE	77.78%	33.33%	44.44%
add-delta (ELE)	77.78%	44.44%	55.56%
add-one	77.78%	55.56%	55.56%
Witten-Bell	44.44%	44.44%	44.44%
Good-Turing	44.44%	44.44%	55.56%

Language Model:

- “Bag-of-Languages”
- Restricted tokenizer

	unigram	bigram	trigram
MLE	62.50%	16.67%	16.67%
add-delta (ELE)	62.50%	4.17%	12.50%
add-one	62.50%	8.33%	12.50%
Witten-Bell	16.67%	33.33%	16.67%
Good-Turing	16.67%	20.83%	25.00%

11.1.3.9 Conclusions

11.1.3.9.1 Concrete Points

- The best results we've got so far from simpler language models; especially using languages with the Latin base only.
- The methodology did work for non-Latin languages as well. Not 100% of the time, but around 60%, but this is a start.
- Witten-Bell and Good-Turing performed rather poorly in our tests in general. We think we need a lot larger corpora to test out Witten-Bell and Good-Turing smoothing more thoroughly. This can be confirmed by some of the results where Good-Turing gave us all English and English is the biggest corpus we've got.
- Identification of the Latin-based languages among themselves was the best one. It worked OK in the bag-of-languages approach.
- The strict tokenizer and bag-of-languages were surprisingly good (or at least better than we expected).
- Recognition of the programming languages according to the conducted experiments can be qualified as "so-so" when PLs are compared to each other only. They were recognized slightly better in the bag-of-languages approach (due to the larger alphabets).

11.1.3.9.2 Generalities Some of the hypotheses didn't hold ("better techniques would do better" and "PLs can be identified as easily as NLs"), some didn't have time allotted to them yet ("try out Zipf's Law for the purpose of the language identification").

In the next releases, we want to experiment with more things, for example, cross-validation and held-out estimation as well as linear interpolations and Katz Backoff.

11.1.3.10 Mini User Manual

11.1.3.10.1 System Requirements The application was primarily developed under Linux, so there's a `Makefile` and a testing shell script to simplify some routine tasks. For JVM, any JDK 1.4.* and above will do. `tcsh` would be nice to have to be able to run the batch script. Since the application itself is written in Java, it's not bound to specific architecture, thus may be compiled and run without the makefiles and scripts on virtually any operating system.

11.1.3.10.2 How To Run It In order for the below to work you'd need some corpora in the same directory as the application. (Check the reference section for the corpora used in the experiments.) There are thousands of ways how to run the program. Some of them are listed below.

11.1.3.10.3 Using the Shell Scripts There are two scripts out there – `training.sh` and `testing.sh`. The former is used to do batch training on all the languages and all the models, the latter to perform batch-testing of the models. They hide the complexity of typing many options to the users. If you are ever to use them, tweak them appropriately for the specific languages and n-gram models if you don't all all-or-nothing testing.

The scripts are written using the `tcsh` syntax; hence, `tcsh` should be present. The scripts ensure that the program was compiled first, by invoking `make`, then in several `for()` loops feed all the options and filenames to the application.

Type:

```
./training.sh
```

to train the models.

```
./testing.sh
```

to test the models. NOTE: to start testing right away, you need the `*.gzbin` files (pre-trained models) which should be copied from a `training-*` directory of your choice to the application's directory.

11.1.3.10.4 Running The LangIdentApp Application You can run the application itself without any wrapping scripts and provide options to it. This is a command-line application, so there is no GUI associated with it yet (next release). To run the application you have to compile it first. You can use either `make` with no arguments to compile or use a standard Java compiler.

```
make
```

or

```
javac -cp marf.jar:. LangIdentApp.java
```

After having compiled the application, you can run it with the JVM. There are several required options:

- `-char` makes sure we deal with characters instead of strings of characters as a part of an n-gram
- one of the statistical estimators (see below); if none present, it won't pick any default one
- language parameter (it may seem awkward to require it for identification, but this will fixed, so for now use anything for it, like "foo"). Thus, the "language" is a typically two-to-four letter abbreviation of the language you are trying to train on (w.g. "en", "es", "java", etc.).
- corpus - a path to the corpus file for training. For testing just use "bar".

If you want an interactive mode to enter sentences yourself, use the `-interactive` option. E.g.:

```
java -cp marf.jar:. LangIdentApp --ident -char -interactive -bigram -add-delta foo bar
```

Here are the options as per the application's output:

Language Identification Application, \$Revision: 1.2 \$, \$Date: 2007/01/01 23:09:16 \$
Serguei A. Mokhov, mokhov@cs.concordia.ca
March 2003 - 2007

Usage:

```
java LangIdentApp --help | -h
java LangIdentApp --version
java LangIdentApp --train [ --debug ] [ OPTIONS ] <language> <corpus-file>
java LangIdentApp --ident [ --debug ] [ OPTIONS ] foo <bar|corpus-file>
```

Options (one or more of the following):

```
-interactive    interactive mode for classification instead of reading from a file
-char          use characters as n-grams (should always be present for this app)

-unigram       use UNIGRAM model
-bigram        use BIGRAM model
-trigram       use TRIGRAM model

-mle           use MLE
-add-one       use Add-One smoothing
-add-delta     use Add-Delta (ELE, d=0.5) smoothing
-witten-bell   use Witten-Bell smoothing
-good-turing   use Good-Turing smoothing
```

If the filename isn't specified, that will be stated and the usage instructions above displayed.

11.1.3.11 List of Files

11.1.3.11.1 Directories

- `marf/nlp` – that's where most of the code is for use by this application, the `marf.nlp` package. As discussed at the beginning, it has all the possible implementation files, but some of them are just unimplemented stubs.
- `index/` – this directory contains indexing of file names of corpora per language (see Section 11.1.3.11.2)
- `test/` – this directory contains testing files with sentences in various languages for testing (see Section 11.1.3.11.6)

- `expected/` – this directory contains expected output files for classification (see Section 11.1.3.11.3)
- `training-*/` – these directories contain all pre-trained models by us for the described experiments and will be supplied in the training-sets tarballs.

11.1.3.11.2 Corpora per Language The below is the list of files of “pointers” to the training corpora for the corresponding languages.

```
ar.train.corpora
bg.train.corpora
cpp.train.corpora
en.train.corpora
es.train.corpora
fr.train.corpora
he.train.corpora
it.train.corpora
java.train.corpora
perl.train.corpora
ru.train.corpora
```

11.1.3.11.3 Expected Results The below files present the ideal results of batch identification that correspond the `test.*.langs` files below, and can be compared to those produced by the `testing.sh` script.

```
expected.langs
expected.latin.langs
expected.non-latin.langs
expected.pls.langs
```

11.1.3.11.4 Application The application and its makefile.

```
LangIdentApp.java
Makefile
marf.jar
```

11.1.3.11.5 Scripts The wrapper scripts for batch training and testing.

```
testing.sh
training.sh
```

11.1.3.11.6 Test Sentences

- `test.langs` — the bag-of-languages
- `test.latin.langs` — English, French, Spanish, and Italian sentences
- `test.non-latin.langs` — Arabic, Hebrew, Russian, and Bulgarian sentences
- `test.pls.langs` — Programming Languages (a few statements in C++, Java, and Perl)

11.1.3.12 Classification Results

11.1.3.12.1 “Bag-of-Languages”, Unrestricted Tokenizer

UNIGRAM, ADD-DELTA			UNIGRAM, ADD-ONE		
Ideal	Actual	Match	Ideal	Actual	Match
Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	1	Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	1
Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	2	Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	2
Language identified: [es]	Language identified: [fr]		Language identified: [es]	Language identified: [fr]	
Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	3	Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	3
Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [ru]	4	Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [ru]	4
Language identified: [fr]	Language identified: [fr]	5	Language identified: [fr]	Language identified: [fr]	5
Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [ru]	6	Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [ru]	6
Language identified: [es]	Language identified: [es]	7	Language identified: [es]	Language identified: [es]	7
Language identified: [he]	Language identified: [he]	8	Language identified: [he]	Language identified: [he]	8
Language identified: [it]	Language identified: [it]	9	Language identified: [it]	Language identified: [it]	9
Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [ru]		Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [ru]	
Language identified: [bg]	Language identified: [bg]	10	Language identified: [bg]	Language identified: [bg]	10
Language identified: [java]	Language identified: [es]		Language identified: [java]	Language identified: [es]	
Language identified: [cpp]	Language identified: [perl]		Language identified: [cpp]	Language identified: [perl]	
Language identified: [cpp]	Language identified: [perl]		Language identified: [cpp]	Language identified: [perl]	
Language identified: [he]	Language identified: [he]	11	Language identified: [he]	Language identified: [he]	11
Language identified: [java]	Language identified: [it]		Language identified: [java]	Language identified: [it]	
Language identified: [perl]	Language identified: [cpp]		Language identified: [perl]	Language identified: [cpp]	
Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [ar]	12	Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [ar]	12
Language identified: [perl]	Language identified: [es]		Language identified: [perl]	Language identified: [es]	
Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [ru]	13	Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [ru]	13
Language identified: [fr]	Language identified: [it]		Language identified: [fr]	Language identified: [it]	
Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [bg]		Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [bg]	
Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	14	Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	14
Total	24	14	Total	24	14
%	58.33		%	58.33	

BIGRAM, ADD-ONE

Ideal	Actual	Match
Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [bg]	
Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [fr]	
Language identified: [es]	Language identified: [es]	1
Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [bg]	
Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [bg]	
Language identified: [fr]	Language identified: [fr]	2
Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [bg]	
Language identified: [es]	Language identified: [fr]	
Language identified: [he]	Language identified: [bg]	
Language identified: [it]	Language identified: [fr]	
Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [fr]	
Language identified: [bg]	Language identified: [es]	
Language identified: [java]	Language identified: [fr]	
Language identified: [cpp]	Language identified: [perl]	
Language identified: [cpp]	Language identified: [cpp]	3
Language identified: [he]	Language identified: [bg]	
Language identified: [java]	Language identified: [fr]	
Language identified: [perl]	Language identified: [es]	
Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [bg]	
Language identified: [perl]	Language identified: [fr]	
Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [bg]	
Language identified: [fr]	Language identified: [es]	
Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [es]	
Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [bg]	
Total	24	3
%	12.50	

BIGRAM, GOOD-TURING

Ideal	Actual	Match
Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	1
Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [perl]	
Language identified: [es]	Language identified: [perl]	
Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [perl]	
Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [perl]	
Language identified: [fr]	Language identified: [perl]	
Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [perl]	
Language identified: [es]	Language identified: [perl]	
Language identified: [he]	Language identified: [perl]	
Language identified: [it]	Language identified: [perl]	
Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [perl]	
Language identified: [bg]	Language identified: [perl]	
Language identified: [java]	Language identified: [perl]	
Language identified: [cpp]	Language identified: [perl]	
Language identified: [cpp]	Language identified: [perl]	
Language identified: [he]	Language identified: [perl]	
Language identified: [java]	Language identified: [perl]	
Language identified: [perl]	Language identified: [perl]	2
Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [perl]	
Language identified: [perl]	Language identified: [perl]	3
Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [perl]	
Language identified: [fr]	Language identified: [perl]	
Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [perl]	
Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [perl]	
Total	24	3
%	12.50	

BIGRAM, MLE

Ideal	Actual	Match
Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	1
Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	2
Language identified: [es]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	3
Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [fr]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [es]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [he]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [it]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [bg]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [java]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [cpp]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [cpp]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [he]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [java]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [perl]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [perl]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [fr]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	4
Total	24	4
%	16.67	

BIGRAM, WITTEN-BELL

Ideal	Actual	Match
Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [java]	
Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [java]	
Language identified: [es]	Language identified: [es]	1
Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [java]	
Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [java]	
Language identified: [fr]	Language identified: [es]	
Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [java]	
Language identified: [es]	Language identified: [ar]	
Language identified: [he]	Language identified: [ar]	
Language identified: [it]	Language identified: [it]	2
Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [ar]	3
Language identified: [bg]	Language identified: [java]	
Language identified: [java]	Language identified: [es]	
Language identified: [cpp]	Language identified: [java]	
Language identified: [cpp]	Language identified: [cpp]	4
Language identified: [he]	Language identified: [ru]	
Language identified: [java]	Language identified: [java]	5
Language identified: [perl]	Language identified: [ru]	
Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [ar]	6
Language identified: [perl]	Language identified: [java]	
Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [ar]	
Language identified: [fr]	Language identified: [java]	
Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [ar]	7
Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [java]	
Total	24	7
%	29.17	

TRIGRAM, WITTEN-BELL

Ideal	Actual	Match
Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	1
Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	2
Language identified: [es]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	3
Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [fr]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [es]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [he]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [it]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [bg]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [java]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [cpp]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [cpp]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [he]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [java]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [perl]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [perl]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [fr]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [en]	4
Total	24	4
%	16.67	

11.1.3.12.2 Non-Latin-Based Languages, Unrestricted Tokenizer

UNIGRAM, ADD-DELTA

Ideal	Actual	Match	Ideal	Actual	Match
Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [ru]	1	Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [ru]	1
Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [ru]	2	Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [ru]	2
Language identified: [he]	Language identified: [he]	3	Language identified: [he]	Language identified: [he]	3
Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [ru]		Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [ru]	
Language identified: [bg]	Language identified: [bg]	4	Language identified: [bg]	Language identified: [bg]	4
Language identified: [he]	Language identified: [he]	5	Language identified: [he]	Language identified: [he]	5
Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [ar]	6	Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [ar]	6
Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [ru]	7	Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [ru]	7
Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [bg]		Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [bg]	
Total	9	7	Total	9	7
%	77.78		%	77.78	

UNIGRAM, ADD-ONE

UNIGRAM, GOOD-TURING

Ideal	Actual	Match	Ideal	Actual	Match
Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [ru]	1	Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [bg]	
Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [ru]	2	Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [ru]	1
Language identified: [he]	Language identified: [ru]		Language identified: [he]	Language identified: [he]	2
Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [ru]		Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [ru]	
Language identified: [bg]	Language identified: [bg]	3	Language identified: [bg]	Language identified: [bg]	3
Language identified: [he]	Language identified: [ru]		Language identified: [he]	Language identified: [he]	4
Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [ar]	4	Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [ar]	5
Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [ru]	5	Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [ru]	6
Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [ru]		Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [bg]	
Total	9	5	Total	9	6
%	55.56		%	66.67	

UNIGRAM, MLE

UNIGRAM, WITTEN-BELL

Ideal	Actual	Match	Ideal	Actual	Match
Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [ru]	1	Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [bg]	
Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [ru]	2	Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [bg]	
Language identified: [he]	Language identified: [ru]		Language identified: [he]	Language identified: [bg]	
Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [ru]		Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [bg]	
Language identified: [bg]	Language identified: [bg]	3	Language identified: [bg]	Language identified: [bg]	1
Language identified: [he]	Language identified: [ru]		Language identified: [he]	Language identified: [bg]	
Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [ar]	4	Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [bg]	
Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [ru]	5	Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [bg]	
Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [ru]		Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [bg]	
Total	9	5	Total	9	1
%	55.56		%	11.11	

BIGRAM, ADD-DELTA

BIGRAM, ADD-ONE

Ideal	Actual	Match	Ideal	Actual	Match
Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [bg]		Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [ru]	1
Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [bg]		Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [ru]	2
Language identified: [he]	Language identified: [bg]		Language identified: [he]	Language identified: [ar]	
Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [bg]		Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [ar]	
Language identified: [bg]	Language identified: [bg]	1	Language identified: [bg]	Language identified: [bg]	3
Language identified: [he]	Language identified: [bg]		Language identified: [he]	Language identified: [ru]	
Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [bg]		Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [ar]	4
Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [bg]		Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [ru]	5
Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [bg]		Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [ru]	
Total	9	1	Total	9	5
%	11.11		%	55.56	

BIGRAM, GOOD-TURING

BIGRAM, MLE

Ideal	Actual	Match	Ideal	Actual	Match
Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [ru]	1	Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [ru]	1
Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [ru]	2	Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [ru]	2
Language identified: [he]	Language identified: [ru]		Language identified: [he]	Language identified: [ar]	
Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [ru]		Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [ar]	3
Language identified: [bg]	Language identified: [ru]		Language identified: [bg]	Language identified: [bg]	4
Language identified: [he]	Language identified: [ru]		Language identified: [he]	Language identified: [ru]	
Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [ru]		Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [ar]	5
Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [ru]	3	Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [ar]	
Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [ru]		Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [ar]	6
Total	9	3	Total	9	6
%	33.33		%	66.67	

TRIGRAM, ADD-DELTA

Ideal	Actual	Match	Ideal	Actual	Match
Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [he]		Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [he]	
Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [he]		Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [he]	
Language identified: [he]	Language identified: [ar]		Language identified: [he]	Language identified: [ar]	
Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [ar]	1	Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [ar]	1
Language identified: [bg]	Language identified: [bg]	2	Language identified: [bg]	Language identified: [bg]	2
Language identified: [he]	Language identified: [he]	3	Language identified: [he]	Language identified: [he]	3
Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [ar]	4	Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [ar]	4
Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [ar]		Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [ar]	
Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [ar]	5	Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [ar]	5
Total	9	5	Total	9	5
%	55.56		%	55.56	

TRIGRAM, GOOD-TURING

Ideal	Actual	Match	Ideal	Actual	Match
Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [he]		Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [ru]	1
Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [he]		Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [ru]	2
Language identified: [he]	Language identified: [ar]		Language identified: [he]	Language identified: [ru]	
Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [ar]	1	Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [ru]	
Language identified: [bg]	Language identified: [bg]	2	Language identified: [bg]	Language identified: [ru]	
Language identified: [he]	Language identified: [he]	3	Language identified: [he]	Language identified: [ru]	
Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [ar]	4	Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [ru]	
Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [ar]		Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [ru]	3
Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [ar]	5	Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [ru]	
Total	9	5	Total	9	3
%	55.56		%	33.33	

TRIGRAM, WITTEN-BELL

Ideal	Actual	Match
Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [ru]	1
Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [ru]	2
Language identified: [he]	Language identified: [ru]	
Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [ru]	
Language identified: [bg]	Language identified: [ru]	
Language identified: [he]	Language identified: [ru]	
Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [ru]	
Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [ru]	3
Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [ru]	
Total	9	3
%	33.33	

11.1.3.12.3 Programming Languages, Unrestricted Tokenizer

UNIGRAM,ADD-DELTA			UNIGRAM,ADD-ONE		
Ideal	Actual	Match	Ideal	Actual	Match
Language identified: [java]	Language identified: [java]	1	Language identified: [java]	Language identified: [java]	1
Language identified: [cpp]	Language identified: [perl]		Language identified: [cpp]	Language identified: [perl]	
Language identified: [cpp]	Language identified: [perl]		Language identified: [cpp]	Language identified: [perl]	
Language identified: [java]	Language identified: [java]	2	Language identified: [java]	Language identified: [java]	2
Language identified: [perl]	Language identified: [cpp]		Language identified: [perl]	Language identified: [cpp]	
Language identified: [perl]	Language identified: [cpp]		Language identified: [perl]	Language identified: [cpp]	
Total 6 2	Total 6 2				
% 33.33	% 33.33				

UNIGRAM,GOOD-TURING			UNIGRAM,MLE		
Ideal	Actual	Match	Ideal	Actual	Match
Language identified: [java]	Language identified: [perl]		Language identified: [java]	Language identified: [java]	1
Language identified: [cpp]	Language identified: [perl]		Language identified: [cpp]	Language identified: [perl]	
Language identified: [cpp]	Language identified: [perl]		Language identified: [cpp]	Language identified: [perl]	
Language identified: [java]	Language identified: [java]	1	Language identified: [java]	Language identified: [java]	2
Language identified: [perl]	Language identified: [java]		Language identified: [perl]	Language identified: [cpp]	
Language identified: [perl]	Language identified: [perl]	2	Language identified: [perl]	Language identified: [cpp]	
Total 6 2	Total 6 2				
% 33.33	% 33.33				

UNIGRAM,WITTEN-BELL			BIGRAM,ADD-DELTA		
Ideal	Actual	Match	Ideal	Actual	Match
Language identified: [java]	Language identified: [perl]		Language identified: [java]	Language identified: [perl]	
Language identified: [cpp]	Language identified: [perl]		Language identified: [cpp]	Language identified: [perl]	
Language identified: [cpp]	Language identified: [perl]		Language identified: [cpp]	Language identified: [cpp]	1
Language identified: [java]	Language identified: [java]	1	Language identified: [java]	Language identified: [perl]	
Language identified: [perl]	Language identified: [java]		Language identified: [perl]	Language identified: [perl]	2
Language identified: [perl]	Language identified: [perl]	2	Language identified: [perl]	Language identified: [perl]	3
Total	6	2	Total	6	3
%	33.33		%	50.00	

BIGRAM,ADD-ONE			BIGRAM,GOOD-TURING		
Ideal	Actual	Match	Ideal	Actual	Match
Language identified: [java]	Language identified: [perl]		Language identified: [java]	Language identified: [perl]	
Language identified: [cpp]	Language identified: [perl]		Language identified: [cpp]	Language identified: [perl]	
Language identified: [cpp]	Language identified: [cpp]	1	Language identified: [cpp]	Language identified: [perl]	
Language identified: [java]	Language identified: [perl]		Language identified: [java]	Language identified: [perl]	
Language identified: [perl]	Language identified: [perl]	2	Language identified: [perl]	Language identified: [perl]	1
Language identified: [perl]	Language identified: [perl]	3	Language identified: [perl]	Language identified: [perl]	2
Total	6	3	Total	6	2
%	50.00		%	33.33	

BIGRAM,MLE

Ideal	Actual	Match	Ideal	Actual	Match
Language identified: [java]	Language identified: [java]	1	Language identified: [java]	Language identified: [java]	1
Language identified: [cpp]	Language identified: [java]		Language identified: [cpp]	Language identified: [java]	
Language identified: [cpp]	Language identified: [java]		Language identified: [cpp]	Language identified: [cpp]	2
Language identified: [java]	Language identified: [java]	2	Language identified: [java]	Language identified: [java]	3
Language identified: [perl]	Language identified: [java]		Language identified: [perl]	Language identified: [java]	
Language identified: [perl]	Language identified: [java]		Language identified: [perl]	Language identified: [java]	
Total	6	2	Total	6	3
%	33.33		%	50.00	

TRIGRAM,ADD-DELTA

Ideal	Actual	Match	Ideal	Actual	Match
Language identified: [java]	Language identified: [java]	1	Language identified: [java]	Language identified: [java]	1
Language identified: [cpp]	Language identified: [java]		Language identified: [cpp]	Language identified: [java]	
Language identified: [cpp]	Language identified: [java]		Language identified: [cpp]	Language identified: [java]	
Language identified: [java]	Language identified: [java]	2	Language identified: [java]	Language identified: [java]	2
Language identified: [perl]	Language identified: [java]		Language identified: [perl]	Language identified: [java]	
Language identified: [perl]	Language identified: [java]		Language identified: [perl]	Language identified: [java]	
Total	6	2	Total	6	2
%	33.33		%	33.33	

TRIGRAM,GOOD-TURING

Ideal	Actual	Match	Ideal	Actual	Match
Language identified: [java]	Language identified: [perl]		Language identified: [java]	Language identified: [java]	1
Language identified: [cpp]	Language identified: [perl]		Language identified: [cpp]	Language identified: [java]	
Language identified: [cpp]	Language identified: [perl]		Language identified: [cpp]	Language identified: [java]	
Language identified: [java]	Language identified: [perl]		Language identified: [java]	Language identified: [java]	2
Language identified: [perl]	Language identified: [perl]	1	Language identified: [perl]	Language identified: [java]	
Language identified: [perl]	Language identified: [perl]	2	Language identified: [perl]	Language identified: [java]	
Total	6	2	Total	6	2
%	33.33		%	33.33	

TRIGRAM,WITTEN-BELL

Ideal	Actual	Match
Language identified: [java]	Language identified: [java]	1
Language identified: [cpp]	Language identified: [java]	
Language identified: [cpp]	Language identified: [java]	
Language identified: [java]	Language identified: [java]	2
Language identified: [perl]	Language identified: [java]	
Language identified: [perl]	Language identified: [java]	
Total	6	2
%	33.33	

11.1.3.12.4 Latin-Based Languages, Restricted Tokenizer

unigram,add-delta

Ideal	Actual	Match	Ideal	Actual	Match
Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	1	Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	1
Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	2	Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	2
Language identified: [es]	Language identified: [fr]		Language identified: [es]	Language identified: [fr]	
Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	3	Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	3
Language identified: [fr]	Language identified: [fr]	4	Language identified: [fr]	Language identified: [fr]	4
Language identified: [es]	Language identified: [es]	5	Language identified: [es]	Language identified: [es]	5
Language identified: [it]	Language identified: [it]	6	Language identified: [it]	Language identified: [it]	6
Language identified: [fr]	Language identified: [it]		Language identified: [fr]	Language identified: [it]	
Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	7	Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	7
Total	9	7	Total	9	7
%	77.78		%	77.78	

```
\tiny
\hrule\vskip4pt
\begin{verbatim}
unigram,good-turing
```

unigram,mle

Ideal	Actual	Match	Ideal	Actual	Match
Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	1	Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	1
Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	2	Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	2
Language identified: [es]	Language identified: [en]		Language identified: [es]	Language identified: [fr]	
Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	3	Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	3
Language identified: [fr]	Language identified: [en]		Language identified: [fr]	Language identified: [fr]	4
Language identified: [es]	Language identified: [en]		Language identified: [es]	Language identified: [es]	5
Language identified: [it]	Language identified: [en]		Language identified: [it]	Language identified: [it]	6
Language identified: [fr]	Language identified: [en]		Language identified: [fr]	Language identified: [it]	
Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	4	Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	7
Total	9	4	Total	9	7
%	44.44		%	77.78	

unigram,witten-bell

bigram,add-one

Ideal	Actual	Match	Ideal	Actual	Match
Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	1	Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	1
Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	2	Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [es]	
Language identified: [es]	Language identified: [en]		Language identified: [es]	Language identified: [es]	2
Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	3	Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	3
Language identified: [fr]	Language identified: [en]		Language identified: [fr]	Language identified: [es]	
Language identified: [es]	Language identified: [en]		Language identified: [es]	Language identified: [fr]	
Language identified: [it]	Language identified: [en]		Language identified: [it]	Language identified: [es]	
Language identified: [fr]	Language identified: [en]		Language identified: [fr]	Language identified: [es]	
Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	4	Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	4
Total	9	4	Total	9	4
%	44.44		%	44.44	

bigram,add-one			bigram,good-turing		
Ideal	Actual	Match	Ideal	Actual	Match
Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	1	Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	1
Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [es]		Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	2
Language identified: [es]	Language identified: [es]	2	Language identified: [es]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	3	Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	3
Language identified: [fr]	Language identified: [es]		Language identified: [fr]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [es]	Language identified: [es]	4	Language identified: [es]	Language identified: [fr]	
Language identified: [it]	Language identified: [es]		Language identified: [it]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [fr]	Language identified: [es]		Language identified: [fr]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	5	Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	4
Total	9	5	Total	9	4
%	55.56		%	44.44	

bigram,mle			bigram,witten-bell1		
Ideal	Actual	Match	Ideal	Actual	Match
Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	1	Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	1
Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	2	Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	2
Language identified: [es]	Language identified: [en]		Language identified: [es]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	3	Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	3
Language identified: [fr]	Language identified: [en]		Language identified: [fr]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [es]	Language identified: [en]		Language identified: [es]	Language identified: [es]	
Language identified: [it]	Language identified: [en]		Language identified: [it]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [fr]	Language identified: [en]		Language identified: [fr]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	4	Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	4
Total	9	4	Total	9	4
%	44.44		%	44.44	

trigram,add-delta			trigram,add-one		
Ideal	Actual	Match	Ideal	Actual	Match
Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	1	Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	1
Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [es]		Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [es]	
Language identified: [es]	Language identified: [es]	2	Language identified: [es]	Language identified: [es]	2
Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	3	Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	3
Language identified: [fr]	Language identified: [es]		Language identified: [fr]	Language identified: [es]	
Language identified: [es]	Language identified: [es]	4	Language identified: [es]	Language identified: [es]	4
Language identified: [it]	Language identified: [es]		Language identified: [it]	Language identified: [es]	
Language identified: [fr]	Language identified: [es]		Language identified: [fr]	Language identified: [es]	
Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	5	Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	5
Total	9	5	Total	9	5
%	55.56		%	55.56	

trigram,good-turing			trigram,mle		
Ideal	Actual	Match	Ideal	Actual	Match
Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	1	Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	1
Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [fr]		Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	2
Language identified: [es]	Language identified: [fr]		Language identified: [es]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	2	Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	3
Language identified: [fr]	Language identified: [en]		Language identified: [fr]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [es]	Language identified: [fr]		Language identified: [es]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [it]	Language identified: [it]	3	Language identified: [it]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [fr]	Language identified: [fr]	4	Language identified: [fr]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	5	Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	4
Total	9	5	Total	9	4
%	55.56		%	44.44	

trigram,witten-bell		
Ideal	Actual	Match
Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	1
Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	2
Language identified: [es]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	3
Language identified: [fr]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [es]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [it]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [fr]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	4
Total	9	4
%	44.44	

11.1.3.12.5 “Bag-of-Languages”, Restricted Tokenizer

unigram, add-delta			unigram, add-one		
Ideal	Actual	Match	Ideal	Actual	Match
Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	1	Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	1
Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	2	Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	2
Language identified: [es]	Language identified: [fr]		Language identified: [es]	Language identified: [fr]	
Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	3	Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	3
Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [ru]	4	Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [ru]	4
Language identified: [fr]	Language identified: [fr]	5	Language identified: [fr]	Language identified: [fr]	5
Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [ru]	6	Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [ru]	6
Language identified: [es]	Language identified: [es]	7	Language identified: [es]	Language identified: [es]	7
Language identified: [he]	Language identified: [he]	8	Language identified: [he]	Language identified: [he]	8
Language identified: [it]	Language identified: [it]	9	Language identified: [it]	Language identified: [it]	9
Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [ru]		Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [ru]	
Language identified: [bg]	Language identified: [bg]	10	Language identified: [bg]	Language identified: [bg]	10
Language identified: [java]	Language identified: [java]	11	Language identified: [java]	Language identified: [java]	11
Language identified: [cpp]	Language identified: [en]		Language identified: [cpp]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [cpp]	Language identified: [it]		Language identified: [cpp]	Language identified: [it]	
Language identified: [he]	Language identified: [he]	12	Language identified: [he]	Language identified: [he]	12
Language identified: [java]	Language identified: [it]		Language identified: [java]	Language identified: [it]	
Language identified: [perl]	Language identified: [cpp]		Language identified: [perl]	Language identified: [cpp]	
Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [ar]	13	Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [ar]	13
Language identified: [perl]	Language identified: [perl]	14	Language identified: [perl]	Language identified: [perl]	14
Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [he]		Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [he]	
Language identified: [fr]	Language identified: [it]		Language identified: [fr]	Language identified: [it]	
Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [bg]		Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [bg]	
Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	15	Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	15
Total	24	15	Total	24	15
%	62.50		%	62.50	

```
trigram,witten-bell
```

Ideal	Actual	Match
Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	1
Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	2
Language identified: [es]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	3
Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [fr]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [es]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [he]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [it]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [bg]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [java]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [cpp]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [cpp]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [he]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [java]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [perl]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [perl]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [ru]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [fr]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [ar]	Language identified: [en]	
Language identified: [en]	Language identified: [en]	4
Total	24	4
%	16.67	

11.1.4 CYK Probabilistic Parsing with ProbabilisticParsingApp

Revision : 1.30

Originally written on April 12, 2003.

11.1.4.1 Introduction

This section describes the implementation of the CYK probabilistic parsing algorithm [Mar] implemented in Java and discusses the experiments, grammars used, the results, and difficulties encountered.

11.1.4.2 The Program

11.1.4.2.1 Manual and the Source Code Mini User Manual along with instructions on how to run the application are provided in the Section 11.1.4.5. The source code is provided in the electronic form only with few extracts in the presented in the document.

11.1.4.2.2 Grammar File Format Serguei has developed a “grammar compiler” for the Compiler Design course, and we have adapted it to accept probabilistic grammars. The grammar compiler reads a source grammar text file and compiles it (some rudimentary lexical and semantic checks are in place). As a result, a successfully “compiled” **Grammar** object has a set of terminals, non-terminals, and rules stored in a binary file. Parsers re-load this compiled grammar and do the main parsing of what they are supposed to parse.

```
<LHS> ::= PROBABILITY RHS %EOL

# single-line comment; shell style

// single-line comment; C++ style

/*
 * multi-line comment; C style
 */
```

Figure 11.17: Grammar File Format

The grammar file for the grammar file has the format presented in Figure 11.17. Description of the elements is below. The example of the grammar rules is in Figure 11.18. **Whenever one changes the grammar, it has to be recompiled to take effect.**

- <LHS> is a single non-terminal on the left-hand side of the rule.
- ::= is a rule operator separating LHS and RHS.
- PROBABILITY is a floating-point number indicating rule's probability.
- RHS for this particular assignment has to be in CNF, i.e. either <C> or terminal with <A> and being non-terminals.
- All non-terminals have to be enclosed within the angle brackets < and >.
- All grammar rules have to be terminated by %EOL that acts a semicolon in C/C++ or Java. It indicates where to stop processing current rule and look for the next (in case a rule spans several text lines).
- Amount of white space between grammar elements doesn't matter much.
- The grammar file has also a notion of comments. The grammar compiler accepts shell-like single line comments when lines start with # as well as C or C++ comments like // and /* */ with the same effect as that of C and C++.

11.1.4.2.3 Data Structures The main storage data structure is an instance of the `Grammar` class that holds vectors of terminals (see file `marf/nlp/Parsing/GrammarCompiler/Terminal.java`), non-terminals (see file `marf/nlp/Parsing/GrammarCompiler/NonTerminal.java`), and rules (see file `marf/nlp/Parsing/GrammarCompiler/ProbabilisticRule.java`).

While the grammar is being parsed and compiled there are also various grammar tokens and their types involved. Since they are not very much relevant to the subject of this application we won't talk

```

/*
 * 80% of sentences are noun phrases
 * followed by verb phrases.
 */
<S> ::= 0.8 <NP> <VP> %EOL

// A very rare verb
<V> ::= 0.0001 disambiguate %EOL

# EOF

```

Figure 11.18: Grammar File Example

about them (examine the contents of the `marf/nlp/Parsing/` and `marf/nlp/Parsing/GrammarCompiler/` directories if you care).

The CYK algorithm's data structures, the π and `back` arrays, are represented as 3-dimensional array of doubles and vectors of back-indices respectively: `double[][][] oParseMatrix` and `Vector[][][] aoBack` in `marf/nlp/Parsing/ProbabilisticParser.java`. There is also a vector of words of the incoming sentence to be parsed, `Vector oWords`.

11.1.4.3 Methodology

We have experimented (not to full extent yet) with three grammars: *Basic*, *Extended*, and *Realistic*. Description of the grammars and how they were obtained is presented below. The set of testing sentences was initially based on the given (Basic) grammar to see whether the CYK algorithm indeed parses all syntactically valid sentences and rejects the rest. Then the sentence set was augmented from various sources (e.g. the paper Serguei presented and on top of his head). Finally, the original requirements were attempted to be used as a source of grammar.

11.1.4.3.1 Basic Grammar The basic grammar given in the requirements has been used at first to develop and debug the application. The basic grammar is in Figure 11.19.

11.1.4.3.2 Extended Grammar The basic grammar was extended with few rules from [JM00], p. 449. The probability scores are **artificial** and adapted from the basic grammar and the book's grammar, and recomputed *approximately*¹ by hand. The extended grammar is in Figure 11.20. Both basic and extended grammars used the same set of testing sentences presented in Figure 11.21. There is a number of sentences for which we have never come up with rules as initially intended, so there are no parses for the them in the output can be seen yet, a TODO.

¹Preserving proportional relevance from all sources and making sure they all add up to 100%

```

<S>      ::= 0.8 <NP> <VP> %EOL
<S>      ::= 0.2 <V> <NP> %EOL
<NP>     ::= 1.0 <DET> <NOMINAL> %EOL
<NOMINAL> ::= 1.0 <ADJ> <NOMINAL> %EOL
<VP>     ::= 1.0 <V> <NP> %EOL
<DET>    ::= 0.5 the %EOL
<DET>    ::= 0.4 a %EOL
<DET>    ::= 0.1 my %EOL
<NOMINAL> ::= 0.4 rabbit %EOL
<NOMINAL> ::= 0.2 smile %EOL
<NOMINAL> ::= 0.4 cat %EOL
<V>      ::= 0.8 has %EOL
<V>      ::= 0.2 eats %EOL
<ADJ>    ::= 1.0 white %EOL

```

Figure 11.19: Original Basic Grammar

```

<S>      ::= 0.35 <NP> <VP> %EOL
<S>      ::= 0.25 <Pronoun> <VP> %EOL
<S>      ::= 0.03 <NOMINAL> <VP> %EOL
<S>      ::= 0.05 <V> <NP> %EOL
<S>      ::= 0.17 <V> <Pronoun> %EOL
<S>      ::= 0.05 <V> <NOMINAL> %EOL
<S>      ::= 0.10 <AuxNP> <VP> %EOL
<NP>     ::= 0.35 <DET> <NOMINAL> %EOL
<NP>     ::= 0.65 <ProperNoun> <NOMINAL> %EOL
<NOMINAL> ::= 0.10 <ProperNoun> <NOMINAL> %EOL
<NOMINAL> ::= 0.90 <ADJ> <NOMINAL> %EOL
<VP>     ::= 0.95 <V> <NP> %EOL
<VP>     ::= 0.05 <VP> <NP> %EOL
<AuxNP>  ::= 0.20 <Aux> <NP> %EOL
<AuxNP>  ::= 0.60 <Aux> <Pronoun> %EOL
<AuxNP>  ::= 0.20 <Aux> <NOMINAL> %EOL
<DET>    ::= 0.50 the %EOL
<DET>    ::= 0.40 a %EOL
<DET>    ::= 0.05 my %EOL
<DET>    ::= 0.05 that %EOL
<NOMINAL> ::= 0.20 rabbit %EOL
<NOMINAL> ::= 0.10 smile %EOL
<NOMINAL> ::= 0.20 cat %EOL
<NOMINAL> ::= 0.05 book %EOL
<NOMINAL> ::= 0.25 flights %EOL
<NOMINAL> ::= 0.20 meal %EOL
<V>      ::= 0.50 has %EOL
<V>      ::= 0.10 eats %EOL
<V>      ::= 0.10 book %EOL
<V>      ::= 0.10 include %EOL
<V>      ::= 0.20 want %EOL
<Aux>    ::= 0.40 can %EOL
<Aux>    ::= 0.30 does %EOL
<Aux>    ::= 0.30 do %EOL
<ADJ>    ::= 0.80 white %EOL
<ADJ>    ::= 0.20 blue %EOL
<Pronoun> ::= 0.40 you %EOL
<Pronoun> ::= 0.60 I %EOL
<ProperNoun> ::= 0.40 TWA %EOL
<ProperNoun> ::= 0.60 Denver %EOL

```

Figure 11.20: Extended Grammar

my rabbit has a white smile
my rabbit has a smile
my rabbit has a telephone
rabbit my a white has smile
a slim blue refrigerator jumped gracefully out of the bottle
my smile has a rabbit
the cat eats my white rabbit
a white smile eats the cat
my cat has a white rabbit
my cat has white rabbit
cat has a white rabbit
smile has my cat
can you book TWA flights
the lion jumped through the hoop
the trainer jumped the lion through the hoop
the butter melted in the pan
the cook melted the butter in the pan
the rich love their money
the rich love sometimes too
the contractor built the houses last summer
the contractor built last summer

Figure 11.21: Input sentences for the Basic and Extended Grammars

11.1.4.3.3 Realistic Grammar Without having 100% completed the extended grammar, we jumped to develop something more “realistic”, the Realistic Grammar. Since the two previous grammars are quite artificial, to test out it on some more “realistic” data we came up with the best grammar we could from the sentences of the requirements (other than the sample grammar; the actual requirements were used). The sentences, some were expanded, are in Figure 11.22, and the grammar itself is in Figure 11.23. Many of the rules of the form of $A \rightarrow BC$ still may not have truly correct probabilities corresponding to the paper due to the above two reasons.

11.1.4.3.4 Grammar Restrictions All incoming sentences, though case-sensitive, are required to be in the lower-case unless a given word is a proper noun or the pronoun *I*. The current system doesn't deal with punctuation either, so complex sentences that use commas, semicolons, and other punctuation characters may not (and appear not to) be parsed correctly (such punctuation is simply ignored).

However, all the above restrictions can be solved just at the grammar level without touching a single line of code, but they were not dealt with yet in the first prototype.

11.1.4.3.5 Difficulties Encountered

Learning Probabilistic Grammars The major problem of this type of grammars is to learn them. This requires at least having a some decent POS tagger (e.g. in [Bri95]) and decent knowledge of the English grammar and then sitting down and computing the probabilities of the rules manually. This is a very time-consuming and unjustified enormous effort for documents of relatively small size (let alone medium-size or million-word corpora). Hence, there is a need for automatic tools and/or pre-existing (and

you should submit a paper listing and report and an electronic version of your code
 implement the CYK algorithm to find the best parse for a given sentence
 your program should take as input a probabilistic grammar and a sentence and display the best parse tree along with its probability
 you are not required to use a specific programming language
 Perl, CPP, C or Java are appropriate for this task
 as long as you explain it, your grammar can be in any format you wish
 your grammar can be in any format you wish as long as you explain it
 experiment with your grammar
 is it restrictive enough
 does it refuse ungrammatical sentences
 does it cover all grammatical sentences
 write a report to describe your code and your experimentation
 your report must describe the program
 your report must describe the experiments
 describe your code itself
 indicate the instructions necessary to run your code
 describe your choice of test sentences
 describe your grammar and how you developed it
 what problems do you see with your current implementation
 what problems do you see with your current grammar
 how would you improve it
 both the code and the report must be submitted electronically and in paper
 in class, you must submit a listing of your program and results and the report
 through the electronic submission form you must submit the code of your program and results and an electronic version of your report

Figure 11.22: Requirements' sentences used as a parsed "corpus"

freely available!) treebanks to learn the grammars from. For example, we have spent two days developing grammar for a one-sheet document (see Section 11.1.4.3.3) and the end result is that we can only parse 3 (three) sentences so far with it.

Chomsky Normal Form Another problem is the conversion of existing grammars or maintaining the current grammar to make sure it is in the CNF as the CYK algorithm [Mar] requires. This is a problem because for a human maintainer the number of rules grows, so it becomes less obvious what a initial rule was like, and there's always a possibility to create more undesired parses that way. We had to do that for the Extended and Realistic Grammars that were based on the grammar rules from the book [JM00].

To illustrate the problem, the rules similar to the below have to be converted into CNF:

```

<A> -> <B>
<A> -> t <C>
<A> -> <B> <C> <D>
<A> -> <B> <C> t <D> <E>
  
```

The conversion implies creating new non-terminals augmenting the number of rules, which may be hard to trace later on when there are many.

```

<A>    -> <B> <A>
  
```

```

<S> ::= 0.35 <NP> <VP> %EOL
<S> ::= 0.25 <Pronoun> <VP> %EOL
<S> ::= 0.03 <NOMINAL> <VP> %EOL
<S> ::= 0.05 <V> <NP> %EOL
<S> ::= 0.17 <V> <Pronoun> %EOL
<S> ::= 0.05 <V> <NOMINAL> %EOL
<S> ::= 0.10 <AuxNP> <VP> %EOL
<S> ::= 0.10 <S> <ConjS> %EOL
<S> ::= 0.10 <WhNP> <VP> %EOL
<S> ::= 0.10 <WhAuxNP> <VP> %EOL
<WhAuxNP> ::= 1.00 <WhNP> <AuxNP> %EOL
<WhNP> ::= 0.34 <WhWord> <NP> %EOL
<WhNP> ::= 0.33 <WhWord> <Pronoun> %EOL
<WhNP> ::= 0.33 <WhWord> <NOMINAL> %EOL
<ConjS> ::= 1.00 <Conj> <S> %EOL
<NP> ::= 0.35 <DET> <NOMINAL> %EOL
<NP> ::= 0.10 <ProperNoun> <NOMINAL> %EOL
<NP> ::= 0.30 <NP> <ConjNP> %EOL
<NP> ::= 0.10 <Pronoun> <ConjNP> %EOL
<NP> ::= 0.05 <NOMINAL> <ConjNP> %EOL
<NP> ::= 0.10 <PreDet> <NOMINAL> %EOL
<ConjNP> ::= 0.34 <Conj> <NP> %EOL
<ConjNP> ::= 0.33 <Conj> <Pronoun> %EOL
<ConjNP> ::= 0.33 <Conj> <NOMINAL> %EOL
<NOMINAL> ::= 0.08 <ProperNoun> <NOMINAL> %EOL
<NOMINAL> ::= 0.80 <ADJ> <NOMINAL> %EOL
<NOMINAL> ::= 0.12 <NOMINAL> <PP> %EOL
<VP> ::= 0.34 <V> <NP> %EOL
<VP> ::= 0.18 <V> <Pronoun> %EOL
<VP> ::= 0.01 <V> <Adv> %EOL
<VP> ::= 0.17 <V> <NOMINAL> %EOL
<VP> ::= 0.05 <VP> <NP> %EOL
<VP> ::= 0.05 <VP> <Pronoun> %EOL
<VP> ::= 0.05 <VP> <NOMINAL> %EOL
<VP> ::= 0.05 <VP> <ConjVP> %EOL
<VP> ::= 0.02 <V> <S> %EOL
<VP> ::= 0.03 <V> <PP> %EOL
<VP> ::= 0.05 <V> <NPPP> %EOL
<ConjVP> ::= 1.00 <Conj> <VP> %EOL
<NPPP> ::= 0.34 <NP> <PP> %EOL
<NPPP> ::= 0.33 <Pronoun> <PP> %EOL
<NPPP> ::= 0.33 <NOMINAL> <PP> %EOL
<PP> ::= 1.00 <Prep> <NP> %EOL
<AuxNP> ::= 0.20 <Aux> <NP> %EOL
<AuxNP> ::= 0.60 <Aux> <Pronoun> %EOL
<AuxNP> ::= 0.20 <Aux> <NOMINAL> %EOL
<DET> ::= 0.48 the %EOL
<DET> ::= 0.32 a %EOL
<DET> ::= 0.08 an %EOL
<DET> ::= 0.08 any %EOL
<DET> ::= 0.04 this %EOL
<DET> ::= 0.00 my %EOL
<DET> ::= 0.00 that %EOL
<DET> ::= 0.00 those %EOL
<DET> ::= 0.00 these %EOL
<NOMINAL> ::= 0.0188679 paper %EOL
<NOMINAL> ::= 0.0188679 algorithm %EOL
<NOMINAL> ::= 0.0188679 parse %EOL
<NOMINAL> ::= 0.0188679 input %EOL
<NOMINAL> ::= 0.0188679 tree %EOL
<NOMINAL> ::= 0.0188679 probability %EOL
<NOMINAL> ::= 0.0188679 language %EOL
<NOMINAL> ::= 0.0188679 task %EOL
<NOMINAL> ::= 0.0188679 experimentation %EOL
<NOMINAL> ::= 0.0188679 experiments %EOL
<NOMINAL> ::= 0.0188679 instructions %EOL
<NOMINAL> ::= 0.0188679 choice %EOL
<NOMINAL> ::= 0.0188679 implementation %EOL
<NOMINAL> ::= 0.0188679 class %EOL
<NOMINAL> ::= 0.0188679 form %EOL
<NOMINAL> ::= 0.0377358 listing %EOL
<NOMINAL> ::= 0.0377358 version %EOL
<NOMINAL> ::= 0.0377358 sentence %EOL
<NOMINAL> ::= 0.0377358 format %EOL
<NOMINAL> ::= 0.0377358 problems %EOL
<NOMINAL> ::= 0.0377358 results %EOL
<NOMINAL> ::= 0.0566038 sentences %EOL
<NOMINAL> ::= 0.0754717 program %EOL
<NOMINAL> ::= 0.113208 code %EOL
<NOMINAL> ::= 0.113208 grammar %EOL
<NOMINAL> ::= 0.132075 report %EOL
<NOMINAL> ::= 0.00 rabbit %EOL
<NOMINAL> ::= 0.00 smile %EOL
<NOMINAL> ::= 0.00 cat %EOL

```

```

<A>   -> <T> <C>
<A>   -> <BC> <D>
<A>   -> <BCT> <DE>
<T>   -> t
<BC>  -> <B> <C>
<DE>  -> <D> <DE>
<BCT> -> <BC> <T>

```

The rule-set has been doubled in the above example. That creates a problem of distributing the probability to the new rules as well as the problem below.

Exponential Growth of Storage Requirements and Run Time While playing with the Basic and Extended grammars, we didn't pay much attention to the run-time aspect of the algorithm (even though the number of the nested for-loops looked alerting) because it was a second or less for the sentence set in Figure 11.21. It became a problem, however, when we came up with the Realistic grammar. The run-time for this grammar has jumped to 16 (sixteen !!!) **minutes** (!!!) in average for the sentence set in Figure 11.22. This was rather discouraging (unless the goal is not the speed but the most correct result at the hopefully near end). The problem stems from the algorithm's complexity and huge data sparseness for large grammars. One of the major reasons of the data sparseness problem is the CNF requirement as described above: the number of non-terminals grows rapidly largely increasing one of the dimensions of our π and **back** arrays causing number of iteration of the parsing algorithm to increase exponentially (or it is at least cubic). And a lot of time is spent to find out that there's no a parse for a sentence (given all the words of the sentence in the grammar).

Data Sparseness and Smoothing The bigger grammar is the more severe the data sparseness is in our arrays in this algorithm causing the above problem of the run-time and storage requirements. Smoothing techniques we previously implemented in **LangIdentApp** can be applied to at least get rid of zero-probabilities in the π array, but we believe the smoothing might hurt rather than improve the parsing performance; yet we haven't tested this claim out yet (a TODO). A better way could be smooth the grammar rules' probabilities.

11.1.4.4 Results and Conclusions

11.1.4.4.1 Generalities The algorithm does indeed seem to work and accept syntactically-valid sentences while rejecting the ungrammatical ones. Though to exhaust all the possible grammatical and ungrammatical sentences in testing would require a lot more time. There is also some disappointment with respect to the running time increase when the grammar grows and the other problems mentioned before.

To overcome the run-time problem, we'd have to use some more sophisticated data structures than a plain 3D array of doubles, but this is like fighting with the disease, instead of its cause. The CYK

algorithm has to be optimized or even re-born to allow more than just CNF grammars and be faster at the same time.

The restrictions on the sentences mentioned earlier can all be overcome by just only tweaking the grammar (but increasing the run-time along the way).

While most of the results of my test runs are in the further sections, below we present one interactive session sample as well as our favorite parse.

11.1.4.4.2 Sample Interactive Run

```
junebug.mokhov [a3] % java ProbabilisticParsingApp --parse
```

```
Probabilistic Parsing
Serguei A. Mokhov, mokhov@cs
April 2003
```

```
Entering interactive mode... Type \q to exit.
```

```
sentence> my rabbit has a white smile
```

```
my rabbit has a white smile
```

```
Parse for the sentence [ my rabbit has a white smile ] is below:
```

```
SYNOPSIS:
```

```
<NONTERMINAL> (PROBABILITY) [ SPAN: words of span ]
```

```
<S> (0.0020480000000000008) [ 0-5: my rabbit has a white smile ]
  <NP> (0.040000000000000001) [ 0-1: my rabbit ]
    <DET> (0.1) [ 0-0: my ]
    <NOMINAL> (0.4) [ 1-1: rabbit ]
  <VP> (0.064000000000000002) [ 2-5: has a white smile ]
    <V> (0.8) [ 2-2: has ]
    <NP> (0.080000000000000002) [ 3-5: a white smile ]
      <DET> (0.4) [ 3-3: a ]
      <NOMINAL> (0.2) [ 4-5: white smile ]
        <ADJ> (1.0) [ 4-4: white ]
        <NOMINAL> (0.2) [ 5-5: smile ]
```

```
sentence> my rabbit has a smile
```

```
my rabbit has a smile
```

```
Parse for the sentence [ my rabbit has a smile ] is below:
```

```
SYNOPSIS:
```

```
<NONTERMINAL> (PROBABILITY) [ SPAN: words of span ]
```

```
<S> (0.0020480000000000008) [ 0-4: my rabbit has a smile ]
  <NP> (0.040000000000000001) [ 0-1: my rabbit ]
    <DET> (0.1) [ 0-0: my ]
    <NOMINAL> (0.4) [ 1-1: rabbit ]
  <VP> (0.064000000000000002) [ 2-4: has a smile ]
```

```

<V> (0.8) [ 2-2: has ]
<NP> (0.08000000000000002) [ 3-4: a smile ]
  <DET> (0.4) [ 3-3: a ]
  <NOMINAL> (0.2) [ 4-4: smile ]

```

```

sentence> my rabbit has a telephone
my rabbit has a telephone

```

There's no parse for [my rabbit has a telephone]

```

sentence> the cat eats the rabbit
the cat eats the rabbit

```

Parse for the sentence [the cat eats the rabbit] is below:

SYNOPSIS:

```

<NONTERMINAL> (PROBABILITY) [ SPAN: words of span ]

<S> (0.006400000000000002) [ 0-4: the cat eats the rabbit ]
  <NP> (0.2) [ 0-1: the cat ]
    <DET> (0.5) [ 0-0: the ]
    <NOMINAL> (0.4) [ 1-1: cat ]
  <VP> (0.04000000000000001) [ 2-4: eats the rabbit ]
    <V> (0.2) [ 2-2: eats ]
    <NP> (0.2) [ 3-4: the rabbit ]
      <DET> (0.5) [ 3-3: the ]
      <NOMINAL> (0.4) [ 4-4: rabbit ]

```

```

sentence> a white cat has a white smile
a white cat has a white smile

```

Parse for the sentence [a white cat has a white smile] is below:

SYNOPSIS:

```

<NONTERMINAL> (PROBABILITY) [ SPAN: words of span ]

<S> (0.008192000000000003) [ 0-6: a white cat has a white smile ]
  <NP> (0.16000000000000003) [ 0-2: a white cat ]
    <DET> (0.4) [ 0-0: a ]
    <NOMINAL> (0.4) [ 1-2: white cat ]
      <ADJ> (1.0) [ 1-1: white ]
      <NOMINAL> (0.4) [ 2-2: cat ]
  <VP> (0.06400000000000002) [ 3-6: has a white smile ]
    <V> (0.8) [ 3-3: has ]
    <NP> (0.08000000000000002) [ 4-6: a white smile ]
      <DET> (0.4) [ 4-4: a ]
      <NOMINAL> (0.2) [ 5-6: white smile ]
        <ADJ> (1.0) [ 5-5: white ]
        <NOMINAL> (0.2) [ 6-6: smile ]

```

```

sentence> cat white my has rabbit
cat white my has rabbit

```

There's no parse for [cat white my has rabbit]

```
sentence> smile rabbit eats my cat
smile rabbit eats my cat
```

There's no parse for [smile rabbit eats my cat]

```
sentence> cat eats rabbit
cat eats rabbit
```

There's no parse for [cat eats rabbit]

```
sentence> the cat eats the rabbit
the cat eats the rabbit
```

Parse for the sentence [the cat eats the rabbit] is below:

SYNOPSIS:

<NONTERMINAL> (PROBABILITY) [SPAN: words of span]

```
<S> (0.006400000000000002) [ 0-4: the cat eats the rabbit ]
  <NP> (0.2) [ 0-1: the cat ]
    <DET> (0.5) [ 0-0: the ]
    <NOMINAL> (0.4) [ 1-1: cat ]
  <VP> (0.04000000000000001) [ 2-4: eats the rabbit ]
    <V> (0.2) [ 2-2: eats ]
    <NP> (0.2) [ 3-4: the rabbit ]
      <DET> (0.5) [ 3-3: the ]
      <NOMINAL> (0.4) [ 4-4: rabbit ]
```

```
sentence> \q
junebug.mokhov [a3] %
```

11.1.4.4.3 Favorite Parse As of this release, we were able to only parse the three sentences from the “requirements corpus” and below is the favorite:

```
<S> (7.381879524149979E-10) [ 0-7: describe your grammar and how you developed it ]
  <S> (4.11319751814313E-4) [ 0-2: describe your grammar ]
    <V> (0.206897) [ 0-0: describe ]
    <NOMINAL> (0.039760823193600005) [ 1-2: your grammar ]
      <ADJ> (0.439024) [ 1-1: your ]
      <NOMINAL> (0.113208) [ 2-2: grammar ]
  <ConjS> (1.7946815078995936E-5) [ 3-7: and how you developed it ]
    <Conj> (0.92) [ 3-3: and ]
    <S> (1.9507407694560798E-5) [ 4-7: how you developed it ]
      <WhNP> (0.094285785) [ 4-5: how you ]
        <WhWord> (0.5) [ 4-4: how ]
        <Pronoun> (0.571429) [ 5-5: you ]
```

```

<VP> (0.002068965931032) [ 6-7: developed it ]
  <V> (0.0344828) [ 6-6: developed ]
    <Pronoun> (0.333333) [ 7-7: it ]

```

11.1.4.5 Mini User Manual

11.1.4.5.1 System Requirements The program was mostly developed under Linux, so there's a Makefile and a testing shell script to simplify some routine tasks. For JVM, any JDK 1.4.* and above will do. `bash` would be nice to have to be able to run the batch script. Since the application itself is written in Java, it's not bound to a specific architecture, thus may be compiled and run without the makefiles and scripts on virtually any operating system.

11.1.4.5.2 How To Run It There are thousands of ways how to run the program. Some of them are listed below.

Using the Shell Script There is a script out there – `testing.sh`. It simply does compilation and batch processing for all the three grammars and two sets of test sentences in one run. The script is written using `bash` syntax; hence, `bash` should be present.

Type:

```
./testing.sh
```

or

```
time ./testing.sh
```

to execute the batch (and time it in the second case). And example of what one can get is below. NOTE: processing the first grammar, in `grammar.asmt.txt`, may take awhile (below it took us 16 minutes), so be aware of that fact (see the reasons in Section 11.1.4.3.5).

E.g.:

```

junebug.mokhov [a3] % time ./testing.sh
Making sure java files get compiled...
javac -g ProbabilisticParsingApp.java
Testing...
Compiling grammar: grammar.asmt.txt
Parsing...991.318u 1.511s 16:35.55 99.7%          0+0k 0+0io 5638pf+0w
Done
Look for parsing results in grammar.asmt.txt-parse.log

Compiling grammar: grammar.extended.txt
Parsing...1.591u 0.062s 0:01.82 90.6%          0+0k 0+0io 5637pf+0w
Done

```


Look for parsing results in `grammar.extended.txt-parse.log`

```
Compiling grammar: grammar.original.txt
Parsing...0.455u 0.066s 0:00.72 70.8% 0+0k 0+0io 5599pf+0w
Done
Look for parsing results in grammar.original.txt-parse.log
```

```
Testing done.
995.675u 1.906s 16:41.68 99.5% 0+0k 0+0io 42211pf+0w
junebug.mokhov [a3] %
```

Running ProbabilisticParsingApp You can run the application itself without any wrapping scripts and provide options to it. This is a command-line application, so there is no GUI associated with it yet. To run the application you have to compile it first. You can use either `make` with no arguments to compile or use a standard Java compiler.

Type:

```
make
```

or

```
javac -cp marf.jar:. ProbabilisticParsingApp.java
```

After having compiled the application, you can run it with a JVM. There are mutually-exclusive required options:

- `--train <grammar-file>` – to compile a grammar from the specified text file. This is the first thing you need to do before trying to parse any sentences. Once compiled, you don't need to recompile it each time you run the parser unless you made a fresh copy of the application or made changes to the grammar file or plan to use a grammar from another file.
- `--parse` – to actually run the parser in the interactive mode (or batch mode, just use input file redirection with your test sentences). To run the parser successfully there should be compiled grammar first (see `--train`).

E.g.:

To compile the Basic Grammar:

```
java -cp marf.jar:. ProbabilisticParsingApp.java --train grammars/grammar.original.txt
```

To batch process the sentence set from a file:

```
java -cp marf.jar:. ProbabilisticParsingApp.java --parse < data/test-sentences.txt
```

To run the application interactively:

```
java -cp marf.jar:. ProbabilisticParsingApp.java --parse
```

Complete usage information:

Probabilistic Parsing

Serguei A. Mokhov, mokhov@cs.concordia.ca

April 2003 - 2007

Usage:

```
java ProbabilisticParsingApp --help | -h
    : to display this help and exit
```

```
java ProbabilisticParsingApp --version
    : to display version and exit
```

```
java ProbabilisticParsingApp --train [ OPTIONS ] <grammar-file>
    : to compile grammar from the <grammar-file>
```

```
java ProbabilisticParsingApp --parse [ OPTIONS ]
    : to parse sentences from standard input
```

Where options are of the following:

```
--debug - enable debugging (more verbose output)
-case   - make it case-sensitive
-num    - parse numerical values
-quote  - consider quotes and count quoted strings as one token
-eos    - make typical ends of sentences (<?>, <!>, <.>) significant
```

11.1.4.5.3 List of Files of Interest

Directories

- marf/nlp/ – that's where most of the code is for this application is, the marf.nlp package.
- marf/nlp/Parsing/ – that's where most of the Parsing code is for Probabilistic Grammars and Grammars in general
- marf/nlp/Parsing/GrammarCompiler/ – that's where the Grammar Compiler modules are

The Application The application, its makefile, and the wrapper script for batch training and testing.

```
ProbabilisticParsingApp.java
Makefile
testing.sh
marf.jar
```

Grammars grammars/grammar.original.txt – The Basic Grammar

grammars/grammar.extended.txt – The Extended Grammar

grammars/grammar.asmt.txt – The Realistic Grammar

Test Sentences data/test-sentences.txt – the sample sentences from all over the place.

data/asmt-sentences.txt – the sentences derived from the requirements sheet.

11.1.4.6 Results

11.1.4.6.1 Basic Grammar

```
Probabilistic Parsing
Serguei A. Mokhov, mokhov@cs
April 2003
```

```
Entering interactive mode... Type \q to exit.
sentence> my rabbit has a white smile
```

Parse for the sentence [my rabbit has a white smile] is below:

SYNOPSIS:

```
<NONTERMINAL> (PROBABILITY) [ SPAN: words of span ]

<S> (0.0020480000000000008) [ 0-5: my rabbit has a white smile ]
  <NP> (0.040000000000000001) [ 0-1: my rabbit ]
    <DET> (0.1) [ 0-0: my ]
    <NOMINAL> (0.4) [ 1-1: rabbit ]
  <VP> (0.064000000000000002) [ 2-5: has a white smile ]
    <V> (0.8) [ 2-2: has ]
    <NP> (0.080000000000000002) [ 3-5: a white smile ]
      <DET> (0.4) [ 3-3: a ]
      <NOMINAL> (0.2) [ 4-5: white smile ]
        <ADJ> (1.0) [ 4-4: white ]
        <NOMINAL> (0.2) [ 5-5: smile ]
```

```
sentence> my rabbit has a smile
```

Parse for the sentence [my rabbit has a smile] is below:

SYNOPSIS:

```
<NONTERMINAL> (PROBABILITY) [ SPAN: words of span ]

<S> (0.0020480000000000008) [ 0-4: my rabbit has a smile ]
  <NP> (0.040000000000000001) [ 0-1: my rabbit ]
    <DET> (0.1) [ 0-0: my ]
    <NOMINAL> (0.4) [ 1-1: rabbit ]
  <VP> (0.064000000000000002) [ 2-4: has a smile ]
    <V> (0.8) [ 2-2: has ]
```

```

<NP> (0.08000000000000002) [ 3-4: a smile ]
  <DET> (0.4) [ 3-3: a ]
  <NOMINAL> (0.2) [ 4-4: smile ]

```

sentence> my rabbit has a telephone

There's no parse for [my rabbit has a telephone]

sentence> rabbit my a white has smile

There's no parse for [rabbit my a white has smile]

sentence> a slim blue refrigerator jumped gracefully out of the bottle

There's no parse for [a slim blue refrigerator jumped gracefully out of the bottle]

sentence> my smile has a rabbit

Parse for the sentence [my smile has a rabbit] is below:

SYNOPSIS:

```

<NONTERMINAL> (PROBABILITY) [ SPAN: words of span ]

```

```

<S> (0.0020480000000000008) [ 0-4: my smile has a rabbit ]
  <NP> (0.020000000000000004) [ 0-1: my smile ]
    <DET> (0.1) [ 0-0: my ]
    <NOMINAL> (0.2) [ 1-1: smile ]
  <VP> (0.128000000000000003) [ 2-4: has a rabbit ]
    <V> (0.8) [ 2-2: has ]
    <NP> (0.160000000000000003) [ 3-4: a rabbit ]
      <DET> (0.4) [ 3-3: a ]
      <NOMINAL> (0.4) [ 4-4: rabbit ]

```

sentence> the cat eats my white rabbit

Parse for the sentence [the cat eats my white rabbit] is below:

SYNOPSIS:

```

<NONTERMINAL> (PROBABILITY) [ SPAN: words of span ]

```

```

<S> (0.0012800000000000005) [ 0-5: the cat eats my white rabbit ]
  <NP> (0.2) [ 0-1: the cat ]
    <DET> (0.5) [ 0-0: the ]
    <NOMINAL> (0.4) [ 1-1: cat ]
  <VP> (0.008000000000000002) [ 2-5: eats my white rabbit ]
    <V> (0.2) [ 2-2: eats ]
    <NP> (0.040000000000000001) [ 3-5: my white rabbit ]
      <DET> (0.1) [ 3-3: my ]
      <NOMINAL> (0.4) [ 4-5: white rabbit ]
        <ADJ> (1.0) [ 4-4: white ]
        <NOMINAL> (0.4) [ 5-5: rabbit ]

```

sentence> a white smile eats the cat

Parse for the sentence [a white smile eats the cat] is below:

SYNOPSIS:

```

<NONTERMINAL> (PROBABILITY) [ SPAN: words of span ]

```

```

<S> (0.0025600000000000015) [ 0-5: a white smile eats the cat ]
  <NP> (0.080000000000000002) [ 0-2: a white smile ]
    <DET> (0.4) [ 0-0: a ]
    <NOMINAL> (0.2) [ 1-2: white smile ]
      <ADJ> (1.0) [ 1-1: white ]
      <NOMINAL> (0.2) [ 2-2: smile ]
  <VP> (0.040000000000000001) [ 3-5: eats the cat ]
    <V> (0.2) [ 3-3: eats ]
    <NP> (0.2) [ 4-5: the cat ]
      <DET> (0.5) [ 4-4: the ]
      <NOMINAL> (0.4) [ 5-5: cat ]

```

sentence> my cat has a white rabbit

Parse for the sentence [my cat has a white rabbit] is below:

SYNOPSIS:

<NONTERMINAL> (PROBABILITY) [SPAN: words of span]

```
<S> (0.0040960000000000015) [ 0-5: my cat has a white rabbit ]
  <NP> (0.040000000000000001) [ 0-1: my cat ]
    <DET> (0.1) [ 0-0: my ]
    <NOMINAL> (0.4) [ 1-1: cat ]
  <VP> (0.128000000000000003) [ 2-5: has a white rabbit ]
    <V> (0.8) [ 2-2: has ]
    <NP> (0.160000000000000003) [ 3-5: a white rabbit ]
      <DET> (0.4) [ 3-3: a ]
      <NOMINAL> (0.4) [ 4-5: white rabbit ]
        <ADJ> (1.0) [ 4-4: white ]
        <NOMINAL> (0.4) [ 5-5: rabbit ]
```

sentence> my cat has white rabbit

There's no parse for [my cat has white rabbit]

sentence> cat has a white rabbit

There's no parse for [cat has a white rabbit]

sentence> smile has my cat

There's no parse for [smile has my cat]

sentence> can you book TWA flights

There's no parse for [can you book TWA flights]

sentence> the lion jumped through the hoop

There's no parse for [the lion jumped through the hoop]

sentence> the trainer jumped the lion through the hoop

There's no parse for [the trainer jumped the lion through the hoop]

sentence> the butter melted in the pan

There's no parse for [the butter melted in the pan]

sentence> the cook melted the butter in the pan

There's no parse for [the cook melted the butter in the pan]

sentence> the rich love their money

There's no parse for [the rich love their money]

sentence> the rich love sometimes too

There's no parse for [the rich love sometimes too]

sentence> the contractor built the houses last summer

There's no parse for [the contractor built the houses last summer]

sentence> the contractor built last summer

There's no parse for [the contractor built last summer]

sentence>

11.1.4.6.2 Extended Grammar

Serguei A. Mokhov, mokhov@cs
April 2003

Entering interactive mode... Type \q to exit.
sentence> my rabbit has a white smile

Parse for the sentence [my rabbit has a white smile] is below:

SYNOPSIS:

```
<NONTERMINAL> (PROBABILITY) [ SPAN: words of span ]

<S> (1.91520000000001E-6) [ 0-5: my rabbit has a white smile ]
  <NP> (0.00200000000000005) [ 0-1: my rabbit ]
    <DET> (0.05) [ 0-0: my ]
    <NOMINAL> (0.2) [ 1-1: rabbit ]
  <VP> (0.00273600000000001) [ 2-5: has a white smile ]
    <V> (0.5) [ 2-2: has ]
    <NP> (0.00576000000000002) [ 3-5: a white smile ]
      <DET> (0.4) [ 3-3: a ]
      <NOMINAL> (0.0720000000000002) [ 4-5: white smile ]
        <ADJ> (0.8) [ 4-4: white ]
        <NOMINAL> (0.1) [ 5-5: smile ]
```

sentence> my rabbit has a smile

Parse for the sentence [my rabbit has a smile] is below:

SYNOPSIS:

```
<NONTERMINAL> (PROBABILITY) [ SPAN: words of span ]

<S> (2.660000000000012E-6) [ 0-4: my rabbit has a smile ]
  <NP> (0.00200000000000005) [ 0-1: my rabbit ]
    <DET> (0.05) [ 0-0: my ]
    <NOMINAL> (0.2) [ 1-1: rabbit ]
  <VP> (0.00380000000000001) [ 2-4: has a smile ]
    <V> (0.5) [ 2-2: has ]
    <NP> (0.00800000000000002) [ 3-4: a smile ]
      <DET> (0.4) [ 3-3: a ]
      <NOMINAL> (0.1) [ 4-4: smile ]
```

sentence> my rabbit has a telephone

There's no parse for [my rabbit has a telephone]

sentence> rabbit my a white has smile

There's no parse for [rabbit my a white has smile]

sentence> a slim blue refrigerator jumped gracefully out of the bottle

There's no parse for [a slim blue refrigerator jumped gracefully out of the bottle]

sentence> my smile has a rabbit

Parse for the sentence [my smile has a rabbit] is below:

SYNOPSIS:

```
<NONTERMINAL> (PROBABILITY) [ SPAN: words of span ]

<S> (2.660000000000012E-6) [ 0-4: my smile has a rabbit ]
  <NP> (0.00100000000000002) [ 0-1: my smile ]
    <DET> (0.05) [ 0-0: my ]
    <NOMINAL> (0.1) [ 1-1: smile ]
  <VP> (0.00760000000000002) [ 2-4: has a rabbit ]
    <V> (0.5) [ 2-2: has ]
    <NP> (0.01600000000000004) [ 3-4: a rabbit ]
      <DET> (0.4) [ 3-3: a ]
      <NOMINAL> (0.2) [ 4-4: rabbit ]
```

sentence> the cat eats my white rabbit

Parse for the sentence [the cat eats my white rabbit] is below:

SYNOPSIS:

```
<NONTERMINAL> (PROBABILITY) [ SPAN: words of span ]

<S> (9.576000000000004E-7) [ 0-5: the cat eats my white rabbit ]
  <NP> (0.02000000000000004) [ 0-1: the cat ]
    <DET> (0.5) [ 0-0: the ]
    <NOMINAL> (0.2) [ 1-1: cat ]
  <VP> (1.368000000000005E-4) [ 2-5: eats my white rabbit ]
    <V> (0.1) [ 2-2: eats ]
    <NP> (0.00144000000000005) [ 3-5: my white rabbit ]
      <DET> (0.05) [ 3-3: my ]
      <NOMINAL> (0.144000000000004) [ 4-5: white rabbit ]
        <ADJ> (0.8) [ 4-4: white ]
        <NOMINAL> (0.2) [ 5-5: rabbit ]
```

sentence> a white smile eats the cat

Parse for the sentence [a white smile eats the cat] is below:

SYNOPSIS:

```
<NONTERMINAL> (PROBABILITY) [ SPAN: words of span ]

<S> (3.830400000000015E-6) [ 0-5: a white smile eats the cat ]
  <NP> (0.00576000000000002) [ 0-2: a white smile ]
    <DET> (0.4) [ 0-0: a ]
    <NOMINAL> (0.0720000000000002) [ 1-2: white smile ]
      <ADJ> (0.8) [ 1-1: white ]
      <NOMINAL> (0.1) [ 2-2: smile ]
  <VP> (0.00190000000000004) [ 3-5: eats the cat ]
    <V> (0.1) [ 3-3: eats ]
    <NP> (0.02000000000000004) [ 4-5: the cat ]
      <DET> (0.5) [ 4-4: the ]
      <NOMINAL> (0.2) [ 5-5: cat ]
```

sentence> my cat has a white rabbit

Parse for the sentence [my cat has a white rabbit] is below:

SYNOPSIS:

```
<NONTERMINAL> (PROBABILITY) [ SPAN: words of span ]

<S> (3.83040000000002E-6) [ 0-5: my cat has a white rabbit ]
  <NP> (0.00200000000000005) [ 0-1: my cat ]
    <DET> (0.05) [ 0-0: my ]
    <NOMINAL> (0.2) [ 1-1: cat ]
  <VP> (0.00547200000000002) [ 2-5: has a white rabbit ]
    <V> (0.5) [ 2-2: has ]
    <NP> (0.01152000000000004) [ 3-5: a white rabbit ]
      <DET> (0.4) [ 3-3: a ]
      <NOMINAL> (0.144000000000004) [ 4-5: white rabbit ]
        <ADJ> (0.8) [ 4-4: white ]
        <NOMINAL> (0.2) [ 5-5: rabbit ]
```

sentence> my cat has white rabbit

There's no parse for [my cat has white rabbit]

sentence> cat has a white rabbit

Parse for the sentence [cat has a white rabbit] is below:

SYNOPSIS:

```
<NONTERMINAL> (PROBABILITY) [ SPAN: words of span ]

<S> (3.28320000000001E-5) [ 0-4: cat has a white rabbit ]
  <NOMINAL> (0.2) [ 0-0: cat ]
  <VP> (0.00547200000000002) [ 1-4: has a white rabbit ]
    <V> (0.5) [ 1-1: has ]
```

```

<NP> (0.011520000000000004) [ 2-4: a white rabbit ]
  <DET> (0.4) [ 2-2: a ]
  <NOMINAL> (0.14400000000000004) [ 3-4: white rabbit ]
    <ADJ> (0.8) [ 3-3: white ]
    <NOMINAL> (0.2) [ 4-4: rabbit ]

```

sentence> smile has my cat

Parse for the sentence [smile has my cat] is below:

SYNOPSIS:

```

<NONTERMINAL> (PROBABILITY) [ SPAN: words of span ]

<S> (2.8500000000000007E-6) [ 0-3: smile has my cat ]
  <NOMINAL> (0.1) [ 0-0: smile ]
  <VP> (9.500000000000002E-4) [ 1-3: has my cat ]
    <V> (0.5) [ 1-1: has ]
    <NP> (0.002000000000000005) [ 2-3: my cat ]
      <DET> (0.05) [ 2-2: my ]
      <NOMINAL> (0.2) [ 3-3: cat ]

```

sentence> can you book TWA flights

Parse for the sentence [can you book TWA flights] is below:

SYNOPSIS:

```

<NONTERMINAL> (PROBABILITY) [ SPAN: words of span ]

<S> (3.192E-5) [ 0-4: can you book TWA flights ]
  <AuxNP> (0.0960000000000002) [ 0-1: can you ]
    <Aux> (0.4) [ 0-0: can ]
    <Pronoun> (0.4) [ 1-1: you ]
  <VP> (0.003324999999999994) [ 2-4: book TWA flights ]
    <V> (0.1) [ 2-2: book ]
    <NP> (0.03499999999999996) [ 3-4: TWA flights ]
      <ProperNoun> (0.4) [ 3-3: TWA ]
      <NOMINAL> (0.25) [ 4-4: flights ]

```

sentence> the lion jumped through the hoop

There's no parse for [the lion jumped through the hoop]

sentence> the trainer jumped the lion through the hoop

There's no parse for [the trainer jumped the lion through the hoop]

sentence> the butter melted in the pan

There's no parse for [the butter melted in the pan]

sentence> the cook melted the butter in the pan

There's no parse for [the cook melted the butter in the pan]

sentence> the rich love their money

There's no parse for [the rich love their money]

sentence> the rich love sometimes too

There's no parse for [the rich love sometimes too]

sentence> the contractor built the houses last summer

There's no parse for [the contractor built the houses last summer]

sentence> the contractor built last summer

There's no parse for [the contractor built last summer]

sentence>

11.1.4.6.3 Realistic Grammar

Probabilistic Parsing
Serguei A. Mokhov, mokhov@cs
April 2003

```

Entering interactive mode... Type \q to exit.
sentence> you should submit a paper listing and report and an electronic version of your code

There's no parse for [ you should submit a paper listing and report and an electronic version of your code ]

sentence> implement the CYK algorithm to find the best parse for a given sentence

There's no parse for [ implement the CYK algorithm to find the best parse for a given sentence ]

sentence> your program should take as input a probabilistic grammar and a sentence and display the best parse tree along with its probability

There's no parse for [ your program should take as input a probabilistic grammar and a sentence and display the best parse tree along with its probability ]

sentence> you are not required to use a specific programming language

There's no parse for [ you are not required to use a specific programming language ]

sentence> Perl, CPP, C or Java are appropriate for this task
WARNING: Non-word token encountered: Token[''], line 1
WARNING: Non-word token encountered: Token[''], line 1

There's no parse for [ Perl, CPP, C or Java are appropriate for this task ]

sentence> as long as you explain it, your grammar can be in any format you wish
WARNING: Non-word token encountered: Token[''], line 1

There's no parse for [ as long as you explain it, your grammar can be in any format you wish ]

sentence> your grammar can be in any format you wish as long as you explain it

There's no parse for [ your grammar can be in any format you wish as long as you explain it ]

sentence> experiment with your grammar

There's no parse for [ experiment with your grammar ]

sentence> is it restrictive enough

There's no parse for [ is it restrictive enough ]

sentence> does it refuse ungrammatical sentences

Parse for the sentence [ does it refuse ungrammatical sentences ] is below:

SYNOPSIS:

<NONTERMINAL> (PROBABILITY) [ SPAN: words of span ]

<S> (1.294887213288665E-8) [ 0-4: does it refuse ungrammatical sentences ]
  <AuxNP> (0.01999998) [ 0-1: does it ]
    <Aux> (0.1) [ 0-0: does ]
    <Pronoun> (0.333333) [ 1-1: it ]
  <VP> (6.474442540885865E-6) [ 2-4: refuse ungrammatical sentences ]
    <V> (0.0344828) [ 2-2: refuse ]
    <NOMINAL> (0.001104462402208) [ 3-4: ungrammatical sentences ]
      <ADJ> (0.0243902) [ 3-3: ungrammatical ]
      <NOMINAL> (0.0566038) [ 4-4: sentences ]

sentence> does it cover all grammatical sentences

Parse for the sentence [ does it cover all grammatical sentences ] is below:

SYNOPSIS:

<NONTERMINAL> (PROBABILITY) [ SPAN: words of span ]

<S> (1.2948872132886648E-9) [ 0-5: does it cover all grammatical sentences ]
  <AuxNP> (0.01999998) [ 0-1: does it ]

```

```

<Aux> (0.1) [ 0-0: does ]
<Pronoun> (0.333333) [ 1-1: it ]
<VP> (6.474442540885865E-7) [ 2-5: cover all grammatical sentences ]
<V> (0.0344828) [ 2-2: cover ]
<NP> (5.52231201104E-5) [ 3-5: all grammatical sentences ]
  <PreDet> (0.5) [ 3-3: all ]
  <NOMINAL> (0.001104462402208) [ 4-5: grammatical sentences ]
    <ADJ> (0.0243902) [ 4-4: grammatical ]
    <NOMINAL> (0.0566038) [ 5-5: sentences ]

```

sentence> write a report to describe your code and your experimentation

There's no parse for [write a report to describe your code and your experimentation]

sentence> your report must describe the program

There's no parse for [your report must describe the program]

sentence> your report must describe the experiments

There's no parse for [your report must describe the experiments]

sentence> describe your code itself

There's no parse for [describe your code itself]

sentence> indicate the instructions necessary to run your code

There's no parse for [indicate the instructions necessary to run your code]

sentence> describe your choice of test sentences

There's no parse for [describe your choice of test sentences]

sentence> describe your grammar and how you developed it

Parse for the sentence [describe your grammar and how you developed it] is below:

SYNOPSIS:

```

<NONTERMINAL> (PROBABILITY) [ SPAN: words of span ]

<S> (7.381879524149979E-10) [ 0-7: describe your grammar and how you developed it ]
  <S> (4.11319751814313E-4) [ 0-2: describe your grammar ]
    <V> (0.206897) [ 0-0: describe ]
    <NOMINAL> (0.039760823193600005) [ 1-2: your grammar ]
      <ADJ> (0.439024) [ 1-1: your ]
      <NOMINAL> (0.113208) [ 2-2: grammar ]
    <ConjS> (1.7946815078995936E-5) [ 3-7: and how you developed it ]
      <Conj> (0.92) [ 3-3: and ]
      <S> (1.9507407694560798E-5) [ 4-7: how you developed it ]
        <WhNP> (0.094285785) [ 4-5: how you ]
          <WhWord> (0.5) [ 4-4: how ]
          <Pronoun> (0.571429) [ 5-5: you ]
        <VP> (0.002068965931032) [ 6-7: developed it ]
          <V> (0.0344828) [ 6-6: developed ]
          <Pronoun> (0.333333) [ 7-7: it ]

```

sentence> what problems do you see with your current implementation

There's no parse for [what problems do you see with your current implementation]

sentence> what problems do you see with your current grammar

There's no parse for [what problems do you see with your current grammar]

sentence> how would you improve it

There's no parse for [how would you improve it]

sentence> both the code and the report must be submitted electronically and in paper

There's no parse for [both the code and the report must be submitted electronically and in paper]

sentence> in class, you must submit a listing of your program and results and the report

WARNING: Non-word token encountered: Token[','], line 1

```

There's no parse for [ in class, you must submit a listing of your program and results and the report ]
sentence> through the electronic submission form you must submit the code of your program and results and an electronic version of your report
There's no parse for [ through the electronic submission form you must submit the code of your program and results and an electronic version of your report ]
sentence>

```

11.1.4.7 Code

The entire source code is provided in the electronic form, but here we provide the two methods extracted from `marf/nlp/Parsing/ProbabilisticParser.java` that are actual implementation of the CYK algorithm and the `build_tree()` function, named `parse()` and `dumpParseTree()` respectively. The code below has experienced minor clean-ups in the report version with most of the debug and error handling information removed. For the unaltered code please refer the above mentioned file itself.

11.1.4.7.1 ProbabilisticParser.parse() -- CYK

```

public boolean parse()
throws SyntaxError
{
    // Restore grammar from the disk
    restore();

    // Split the string into words

    this.oWords = new Vector();

    int iTokenType;

    while((iTokenType = this.oStreamTokenizer.nextToken()) != StreamTokenizer.TT_EOF)
    {
        switch(iTokenType)
        {
            case StreamTokenizer.TT_WORD:
            {
                this.oWords.add(new String(this.oStreamTokenizer.sval));
                break;
            }

            case StreamTokenizer.TT_NUMBER:
            default:
            {
                System.err.println("WARNING: Non-word token encountered: " + this.oStreamTokenizer);
                break;
            }
        }
    }

    // CYK
    Vector oNonTerminals = this.oGrammar.getNonTerminalList();

    this.adParseMatrix = new double[this.oWords.size()][this.oWords.size()][oNonTerminals.size()];
    this.aoBack = new Vector[this.oWords.size()][this.oWords.size()][oNonTerminals.size()];

    // Base case

    for(int i = 0; i < this.oWords.size(); i++)
    {
        String strTerminal = this.oWords.elementAt(i).toString();

        /*
        * Fail-fast: if the terminal is not in the grammar (no rule 'A->wd' found),
        * there is no point to compute the parse
        */
        if(this.oGrammar.containsTerminal(strTerminal) == -1)
        {

```

```

    return false;
}

for(int A = 0; A < oNonTerminals.size(); A++)
{
    ProbabilisticRule oRule = (ProbabilisticRule)this.oGrammar.getRule(strTerminal, A);

    // Current pair: 'A->wd' does not form a Rule
    if(oRule == null)
    {
        continue;
    }

    this.adParseMatrix[i][i][A] = oRule.getProbability();
}
}

/*
 * Recursive case
 * ('recursive' as authors call it, but it's implemented iteratively
 * and me being just a copy-cat here)
 */
for(int iSpan = 2; iSpan <= this.oWords.size(); iSpan++)
{
    for(int iBegin = 0; iBegin < this.oWords.size() - iSpan + 1; iBegin++)
    {
        int iEnd = iBegin + iSpan - 1;

        // For every split m of the incoming sentence ...
        for(int m = iBegin; m <= iEnd - 1; m++)
        {
            // Check how the split divides B and C in A->BC
            for(int iA = 0; iA < oNonTerminals.size(); iA++)
            {
                for(int iB = 0; iB < oNonTerminals.size(); iB++)
                {
                    for(int iC = 0; iC < oNonTerminals.size(); iC++)
                    {
                        ProbabilisticRule oRule = (ProbabilisticRule)this.oGrammar.getRule(iA, iB, iC);

                        if(oRule == null)
                        {
                            continue;
                        }

                        double dProb =
                            this.adParseMatrix[iBegin][m][iB] *
                            this.adParseMatrix[m + 1][iEnd][iC] *
                            oRule.getProbability();

                        if(dProb > this.adParseMatrix[iBegin][iEnd][iA])
                        {
                            this.adParseMatrix[iBegin][iEnd][iA] = dProb;

                            Vector oBackIndices = new Vector();

                            oBackIndices.add(new Integer(m));
                            oBackIndices.add(new Integer(iB));
                            oBackIndices.add(new Integer(iC));

                            this.aoBack[iBegin][iEnd][iA] = oBackIndices;
                        }
                    } // for C
                } // for B
            } // for A
        } // split
    }
} // "recursive" case

// No parse
if(this.adParseMatrix[0][this.oWords.size() - 1][0] == 0)
{
    return false;
}

return true;
}

```

11.1.4.7.2 ProbabilisticParser.dumpParseTree() -- build_tree()

```
public void dumpParseTree(int piLevel, int i, int j, int piA)
{
    NonTerminal oLHS = (NonTerminal)this.oGrammar.getNonTerminallList().elementAt(piA);

    indent(piLevel);

    // Termination case

    if(this.aoBack[i][j][piA] == null)
    {
        return;
    }

    // Recursive case

    int m = ((Integer)this.aoBack[i][j][piA].elementAt(0)).intValue();
    int iB = ((Integer)this.aoBack[i][j][piA].elementAt(1)).intValue();
    int iC = ((Integer)this.aoBack[i][j][piA].elementAt(2)).intValue();

    dumpParseTree(piLevel + 1, i, m, iB);
    dumpParseTree(piLevel + 1, m + 1, j, iC);
}
}
```

11.2 MARF Testing Applications

11.2.1 TestFilters

Revision : 1.7

TestFilters is one of the testing applications in MARF. It tests how four types of FFT-filter-based preprocessors work with simulated or real wave type sound samples. From user's point of view, **TestFilters** provides with usage, preprocessors, and loaders command-line options. By entering **--help**, or **-h**, or when there are no arguments, the usage information will be displayed. It explains the arguments used in **TestFilters**. The first argument is the type of preprocessor/filter. These are high-pass filter (**--high**), low-pass filter (**--low**); band-pass filter (**--band**); high frequency boost preprocessor (**--boost**) to be chosen. Next argument is the type of loader to use to load initial testing sample. **TestFilters** uses two types of loaders, **SineLoader** and **WAVLoader**. Users should enter either **--sine** or **--wave** as the second argument to specify the desired loader. The argument **--sine** uses **SineLoader** that will generate a plain sine wave to be fed to the selected preprocessor. While the **--wave** argument uses **WAVLoader** to load a real wave sound sample. In the latter case, users need to input the third argument – sample file in the WAV format to feed to **WAVLoader**. After selecting all necessary arguments, user can run and get the output of **TestFilters** within seconds.

Complete usage information:

Usage:

```
java TestFilters PREPROCESSOR LOADER [ SAMPLEFILE ]
```

```
java TestFilters --help | -h
```

displays this help and exits

```
java TestFilters --version
displays application and MARF versions
```

Where PREPROCESSOR is one of the following:

```
--high          use high-pass filter
--band          use band-pass filter
--low           use low-pass filter
--boost         use high-frequency-boost preprocessor
--highpassboost use high-pass-and-boost preprocessor
```

Where LOADER is one of the following:

```
--sine    use SineLoader (generated sine wave)
--wave    use WAVLoader; requires a SAMPLEFILE argument
```

The application is made to exercise the following MARF modules:

The main are the FFT-based filters in the `marf.Preprocessing.FFTFilter.*` package.

1. `BandpassFilter`
2. `HighFrequencyBoost`
3. `HighPassFilter`
4. `LowPassFilter`

Additionally, some other units were employed in this application:

1. `marf.MARF`
2. `marf.util.OptionProcessor`
3. `marf.Storage.Sample`
4. `marf.Storage.SampleLoader`
5. `marf.Storage.WAVLoader`
6. `marf.Preprocessing.Preprocessing`

The main MARF module enumerates these preprocessing modules as `HIGH_FREQUENCY_BOOST_FFT_FILTER`, `BANDPASS_FFT_FILTER`, `LOW_PASS_FFT_FILTER`, and `HIGH_PASS_FFT_FILTER`, and incoming sample file format as `WAV`, `SINE`. `OptionProcessor` helps maintaining and validating command-line options. `Sample` maintains incoming and processed sample data. `SampleLoader` provides sample loading interface for all the MARF loaders. It must be overridden by a concrete sample loader such as `SineLoader` or `WAVLoader`. `Preprocessing` does general preprocessing such as `preprocess()` (overridden by the filters), `normalize()`, `removeSilence()` and `removeNoise()` out of which for this application the former three are used. In the end, above modules work together to test the work of the filters and produce the output to `STDOUT`. The output of `TestFilters` is the filtered data from the original signal fed to each of the preprocessors. It provides both users and programmers internal information of the effect of MARF preprocessors so they can be compared with the expected output in the `expected` folder to detect any errors if the underlying algorithm has been changed.

11.2.2 TestLPC

Revision : 1.5

The `TestLPC` application targets the LPC unit testing as a preprocessing module. Through a number of options it also allows choosing between two implemented loaders – `WAVLoader` and `SineLoader`. To facilitate option processing `marf.util.OptionProcessor` used that provides an ability of maintaining and validating valid/active option sets. The application also utilizes the `Dummy` preprocessing module to perform the normalization of incoming sample.

The application supports the following set of options:

- `--help` or `-h` cause the application to display the usage information and exit. The usage information is also displayed if no option was supplied.
- `--sine` forces the use of `SineLoader` for sample data generation. The output of this option is also saved under `expected/sine.out` for regression testing.
- `--wave` forces the application to use the `WAVLoader`. This option requires a mandatory filename argument of a wave file to run the LPC algorithm against.

Complete usage information:

Usage:

```
java TestLPC --help | -h
    displays this help and exits

java TestLPC --version
    displays application and MARF versions
```

```
java TestLPC --sine
    runs the LPC algorithm on a generated sine wave
```

```
java TestLPC --wave <wave-file>
    runs the LPC algorithm against specified voice sample
```

11.2.3 TestFFT

Revision : 1.6

TestFFT is one of the testing applications in MARF. It aims to test how the FFT (Fast Fourier Transform) algorithm works in **MARFFeatureExtraction** by loading simulated or real wave sound samples.

From user's point of view, **TestFFT** provides with usage and loaders command-line options. By entering **--help**, or **-h**, or even no arguments, the usage information will be displayed. It explains the arguments used in **TestFFT**. Another argument is the type of loader. **TestFFT** uses two types of loaders, **SineLoader** and **WAVLoader**. Users can enter **--sine** or **--wave** as the second argument. The argument **--sine** uses **SineLoader** that will generate a plain sine wave to be fed to a generic preprocessor. If the argument **--wave** is specified, the application uses **WAVLoader** to load a wave sample from file. In the latter case, users need to supply one more argument – sample file in the format of *.wav to be loaded by **WAVLoader**. After selecting all necessary arguments, user can run and get the output of **TestFFT** within seconds.

Complete usage information:

Usage:

```
java TestFFT --help | -h
    displays this help and exits

java TestFFT --version
    displays application and MARF versions

java TestFFT --sine
    runs the FFT algorithm on a generated sine wave

java TestFFT --wave <wave-file>
    runs the FFT algorithm against specified voice sample
```

The application is made to exercise the MARF's FFT-based feature extraction algorithm located in the **marf.FeatureExtraction.FFT** package. Additionally, the following MARF modules are utilized:

1. `marf.MARF`
2. `marf.util.OptionProcessor`
3. `marf.Storage.Sample`
4. `marf.Storage.SampleLoader`
5. `marf.Storage.WAVLoader`
6. `marf.Preprocessing.Dummy`

The main MARF module enumerates incoming sample file format as WAV, SINE. `OptionProcessor` helps maintain and validate command-line options. `Sample` maintains and processed incoming sample data. `SampleLoader` provides sample loading interface for all the MARF loaders. It must be overridden by a concrete sample loader such as `SineLoader` or `WAVLoader`. `Preprocessing` does general preprocessing such with `preprocess()` (overridden by `Dummy`), `normalize()`, `removeSilence()` and `removeNoise()` out of which for this application the former three are used. Then, processed data will be serve for an parameter of `FeatureExtraction.FFT.FFT` to extract sample's features. In the end, above modules work together to test the work of the FFT algorithm and produce the output to STDOUT.

As we know, the output of `TestFFT` extracts the features data by FFT feature extraction algorithm. It gives both users and programmers direct information of the effect of MARF feature extraction, and can be compared with the expected output in the `expected` folder to detect any errors if the underlying algorithm has been changed.

11.2.4 TestWaveLoader

Revision : 1.5

`TestWaveLoader` is one of the testing applications in MARF. It tests functionality of the `WAVLoader` of MARF.

From user's point of view, `TestWaveLoader` provides with usage, input wave sample, output wave sample, and output textual file command-line options. By entering `--help`, or `-h`, or when there are no arguments, the usage information will be displayed. It explains the arguments used in `TestWaveLoader`. The first argument is an input wave sample file whose name is a mandatory argument. The second and the third arguments are the output wave sample file and output textual sample file of the loaded input sample. The names of the output files are optional arguments. If user does not provide any or both of the last two arguments, the output files will be saved in the files provided by `TestWaveLoader`.

Complete usage information:

Usage:

```
java TestWaveLoader --help | -h
```

displays usage information and exits

```
java TestWaveLoader --version
```

displays application and MARF versions

```
java TestWaveLoader <input-wave-sample> [ <output-wave-sample> [ <output-txt-sample> ] ]
```

loads a wave sample from the <input-wave-sample> file and stores the output wave sample in <output-wave-sample> and its textual equivalent is stored in <output-txt-sample>. If the second argument is omitted, the output file name is assumed to be "out.<input-wave-sample>". Likewise, if the third argument is omitted, the output file name is assumed to be "<output-wave-sample>.txt".

The application is made to exercise the following MARF modules. The main module is the `WAVLoader` in the `marf.Storage.Loaders` package. the `Sample` and the `SampleLoader` modules in the `marf.Storage` help `WAVLoader` prepare loading wave files. `Sample` maintains and processes incoming sample data. `SampleLoader` provides sample loading interface for all the MARF loaders. It must be overridden by a concrete sample loader. For loading wave samples, `SampleLoader` needs `WAVLoader` implementation. Three modules work together to load in and write back a wave sample whose name was provided by users in the first argument, to save the loaded sample into a newly-named wave file, to save loaded input data into a data file referenced by `oDatFile`, and to output sample's duration and size to `STDOUT`.

As we know, the output of `TestWaveLoader` saves the loaded wave sample in a newly named output wave file. Its output also saves the input file data into a textual file. `TestWaveLoader` gives both users and programmers direct information of the results of MARF wave loader. The output sample can be compared with the expected output in the `expected` folder to detect any errors.

11.2.5 TestLoaders

Revision : 1.4

`TestLoaders` is another testing applications of MARF. It generalizes the testing machinery of `TestWaveLoader` for all possible loaders we may have. For now, it tests functionality of the `WAVLoader` and `SineLoader` of MARF, the only two implemented loaders; the others give non-implemented exceptions instead.

From user's point of view, `TestLoaders` provides with usage, loader types, input sample, output sample, and output textual file command-line options. By entering `--help`, or `-h`, or when there are no arguments, the usage information will be displayed. Entering `--version`, the `TestLoaders`' version

and MARF's version is displayed. The usage info explains the arguments used in `TestLoaders`. The first argument is a type of loader that is a mandatory argument. The second argument is input file name that is mandatory for all loaders except for `SineLoader`. The third and fourth arguments are the output wave sample file and output textual sample file names of the loaded input sample. The names of the output files are optional arguments. If user does not provide any or both of the last two arguments, the output files will be saved in the file names derived from the original.

Complete usage information:

Usage:

```
java TestLoaders --help | -h
```

displays usage information and exits

```
java TestLoaders --version
```

displays TestLoaders version and MARF's version and exits

```
java TestLoaders <LOADER> [ <input-sound-sample> ] [ <output-sound-sample> [ <output-txt-sample>
```

selects a loader from various of loaders according to the `LOADER` option and then loads a corresponding type of sample from the `<input-sound-sample>` file and stores the output sound sample in `<output-sound-sample>` and its textual equivalent is stored in `<output-txt-sample>`. If the second argument is omitted, the output file name is assumed to be `"out.<input-sound-sample>"`. Likewise, if the third argument is omitted, the output file name is assumed to be `"<output-sound-sample>.txt"`.

Where `LOADER` is one of the following:

```
--sine    use SineLoader (generated sine wave)
--wave    use WAVLoader; requires a <input-sound-sample> argument
--mp3     use MP3Loader; requires a <input-sound-sample> argument
--ulaw    use ULAWLoader; requires a <input-sound-sample> argument
--aiff    use AIFFLoader; requires a <input-sound-sample> argument
--aifc    use AIFFCLoader; requires a <input-sound-sample> argument
--au      use AULoader; requires a <input-sound-sample> argument
--snd     use SNDLoader; requires a <input-sound-sample> argument
```

```
--midi    use MIDIloader; requires a <input-sound-sample> argument
```

The application is made to exercise the following MARF modules. The main modules for testing are in the `marf.Storage.Loaders` package. The `OptionProcessor` module in the `marf.util` helps handling the different loader types according to the users input argument. The `Sample` and the `SampleLoader` modules in the `marf.Storage` help `WAVLoader` prepare loading input files. `Sample` maintains and processes incoming sample data. `SampleLoader` provides sample loading interface for all the MARF loaders. It must be overridden by a concrete sample loader. The modules work together to load in and write back a sample, and save the loaded sample into a file, to save loaded input data into a data file referenced by `oDatFile`, and to output sample's duration and size to `STDOUT`. While for loading sine samples, it needs `SineLoader` implementation, and instead of saving data file, it saves a csv file referenced by `oDatFile`.

The output of `TestLoaders` saves the loaded wave sample in a newly named output wave file. Its output also saves the input file data into a textual file. `TestLoaders` gives both users and programmers direct information of the results of MARF loaders. Input sample can be compared with the expected output in the `expected` folder to detect any errors.

11.2.6 MathTestApp

Revision : 1.3

The `MathTestApp` application targets testing of the math-related implementations in the `marf.math` package. As of this writing, the application mainly exercises the `Matrix` class as this is the one used by the `MahalanobisDistance` classifier. It also does the necessary testing of the `Vector` class as well.

The application supports the following set of options:

- `--help` or `-h` cause the application to display the usage information and exit.
- `--version` displays the application's and the unrelying MARF's versions and exits.

Complete usage information:

Usage:

```
java MathTestApp
    runs the tests
```

```
java MathTestApp --help | -h
    displays this help and exits
```

```
java MathTestApp --version
    displays application and MARF versions
```

```
java MathTestApp --debug
    run tests with the debug mode enabled
```

Before running tests, the application validates the MARF version, and then produces the output to STDOUT of various linear matrix operations, such as inversion, identity, multiplication, transposal, scaling, rotation, translation, and shear. The stored output in the `expected` folder, `math.out`, contains expected output the authors believe is correct and which is used in the regression testing.

11.2.7 TestPlugin

Revision : 1.2

The `TestPlugin` testing application in MARF tests and serves as an example of how to write `SampleLoader`, `Preprocessing`, `FeatureExtraction`, and `Classification` plugins to be used by the main MARF's pipeline. Complete usage information:

Usage:

```
java TestFFT --help | -h
    displays this help and exits
```

```
java TestFFT --version
    displays application and MARF versions
```

```
java TestFFT --sine
    runs the FFT algorithm on a generated sine wave
```

```
java TestFFT --wave <wave-file>
    runs the FFT algorithm against specified voice sample
```

The application is made to exercise the MARF's interfaces `ISampleLoader`, `IPreprocessing`, `IFeatureExtraction`, and `IClassification` along with the MARF itself. These interfaces are implemented in the variety of ways. The sample loader is nearly identical to the functionality of `WAVLoader` except that it yanks out checks for sample format making it "unrestricted" (for illustratory purposes). Random preprocessing is used to multiply the incoming amplitudes by a pseudo-random Gaussian distribution. The feature extraction is then performed by convering the incoming variable-length input into chunks of fixed

size elements of which are added pairwise. Finally, the classification does summing, logical AND, OR, and XOR “hashing” and applying a modulus of the number of speakers we have. The actual samples are either the real wave samples or a generated sine wave used.

11.2.8 TestNN

Revision : 1.1

`TestNN` is one a testing applications in MARF that aims to test how the neural network algorithm described in Section 5.5.6 works in `Classification`.

From user's point of view, `TestNN` provides with usage and loaders command-line options. By entering `--help` or `-h` the usage information will be displayed.

Complete usage information:

Usage:

```
java TestNN [ OPTIONS ] <sample-xml-file>
java TestNN --help | -h | --version
java -jar TestNN.jar [ OPTIONS ] <sample-xml-file>

java -jar TestNN.jar --help | -h | --version
```

Options (one or more of the following):

```
--debug      - enable debugging
-dtd         - validate the DTD of the corresponding XML document
-error=VALUE - specify minimum desired error
-epochs=VALUE - specify numer of epochs for training
-train=NUMBER - number of training interations
-test=NUMBER - number of testing iterations
```

The application is made to exercise the MARF's implementation of the artificial neural network algorithm located in the `marf.Classification.NeuralNetwork` package. Additionally, the following MARF modules are utilized:

1. `marf.util.OptionProcessor`
2. `marf.util.Arrays`
3. `marf.util.Debug`

11.3 External Applications

11.3.1 GIPSY

GIPSY stands for General Intensional Programming System [RG06], which is a distributed research, development, and investigation platform about intensional and hybrid programming languages. GIPSY is a world on its own developed at Concordia University and for more information on the system please see [RG06] and [Mok05]. GIPSY makes use of a variety of MARF's utility and storage modules, as of this writing this includes:

- `BaseThread` for most threading part of the GIPSY for multithreaded compilation and execution.
- `ExpandedThreadGroup` to group a number of compiler or executor threads and have a group control over them.
- `Debug` for, well, debugging
- `Logger` to log compiler and executor activities
- `StorageManager` for binary serialization
- `OptionProcessor` for option processing in five core GIPSY utilities
- `Arrays` for common arrays functionality

There is a provision to also use MARF's NLP modules.

11.3.2 ENCSAssetsDesktop

This is a private little application developed for the Engineering and Computer Science faculty of Concordia University's Faculty Information Systems team. The application synchronize inventory data between a Palm device database and a relational database powering an online inventory application. This application primarily exercises:

- `BaseThread` for most threading part of the GIPSY for multithreaded compilation and execution.
- `ExpandedThreadGroup` to group a number of compiler or executor threads and have a group control over them.
- `Arrays` for common arrays functionality
- `ByteUtils` for any-type-to-byte-array-and-back conversion.

11.3.3 ENCSAssets

This is a web frontend to an inventory database developed by the same team as in Section 11.3.2. It primarily exercises the threading and arrays parts of MARF, namely `BaseThread` and `Arrays`.

11.3.4 ENCSAssetsCron

This is a cron-oriented frontend to an inventory database developed by the same team as in Section 11.3.2. It primarily exercises the `OptionProcessor` of MARF.

Chapter 12

Conclusions

Revision : 1.13

12.1 Review of Results

Our best configuration yielded 82.76 % correctness of our work when identifying subjects. Having a total of 29 testing samples (including the two music bands) that means 24 subjects identified correctly out of 29.

The main reasons the recognition rate could be low is due to non-uniform sample taking, lack of preprocessing techniques optimizations and parameter tweaking, lack of noise removal, lack or incomplete sophisticated classification modules (e.g. Stochastic models), and lack the samples themselves to train and test on. You are welcome to contribute in any of these areas to make the framework better.

Even though for commercial and University-level research standards 82.76 % recognition rate is considered to be low as opposed to a required minimum of 95%-97% and above, we think it is still reasonably well off to demonstrate the framework operation.

12.2 Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Dr. Suen and Mr. Sadri for the course and help provided. This L^AT_EX documentation was possible due to an excellent introduction of it by Dr. Peter Grogono in [Gro01].

Bibliography

- [Aks87] Sergej Timofeevich Aksakov. *Zapiski ruzhejnogo ohotnika Orenburgskoj gubernii*. Izd: Moskva, Izdatel'stvo "Pravda", 1987. http://lib.ru/lat/LITRA/AKSAKOW/rassказы_ohotnika.txt.
- [AP] transcribed by Michelle Khalifé Arabic Proverb. *Jeha and Donkeys*. <http://preview-latex.sourceforge.net/prv-ltx5.png>.
- [AriBC] anonymous translator Aristophanes. *LYSISTRATA*. 410 BC. <http://eserver.org/drama/aristophanes/lysistrata.txt>.
- [Ber05] Stefan M. Bernsee. *The DFT "à pied": Mastering The Fourier Transform in One Day*. DSPdimension.com, 1999-2005. <http://www.dspdimension.com/data/html/dftaped.html>.
- [Bor03] Borland. *Borland JBuilder X*. Borland Software Corporation, 1997-2003. <http://www.borland.com/us/products/jbuilder/>.
- [Bri95] Eric Brill. *Brill Tagger*. 1995. http://www.cs.jhu.edu/~brill/RBT1_14.tar.Z.
- [c⁺04] Eclipse contributors et al. *Eclipse Platform*. IBM, 2000-2004. <http://www.eclipse.org/platform>.
- [Cha80] Geoffrey Chaucer. *The Canterbury Tales*. 1380. <http://www.litrix.com/canterby/cante001.htm>.
- [Cle49] John Cleland. *FANNY HILL. MEMOIRS OF A WOMAN OF PLEASURE*. 1749. <http://wiretap.area.com/ftp.items/Library/Classic/fannyhill.txt>.
- [(Co] Carlo Lorenzini (Collodi). *Pinocchio: Storia di un burattino*. <http://www.crs4.it/Letteratura/Pinocchio/Pinocchio.html>.
- [Cra] Francis Marion Crawford. *Greifenstein*. <http://digital.library.upenn.edu/webbin/gutbook/lookup?num=6446>.
- [Def] Daniel Defoe. *The Fortunes and Misfortunes of the Famous Moll Flanders*. <http://digital.library.upenn.edu/webbin/gutbook/lookup?num=370>.

- [ebTW] Joseph Devlin; edited by Theodore Waters. *How to Speak and Write Correctly*. <http://digital.library.upenn.edu/webbin/gutbook/lookup?num=6409>.
- [ec] Radev et co. *Bulgarian Poetry*. <http://www.cs.columbia.edu/~radev/faq/poetry/poetry-server.cgi>.
- [Fla97] D. Flanagan. *Java in a Nutshell*. O'Reily & Associates, Inc., second edition, 1997. ISBN 1-56592-262-X.
- [Fou05] Apache Foundation. *Apache Jakarta Tomcat*. apache.org, 1999-2005. <http://jakarta.apache.org/tomcat/index.html>.
- [Fuh] Israel Fuhrmann. *Various Poetry*. <http://www.interlog.com/~jfuhrman/#Poems>.
- [GB04] Erich Gamma and Kent Beck. *JUnit*. Object Mentor, Inc., 2001-2004. <http://junit.org/>.
- [Gro01] Peter Grogono. *A L^AT_EX₂ε Gallimaufry. Techniques, Tips, and Traps*. Department of Computer Science and Software Engineering, Concordia University, March 2001. <http://www.cse.concordia.ca/~grogono/Writings/gallimaufry.pdf>.
- [Hed92] Abdelsalam Heddaya. *Qalam: A Convention for Morphological Arabic-Latin-Arabic Transliteration*. 1985-1992. <http://eserver.org/langs/qalam.txt>.
- [IJ02] Ifeachor and Jervis. *Speech Communications*. Prentice Hall. New Jersey, US., 2002.
- [iso] *ISO 639-2: Codes for the Representation of Names of Languages*. <http://lcweb.loc.gov/standards/iso639-2/englangn.html>.
- [JM00] Daniel S. Jurafsky and James H. Martin. *Speech and Language Processing*. Prentice-Hall, Inc., Pearson Higher Education, Upper Saddle River, New Jersey 07458, 2000. ISBN 0-13-095069-6.
- [Joy] James Joyce. *Ulysses*. ftp://ftp.trentu.ca/pub/jjoyce/ulysses/ascii_texts/.
- [Mar] James H. Martin. *CYK Probabilistic Parsing Algorithm*. http://www.cs.colorado.edu/~martin/SLP/New_Pages/pg455.pdf.
- [Mic04] Sun Microsystems. *NetBeans 4.0*. Sun Microsystems, Inc., December 2004. <http://www.netbeans.org>.
- [Mic05] Sun Microsystems. *The Java Website*. Sun Microsystems, Inc., 1994-2005. <http://java.sun.com>.
- [Mok05] Serguei A. Mokhov. *Towards Hybrid Intensional Programming with JLucid, Objective Lucid, and General Imperative Compiler Framework in the GIPSY*. Master's thesis, Department of Computer Science and Software Engineering, Concordia University, October 2005. ISBN 0494102934.

- [mus] muslimnet.net. *Transliteration of the Qur'an*. <http://www.usc.edu/dept/MSA/quran/transliteration/index.html>.
- [O'S00] Douglas O'Shaughnessy. *Speech Communications*. IEEE Press. New Jersey, US., 2000.
- [Pre93] William H. Press. *Numerical Recipes in C*. Cambridge University Press. Cambridge, UK., second edition, 1993.
- [Pro95] David K. Probst. *The United States Needs a Scalable Shared-Memory Multiprocessor, But Might Not Get One!, NCO White Paper*. Concordia University, Montreal, Canada, 1995. <http://www.cs.concordia.ca/~grynbaum/>.
- [RG06] The GIPSY Research and Development Group. *The GIPSY Project*. Department of Computer Science and Software Engineering, Concordia University, 2002-2006. <http://newton.cs.concordia.ca/~gipsy/>.
- [RN95] S. Russell and P. Norvig. *Artificial Intelligence: A Modern Approach*. Prentice Hall. New Jersey, US., 1995. ISBN 0-13-103805-2.
- [SMSP00] Richard Stallman, Roland McGrath, Paul Smith, and GNU Project. *GNU Make*. Free Software Foundation, Inc., 1997-2000. <http://www.gnu.org/software/make/>.
- [tbEF] Torquado Tasso; translated by Edward Fairfax. *Jerusalem Delivered*. <http://digital.library.upenn.edu/webbin/gutbook/lookup?num=392>.
- [tbMK] Annahar; transcribed by Michelle Khalifé. *Arabic newspaper Annahar about Middle-East news*. <http://www.annahar.com.lb/htd/front1.pdf>.
- [tbWCM82] Jean-Jacques Rousseau; translated by W. Conyngham Mallory. *THE CONFESSIONS OF JEAN-JACQUES ROUSSEAU*. 1782. <http://www.knuten.liu.se/~bjoch509/works/rousseau/confessions.txt>.

Appendix A

Spectrogram Examples

Revision : 1.14

As produced by the `Spectrogram` class.



Figure A.1: LPC spectrogram obtained for `ian15.wav`



Figure A.2: LPC spectrogram obtained for `graham13.wav`

Appendix B

MARF Source Code

You can download the code from `<http://marf.sourceforge.net>`, specifically:

- The latest unstable version:
`<http://marf.sourceforge.net/marf.tar.gz>`
- Browse code and revision history online:
`<http://cvs.sourceforge.net/cgi-bin/viewcvs.cgi/marf/>`

API documentation in the HTML format can be found in the documentation distribution, or for the latest version please consult: `<http://marf.sourceforge.net/api/>`. If you want to participate in development, there is a developers version of the API: `<http://marf.sourceforge.net/api-dev/>`, which includes all the private constructs into the docs as well.

The current development version can also be retrieved via CVS. The process outlined in Appendix C.

Appendix C

The CVS Repository

The MARF source code is stored and managed using the CVS code management system at SourceForge. Anonymous CVS is available to pull the CVS code tree from the MARF package to your local machine.

C.1 Getting The Source Via Anonymous CVS

If you would like to keep up with the current sources on a regular basis, you can fetch them from SourceForge's CVS server and then use CVS to retrieve updates from time to time.

- You will need a local copy of CVS (Concurrent Version Control System), which you can get from <http://www.cvshome.org/> or any GNU software archive site. There is also WinCVS and CVS mode built in JBulider if you plan to use these products on Win32 platforms.
- Do an initial login to the CVS server:

```
cvs -d:pserver:anonymous@marf.cvs.sourceforge.net:/cvsroot/marf login
```

You will be prompted for a password; just press ENTER. You should only need to do this once, since the password will be saved in `.cvspass` in your home directory.

- Fetch the MARF sources:

```
cvs -z3 -d:pserver:anonymous@marf.cvs.sourceforge.net:/cvsroot/marf co -P marf
```

which installs the MARF sources into a subdirectory `marf` of the directory you are currently in.

If you'd like to download sample applications which use MARF:

```
cvs -z3 -d:pserver:anonymous@marf.cvs.sourceforge.net:/cvsroot/marf co -P apps
```

- Whenever you want to update to the latest CVS sources, `cd` into the `marf` or `apps` subdirectories, and issue

```
cvcs -z3 update -d -P
```

This will fetch only the changes since the last time you updated.

- You can save yourself some typing by making a file `.cvsrc` in your home directory that contains

```
cvcs -z3  
update -d -P
```

This supplies the `-z3` option to all `cvcs` commands, and the `-d` and `-P` options to `cvcs update`. Then you just have to say

```
cvcs update
```

to update your files.

Appendix D

SpeakerIdentApp and SpeakersIdentDb Source Code

D.1 SpeakerIdentApp.java

```
import java.io.File;
import java.util.Date;

import marf.MARF;
import marf.Classification.Distance.HammingDistance;
import marf.Storage.ModuleParams;
import marf.Storage.TrainingSet;
import marf.util.Debug;
import marf.util.MARFException;
import marf.util.OptionProcessor;

/**
 * <p>Identifies a speaker independently of text, based on the MARF framework,
 * <a href="http://marf.sf.net">http://marf.sf.net</a>.
 * </p>
 *
 * $Id: SpeakerIdentApp.java,v 1.64 2007/06/20 04:28:44 mokhov Exp $
 *
 * @author Serguei Mokhov
 * @author Stephen Sinclair
 * @author Ian Clement
 * @author Dimitrios Nicolacopoulos
 * @author The MARF Research and Development Group
 *
 * @version 0.3.0, $Revision: 1.64 $
 * @since 0.0.1
 */
public class SpeakerIdentApp
{
    /*
     * -----
     * Apps. Versioning
```

```
* -----
*/

/**
 * Current major version of the application.
 */
public static final int MAJOR_VERSION = 0;

/**
 * Current minor version of the application.
 */
public static final int MINOR_VERSION = 3;

/**
 * Current revision of the application.
 */
public static final int REVISION      = 0;

/*
 * -----
 * Defaults
 * -----
 */

/**
 * Default file name extension for sample files; case-insensitive.
 * @since 0.3.0.6
 */
public static final String DEFAULT_SAMPLE_FILE_EXTENSION = "wav";
//public static final String DEFAULT_SAMPLE_FILE_EXTENSION = "txt";

/*
 * -----
 * Major and Misc Options Enumeration
 * -----
 */

/**
 * Numeric equivalent of the option --train.
 * @since 0.3.0.5
 */
public static final int OPT_TRAIN = 0;

/**
 * Numeric equivalent of the option --ident.
 * @since 0.3.0.5
 */
public static final int OPT_IDENT = 1;

/**
 * Numeric equivalent of the option --stats.
 * @since 0.3.0.5
 */
public static final int OPT_STATS = 2;

/**
```

```
* Numeric equivalent of the option <code>--reset</code>.
* @since 0.3.0.5
*/
public static final int OPT_RESET = 3;

/**
 * Numeric equivalent of the option <code>--version</code>.
 * @since 0.3.0.5
 */
public static final int OPT_VERSION = 4;

/**
 * Numeric equivalent of the option <code>--help</code>.
 * @since 0.3.0.5
 */
public static final int OPT_HELP_LONG = 5;

/**
 * Numeric equivalent of the option <code>-h</code>.
 * @since 0.3.0.5
 */
public static final int OPT_HELP_SHORT = 6;

/**
 * Numeric equivalent of the option <code>-debug</code>.
 * @since 0.3.0.5
 */
public static final int OPT_DEBUG = 7;

/**
 * Numeric equivalent of the option <code>-graph</code>.
 * @since 0.3.0.5
 */
public static final int OPT_GRAPH = 8;

/**
 * Numeric equivalent of the option <code>-spectrogram</code>.
 * @since 0.3.0.5
 */
public static final int OPT_SPECTROGRAM = 9;

/**
 * Numeric equivalent of the option <code>&lt;speaker ID&gt;</code>.
 * @since 0.3.0.5
 */
public static final int OPT_EXPECTED_SPEAKER_ID = 10;

/**
 * Numeric equivalent of the option <code>--batch-ident</code>.
 * @since 0.3.0.5
 */
public static final int OPT_BATCH_IDENT = 11;

/**
 * Numeric equivalent of the option <code>--single-train</code>.
 * @since 0.3.0.5
 */
```

```

public static final int OPT_SINGLE_TRAIN = 12;

/**
 * Numeric equivalent of the option &lt;sample-file-or-directory-name&gt;.
 * @since 0.3.0.5
 */
public static final int OPT_DIR_OR_FILE = 13;

/**
 * Numeric equivalent of the option --best-score.
 * @since 0.3.0.5
 */
public static final int OPT_BEST_SCORE = 14;

/**
 * Numeric equivalent of the option --gui to have a GUI.
 * @since 0.3.0.6
 */
public static final int OPT_GUI = 15;

/**
 * Numeric equivalent of the option --noise to enable noise removal.
 * @since 0.3.0.6
 */
public static final int OPT_NOISE_REMOVAL = 16;

/**
 * Numeric equivalent of the option --silence to enable silence removal.
 * @since 0.3.0.6
 */
public static final int OPT_SILENCE_REMOVAL = 17;

/*
 * -----
 * State Data Structures
 * -----
 */

/**
 * Instance of the database of speakers to track stats per
 * configuration for all speakers.
 * @since 0.3.0.5
 */
protected static SpeakersIdentDb soDBPerConfig = new SpeakersIdentDb("speakers.txt");

/**
 * Instance of the database of speakers to track stats per
 * speaker for all configuration.
 * @since 0.3.0.6
 */
protected static SpeakersIdentDb soDBPerSpeaker = new SpeakersIdentDb("speakers.txt");

// protected static SpeakersIdentDb soDBPerConfig = new SpeakersIdentDb("languages.txt");
// protected static SpeakersIdentDb soDBPerSpeaker = new SpeakersIdentDb("languages.txt");

/**

```

```

* Intance of the option processing utility.
* @since 0.3.0.5
*/
protected static OptionProcessor soGetOpt = new OptionProcessor();

/*
* -----
* Static State Init
* -----
*/

static
{
    // Main options
    soGetOpt.addValidOption(OPT_TRAIN, "--train");
    soGetOpt.addValidOption(OPT_SINGLE_TRAIN, "--single-train");
    soGetOpt.addValidOption(OPT_IDENT, "--ident");
    soGetOpt.addValidOption(OPT_BATCH_IDENT, "--batch-ident");
    soGetOpt.addValidOption(OPT_STATS, "--stats");
    soGetOpt.addValidOption(OPT_BEST_SCORE, "--best-score");
    soGetOpt.addValidOption(OPT_VERSION, "--version");
    soGetOpt.addValidOption(OPT_RESET, "--reset");
    soGetOpt.addValidOption(OPT_HELP_LONG, "--help");
    soGetOpt.addValidOption(OPT_HELP_SHORT, "-h");
    soGetOpt.addValidOption(OPT_GUI, "--gui");

    // Sample Loading
    soGetOpt.addValidOption(MARF.WAV, "-wav");
    soGetOpt.addValidOption(MARF.TEXT, "-text");

    // Preprocessing
    soGetOpt.addValidOption(OPT_NOISE_REMOVAL, "-noise");
    soGetOpt.addValidOption(OPT_SILENCE_REMOVAL, "-silence");

    soGetOpt.addValidOption(MARF.DUMMY, "-norm");
    soGetOpt.addValidOption(MARF.HIGH_FREQUENCY_BOOST_FFT_FILTER, "-boost");
    soGetOpt.addValidOption(MARF.HIGH_PASS_FFT_FILTER, "-high");
    soGetOpt.addValidOption(MARF.LOW_PASS_FFT_FILTER, "-low");
    soGetOpt.addValidOption(MARF.BANDPASS_FFT_FILTER, "-band");
    soGetOpt.addValidOption(MARF.HIGH_PASS_BOOST_FILTER, "-highpassboost");
    soGetOpt.addValidOption(MARF.RAW, "-raw");
    soGetOpt.addValidOption(MARF.ENDPOINT, "-endp");
    soGetOpt.addValidOption(MARF.HIGH_PASS_CFE_FILTER, "-highcfe");
    soGetOpt.addValidOption(MARF.LOW_PASS_CFE_FILTER, "-lowcfe");
    soGetOpt.addValidOption(MARF.BAND_PASS_CFE_FILTER, "-bandcfe");
    soGetOpt.addValidOption(MARF.BAND_STOP_CFE_FILTER, "-bandstopcfe");

    // Feature extraction
    soGetOpt.addValidOption(MARF.FFT, "-fft");
    soGetOpt.addValidOption(MARF.LPC, "-lpc");
    soGetOpt.addValidOption(MARF.RANDOM_FEATURE_EXTRACTION, "-randfe");
    soGetOpt.addValidOption(MARF.MIN_MAX_AMPLITUDES, "-minmax");
    soGetOpt.addValidOption(MARF.FEATURE_EXTRACTION_AGGREGATOR, "-aggr");
    soGetOpt.addValidOption(MARF.FO, "-f0");
    soGetOpt.addValidOption(MARF.SEGMENTATION, "-segm");
    soGetOpt.addValidOption(MARF.CEPSTRAL, "--cepstral");

```

```

// Classification
soGetOpt.addValidOption(MARF.NEURAL_NETWORK, "-nn");
soGetOpt.addValidOption(MARF.EUCLIDEAN_DISTANCE, "-eucl");
soGetOpt.addValidOption(MARF.CHEBYSHEV_DISTANCE, "-cheb");
soGetOpt.addValidOption(MARF.MINKOWSKI_DISTANCE, "-mink");
soGetOpt.addValidOption(MARF.MAHALANOBIS_DISTANCE, "-mah");
soGetOpt.addValidOption(MARF.RANDOM_CLASSIFICATION, "-randcl");
soGetOpt.addValidOption(MARF.DIFF_DISTANCE, "-diff");
soGetOpt.addValidOption(MARF.ZIPFS_LAW, "-zipf");
soGetOpt.addValidOption(MARF.MARKOV, "-markov");
soGetOpt.addValidOption(MARF.HAMMING_DISTANCE, "-hamming");
soGetOpt.addValidOption(MARF.COSINE_SIMILARITY_MEASURE, "-cos");

// Misc
soGetOpt.addValidOption(OPT_SPECTROGRAM, "-spectrogram");
soGetOpt.addValidOption(OPT_DEBUG, "-debug");
soGetOpt.addValidOption(OPT_GRAPH, "-graph");
}

/**
 * Main body.
 * @param argv command-line arguments
 */
public static final void main(String[] argv)
{
    try
    {
        // Since some new API is always introduced...
        validateVersions();

        /*
         * Load the speakers database
         */
        soDBPerConfig.connect();
        soDBPerConfig.query();

        soDBPerSpeaker.connect();
        soDBPerSpeaker.query();

        soDBPerConfig.setFilename("config." + soDBPerConfig.getFilename());
        soDBPerSpeaker.setFilename("speaker." + soDBPerSpeaker.getFilename());

        setDefaultConfig();

        // Parse extra arguments
        int iValidOptions = soGetOpt.parse(argv);

        if(iValidOptions == 0)
        {
            throw new Exception("No valid options found: " + soGetOpt);
        }

        setCustomConfig();

        /*
         * If supplied in the command line, the system when classifying,

```

```

    * will output this ID next to the guessed one.
    */
    int iExpectedID = -1;

    switch(soGetOpt.getInvalidOptions().size())
    {
        // Unknown
        case 0:
        {
            iExpectedID = -1;
            break;
        }

        /*
        * Extract and make active and valid option out of
        * a filename and an expected speaker ID. An
        * assumption is that the expected speaker ID is
        * always second on the command line somewhere after
        * a file or directory name. Presence of the expected
        * speaker ID always implies presence of the file
        * or directory argument.
        */
        case 2:
        {
            try
            {
                iExpectedID = Integer.parseInt(soGetOpt.getInvalidOptions().elementAt(2).toString());
                soGetOpt.addActiveOption(OPT_EXPECTED_SPEAKER_ID, soGetOpt.getInvalidOptions().elementAt(2).toString());
            }

            /*
            * May happen when trying to get expected ID,
            * but the argument doesn't parse as an int.
            */
            catch(NumberFormatException e)
            {
                iExpectedID = -1;

                System.err.println
                (
                    "SpeakerIdentApp: WARNING: could not parse expected speaker ID ("
                    + e.getMessage() + "), ignoring..."
                );
            }

            // No break required as the file or directory
            // must always be present. Also, the clearance
            // of the invalid options need to be postponed.
        }

        /*
        * In the case of a single invalid option
        * always assume it is either a filename
        * or a directory name for the --ident
        * or --train options.
        */
        case 1:

```

```

    {
        soGetOpt.addActiveOption(OPT_DIR_OR_FILE, soGetOpt.getInvalidOptions().firstElement().toString());
        soGetOpt.getInvalidOptions().clear();
        break;
    }

    default:
    {
        throw new Exception("Unrecognized options found: " + soGetOpt.getInvalidOptions());
    }
}

// Set misc configuration
MARF.setDumpSpectrogram(soGetOpt.isActiveOption(OPT_SPECTROGRAM));
MARF.setDumpWaveGraph(soGetOpt.isActiveOption(OPT_GRAPH));
Debug.enableDebug(soGetOpt.isActiveOption(OPT_DEBUG));

Debug.debug("Option set: " + soGetOpt);

int iMainOption = soGetOpt.getOption(argv[0]);

switch(iMainOption)
{
    /*
     * -----
     * Identification
     * -----
     */

    // Single case
    case OPT_IDENT:
    {
        ident(getConfigString(argv), soGetOpt.getOption(OPT_DIR_OR_FILE), iExpectedID);
        break;
    }

    // A directory with files for identification
    case OPT_BATCH_IDENT:
    {
        // Store config and error/successes for that config
        String strConfig = getConfigString(argv);

        // Dir contents
        File[] aoSampleFiles = new File(soGetOpt.getOption(OPT_DIR_OR_FILE)).listFiles();

        for(int i = 0; i < aoSampleFiles.length; i++)
        {
            String strFileName = aoSampleFiles[i].getPath();

            if(aoSampleFiles[i].isFile() && strFileName.toLowerCase().endsWith("." + DEFAULT_SAMPLE_FILE_EXTENSION))
            {
                ident(strConfig, strFileName, iExpectedID);
            }
        }

        break;
    }
}

```



```

/*
 * -----
 * Training
 * -----
 */

// Add a single smaple to the training set
case OPT_SINGLE_TRAIN:
{
    train(soGetOpt.getOption(OPT_DIR_OR_FILE));
    System.out.println("Done training with file \"" + soGetOpt.getOption(OPT_DIR_OR_FILE) + "\".");
    break;
}

// Train on a directory of files
case OPT_TRAIN:
{
    try
    {
        // Dir contents
        File[] aoSampleFiles = new File(soGetOpt.getOption(OPT_DIR_OR_FILE)).listFiles();

        Debug.debug("Files array: " + aoSampleFiles);

        if(Debug.isDebugEnabled())
        {
            System.getProperties().list(System.err);
        }

        String strFileName = "";

        // XXX: this loop has to be in MARF
        for(int i = 0; i < aoSampleFiles.length; i++)
        {
            strFileName = aoSampleFiles[i].getPath();

            if(aoSampleFiles[i].isFile() && strFileName.toLowerCase().endsWith("." + DEFAULT_SAMPLE_FILE_EXTENSION))
            {
                train(strFileName);
            }
        }
    }
    catch(NullPointerException e)
    {
        System.err.println("Folder \"" + soGetOpt.getOption(OPT_DIR_OR_FILE) + "\" not found.");
        e.printStackTrace(System.err);
        System.exit(-1);
    }

    System.out.println("Done training on folder \"" + soGetOpt.getOption(OPT_DIR_OR_FILE) + "\".");

    break;
}

/*
 * -----

```

```
    * Stats
    * -----
    */
case OPT_STATS:
{
    soDBPerConfig.restore();
    soDBPerConfig.printStats();
    soDBPerSpeaker.restore();
    soDBPerSpeaker.printStats();
    break;
}

/*
 * Best Result with Stats
 */
case OPT_BEST_SCORE:
{
    soDBPerConfig.restore();
    soDBPerConfig.printStats(true);
    soDBPerSpeaker.restore();
    soDBPerSpeaker.printStats(true);
    break;
}

/*
 * Reset Stats
 */
case OPT_RESET:
{
    soDBPerConfig.resetStats();
    soDBPerSpeaker.resetStats();
    System.out.println("SpeakerIdentApp: Statistics has been reset.");
    break;
}

/*
 * Versioning
 */
case OPT_VERSION:
{
    System.out.println("Text-Independent Speaker Identification Application, v." + getVersion());
    System.out.println("Using MARF, v." + MARF.getVersion());
    validateVersions();
    break;
}

/*
 * Help
 */
case OPT_HELP_SHORT:
case OPT_HELP_LONG:
{
    usage();
    break;
}

/*
```

```
        * Invalid major option
        */
        default:
        {
            throw new Exception("Unrecognized option: " + argv[0]);
        }
    } // major option switch
} // try

/*
 * No arguments have been specified
 */
catch(ArrayIndexOutOfBoundsException e)
{
    System.err.println("No arguments have been specified.");

    //if(Debug.isDebugEnabled())
    {
        System.err.println(e.getMessage());
        e.printStackTrace(System.err);
    }

    usage();
}

/*
 * MARF-specific errors
 */
catch(MARFException e)
{
    System.err.println(e.getMessage());
    e.printStackTrace(System.err);
}

/*
 * Invalid option and/or option argument
 */
catch(Exception e)
{
    System.err.println(e.getMessage());
    e.printStackTrace(System.err);
    usage();
}

/*
 * Regardless whatever happens, close the db connection.
 */
finally
{
    try
    {
        Debug.debug("Closing DB connection...");
        soDBPerConfig.close();
        soDBPerSpeaker.close();
    }
    catch(Exception e)
    {

```

```

        Debug.debug("Closing DB connection failed: " + e.getMessage());
        e.printStackTrace(System.err);
        System.exit(-1);
    }
}

/**
 * Identifies a speaker using MARF given configuration,
 * wave filename, and possibly expected speaker ID.
 *
 * @param pstrConfig configuration string for stats
 * @param pstrFilename name of the wave file with voice sample to identify
 * @param piExpectedID expected speaker ID; if -1 then no stats is kept
 *
 * @throws MARFException in case of any error while processing is in MARF
 * @since 0.3.0.5
 */
public static final void ident(String pstrConfig, String pstrFilename, int piExpectedID)
throws MARFException
{
    /*
     * If no expected speaker present on the command line,
     * attempt to fetch it from the database by filename.
     */
    if(piExpectedID < 0)
    {
        piExpectedID = soDBPerConfig.getIDByFilename(pstrFilename, false);
    }

    MARF.setSampleFile(pstrFilename);
    MARF.recognize();

    // First guess
    int iIdentifiedID = MARF.queryResultID();

    // Second best
    int iSecondClosestID = MARF.getResultSet().getSecondClosestID();

    System.out.println("          File: " + pstrFilename);
    System.out.println("          Config: " + pstrConfig);
    System.out.println("          Speaker's ID: " + iIdentifiedID);
    System.out.println(" Speaker identified: " + soDBPerConfig.getName(iIdentifiedID));

    /*
     * Only collect stats if we have expected speaker
     */
    if(piExpectedID > 0)
    {
        System.out.println("Expected Speaker's ID: " + piExpectedID);
        System.out.println(" Expected Speaker: " + soDBPerConfig.getName(piExpectedID));

        soDBPerConfig.restore();
        soDBPerSpeaker.restore();
        {
            // 1st match
            soDBPerConfig.addStats(pstrConfig, (iIdentifiedID == piExpectedID));
        }
    }
}

```

```

        soDBPerSpeaker.addStats(soDBPerConfig.getName(piExpectedID), (iIdentifiedID == piExpectedID));

        // 2nd best: must be true if either 1st true or second true (or both :)
        boolean bSecondBest =
            iIdentifiedID == piExpectedID
            ||
            iSecondClosestID == piExpectedID;

        soDBPerConfig.addStats(pstrConfig, bSecondBest, true);
        soDBPerSpeaker.addStats(soDBPerConfig.getName(piExpectedID), bSecondBest, true);
    }
    soDBPerConfig.dump();
    soDBPerSpeaker.dump();
}

System.out.println("        Second Best ID: " + iSecondClosestID);
System.out.println("        Second Best Name: " + soDBPerConfig.getName(iSecondClosestID));
System.out.println("        Date/time: " + new Date());
System.out.println("-----8<-----");
}

/**
 * Updates training set with a new sample from a given file.
 *
 * @param pstrFilename name of the wave file with voice sample train the system on
 *
 * @throws MARFException in case of any error while processing is in MARF
 * @since 0.3.0.5
 */
public static final void train(String pstrFilename)
throws MARFException
{
    MARF.setSampleFile(pstrFilename);

    int iID = soDBPerConfig.getIDByFilename(pstrFilename, true);

    if(iID == -1)
    {
        System.out.println("No speaker found for \"" + pstrFilename + "\" for training.");
    }
    else
    {
        MARF.setCurrentSubject(iID);
        MARF.train();
    }
}

/**
 * Displays application's usage information and exits.
 */
private static final void usage()
{
    System.out.println
    (
        "Usage:\n" +
        "  java SpeakerIdentApp --train <samples-dir> [options]      -- train mode\n" +
        "  "                  --single-train <sample> [options]      -- add a single sample to the training set\n" +

```

```

"          --ident <sample> [options]          -- identification mode\n" +
"          --batch-ident <samples-dir> [options] -- batch identification mode\n" +
"          --gui                                -- use GUI as a user interface\n" +
"          --stats=[per-config|per-speaker|both] -- display stats (default is per-config)\n" +
"          --best-score                          -- display best classification result\n" +
"          --reset                               -- reset stats\n" +
"          --version                             -- display version info\n" +
"          --help | -h                          -- display this help and exit\n" +

"Options (one or more of the following):\n" +

"Loaders:\n" +
" -wav      - assume WAVE files loading (default)\n" +
" -text     - assume loading of text samples\n" +
"\n" +

"Preprocessing:\n" +
" -silence  - remove silence (can be combined with any below)\n" +
" -noise    - remove noise (can be combined with any below)\n" +
" -raw      - no preprocessing\n" +
" -norm     - use just normalization, no filtering\n" +
" -low      - use low-pass FFT filter\n" +
" -high     - use high-pass FFT filter\n" +
" -boost    - use high-frequency-boost FFT preprocessor\n" +
" -band     - use band-pass FFT filter\n" +
" -endp     - use endpointing\n" +
" -lowcfe   - use low-pass CFE filter\n" +
" -highcfe  - use high-pass CFE filter\n" +
" -bandcfe  - use band-pass CFE filter\n" +
" -bandstopcfe - use band-stop CFE filter\n" +
"\n" +

"Feature Extraction:\n" +
" -lpc      - use LPC\n" +
" -fft      - use FFT\n" +
" -minmax   - use Min/Max Amplitudes\n" +
" -randfe   - use random feature extraction\n" +
" -aggr     - use aggregated FFT+LPC feature extraction\n" +
" -f0       - use F0 (pitch, or fundamental frequency; NOT IMPLEMENTED)\n" +
" -segm     - use Segmentation (NOT IMPLEMENTED)\n" +
" -cepstral - use Cepstral analysis (NOT IMPLEMENTED)\n" +
"\n" +

"Classification:\n" +
" -nn       - use Neural Network\n" +
" -cheb     - use Chebyshev Distance\n" +
" -eucl     - use Euclidean Distance\n" +
" -mink     - use Minkowski Distance\n" +
" -diff     - use Diff-Distance\n" +
" -zipf     - use Zipf's Law-based classifier\n" +
" -randcl   - use random classification\n" +
" -markov   - use Hidden Markov Models (NOT IMPLEMENTED)\n" +
" -hamming  - use Hamming Distance\n" +
" -cos      - use Cosine Similarity Measure\n" +
"\n" +

"Misc:\n" +

```

```

        " -debug          - include verbose debug output\n" +
        " -spectrogram - dump spectrogram image after feature extraction\n" +
        " -graph           - dump wave graph before preprocessing and after feature extraction\n" +
        " <integer>        - expected speaker ID\n" +
        "\n"
    );

    System.exit(0);
}

/**
 * Retrieves String representation of the application's version.
 * @return version String
 */
public static final String getVersion()
{
    return MAJOR_VERSION + "." + MINOR_VERSION + "." + REVISION;
}

/**
 * Retrieves integer representation of the application's version.
 * @return integer version
 */
public static final int getIntVersion()
{
    return MAJOR_VERSION * 100 + MINOR_VERSION * 10 + REVISION;
}

/**
 * Makes sure the applications isn't run against older MARF version.
 * Exits with 1 if the MARF version is too old.
 */
public static final void validateVersions()
{
    if(MARF.getDoubleVersion() < (0 * 100 + 3 * 10 + 0 + .6))
    {
        System.err.println
        (
            "Your MARF version (" + MARF.getVersion() +
            ") is too old. This application requires 0.3.0.6 or above."
        );

        System.exit(1);
    }
}

/**
 * Composes the current configuration of in a string form.
 *
 * @param pstrArgv set of configuration options passed through the command line;
 * can be null or empty. If latter is the case, MARF itself is queried for its
 * numerical set up inside.
 *
 * @return the current configuration setup
 */
public static final String getConfigString(String[] pstrArgv)
{

```

```

    // Store config and error/successes for that config
    String strConfig = "";

    if(pstrArgv != null && pstrArgv.length > 2)
    {
        // Get config from the command line
        for(int i = 2; i < pstrArgv.length; i++)
        {
            strConfig += pstrArgv[i] + " ";
        }
    }
    else
    {
        // Query MARF for it's current config
        strConfig = MARF.getConfig();
    }

    return strConfig;
}

/**
 * Sets default MARF configuration parameters as normalization
 * for preprocessing, FFT for feature extraction, Euclidean
 * distance for training and classification with no spectrogram
 * dumps and no debug information, assuming WAVE file format.
 *
 * @throws MARFException
 * @since 0.3.0.5
 */
public static final void setDefaultConfig()
throws MARFException
{
    /*
     * Default MARF setup
     */
    MARF.setPreprocessingMethod(MARF.DUMMY);
    MARF.setFeatureExtractionMethod(MARF.FFT);
    MARF.setClassificationMethod(MARF.EUCLIDEAN_DISTANCE);
    MARF.setDumpSpectrogram(false);
    MARF.setSampleFormat(MARF.WAV);
    //    MARF.setSampleFormat(MARF.TEXT);

    Debug.enableDebug(false);
}

/**
 * Customizes MARF's configuration based on the options.
 * @throws MARFException if some options are out of range
 * @since 0.3.0.5
 */
public static final void setCustomConfig()
throws MARFException
{
    ModuleParams oParams = new ModuleParams();

    for
    (

```



```

    int iPreprocessingMethod = MARF.MIN_PREPROCESSING_METHOD;
    iPreprocessingMethod <= MARF.MAX_PREPROCESSING_METHOD;
    iPreprocessingMethod++
)
{
    if(soGetOpt.isActiveOption(iPreprocessingMethod))
    {
        MARF.setPreprocessingMethod(iPreprocessingMethod);

        switch(iPreprocessingMethod)
        {
            // Endpointing did not respond well to silence removal
            // and the definition of RAW assumes none of that is done.
            // Endpointing also has some extra params of its own.
            case MARF.RAW:
            case MARF.ENDPOINT:

            case MARF.DUMMY:
            case MARF.HIGH_FREQUENCY_BOOST_FFT_FILTER:
            case MARF.HIGH_PASS_FFT_FILTER:
            case MARF.LOW_PASS_FFT_FILTER:
            case MARF.BANDPASS_FFT_FILTER:
            case MARF.HIGH_PASS_BOOST_FILTER:
            case MARF.BAND_PASS_CFE_FILTER:
            case MARF.BAND_STOP_CFE_FILTER:
            case MARF.LOW_PASS_CFE_FILTER:
            case MARF.HIGH_PASS_CFE_FILTER:
            {

                // Normalization and filters seem to respond better
                // to silence removal. Setting noise removal at this
                // time has no effect. The setting of the third protocol parameter
                // is yet to be implemented here.
                oParams.addPreprocessingParam(new Boolean(soGetOpt.isActiveOption(OPT_NOISE_REMOVAL)));
                oParams.addPreprocessingParam(new Boolean(soGetOpt.isActiveOption(OPT_SILENCE_REMOVAL)));
                break;
            }

            default:
                assert false : "Not implemented valid preprocessing configuration parameter: " + iPreprocessingMethod;
        } // switch

        break;
    }
}

for
(
    int iFeatureExtractionMethod = MARF.MIN_FEATUREEXTRACTION_METHOD;
    iFeatureExtractionMethod <= MARF.MAX_FEATUREEXTRACTION_METHOD;
    iFeatureExtractionMethod++
)
{
    if(soGetOpt.isActiveOption(iFeatureExtractionMethod))
    {
        MARF.setFeatureExtractionMethod(iFeatureExtractionMethod);
    }
}

```

```

switch(iFeatureExtractionMethod)
{
    case MARF.FFT:
    case MARF.LPC:
    case MARF.RANDOM_FEATURE_EXTRACTION:
    case MARF.MIN_MAX_AMPLITUDES:
    case MARF.FO:
    case MARF.CEPSTRAL:
    case MARF.SEGMENTATION:
        // For now do nothing; customize when these methods
        // become parametrizable.
        break;

    case MARF.FEATURE_EXTRACTION_AGGREGATOR:
    {
        // For now aggregate FFT followed by LPC until
        // it becomes customizable
        oParams.addFeatureExtractionParam(new Integer(MARF.FFT));
        oParams.addFeatureExtractionParam(null);
        oParams.addFeatureExtractionParam(new Integer(MARF.LPC));
        oParams.addFeatureExtractionParam(null);
        break;
    }

    default:
        assert false;
} // switch

break;
}
}

for
(
    int iClassificationMethod = MARF.MIN_CLASSIFICATION_METHOD;
    iClassificationMethod <= MARF.MAX_CLASSIFICATION_METHOD;
    iClassificationMethod++
)
{
    if(soGetOpt.isActiveOption(iClassificationMethod))
    {
        MARF.setClassificationMethod(iClassificationMethod);

        switch(iClassificationMethod)
        {
            case MARF.NEURAL_NETWORK:
            {
                // Dump/Restore Format of the TrainingSet
                oParams.addClassificationParam(new Integer(TrainingSet.DUMP_GZIP_BINARY));

                // Training Constant
                oParams.addClassificationParam(new Double(0.5));

                // Epoch number
                oParams.addClassificationParam(new Integer(20));

                // Min. error

```

```
        oParams.addClassificationParam(new Double(0.1));

        break;
    }

    case MARF.HAMMING_DISTANCE:
    {
        // Dump/Restore Format
        oParams.addClassificationParam(new Integer(TrainingSet.DUMP_GZIP_BINARY));

        // Type of hamming comparison
        oParams.addClassificationParam(new Integer(HammingDistance.STRICT_DOUBLE));

        break;
    }

    case MARF.MINKOWSKI_DISTANCE:
    {
        // Dump/Restore Format
        oParams.addClassificationParam(new Integer(TrainingSet.DUMP_GZIP_BINARY));

        // Minkowski Factor
        oParams.addClassificationParam(new Double(6.0));

        break;
    }

    case MARF.EUCLIDEAN_DISTANCE:
    case MARF.CHEBYSHEV_DISTANCE:
    case MARF.MAHALANOBIS_DISTANCE:
    case MARF.RANDOM_CLASSIFICATION:
    case MARF.DIFF_DISTANCE:
    case MARF.MARKOV:
    case MARF.ZIPFS_LAW:
    case MARF.COSINE_SIMILARITY_MEASURE:
        // For now do nothing; customize when these methods
        // become parametrizable.
        break;

    default:
        assert false : "Unrecognized classification module";
} // switch

// Method is found, break out of the look up loop
break;
}
}

// Assign meaningful params only
if(oParams.size() > 0)
{
    MARF.setModuleParams(oParams);
}
}

// EOF
```

D.2 SpeakersIdentDb.java

```

import java.awt.Point;
import java.io.BufferedReader;
import java.io.FileInputStream;
import java.io.FileNotFoundException;
import java.io.FileOutputStream;
import java.io.FileReader;
import java.io.IOException;
import java.io.ObjectInputStream;
import java.io.ObjectOutputStream;
import java.text.DecimalFormat;
import java.util.Enumeration;
import java.util.Hashtable;
import java.util.StringTokenizer;
import java.util.Vector;
import java.util.zip.GZIPInputStream;
import java.util.zip.GZIPOutputStream;

import marf.Storage.Database;
import marf.Storage.StorageException;
import marf.util.Arrays;
import marf.util.Debug;

/**
 * <p>Class SpeakersIdentDb manages database of speakers on the application level.</p>
 * <p>XXX: Move stats collection over to MARF.</p>
 *
 * $Id: SpeakersIdentDb.java,v 1.25 2007/02/19 03:28:13 mokhov Exp $
 *
 * @author Serguei Mokhov
 * @version $Revision: 1.25 $
 * @since 0.0.1
 */
public class SpeakersIdentDb
extends Database
{
    /**
     * Hashes "config string" -> Vector(FirstMatchPoint(XSuccesses, YFailures),
     * SecondMatchPoint(XSuccesses, YFailures)).
     */
    private Hashtable oStatsPerConfig = null;

    /**
     * Array of sorted stats refs.
     */
    private transient Vector[] aoSortedStatsRefs = null;

    /**
     * A vector of vectors of speakers info pre-loded on <code>connect()</code>.
     * @see #connect()
     */
    private Hashtable oDB = null;

    /**

```

```

    * "Database connection".
    */
private BufferedReader oConnection = null;

/**
 * For serialization versioning.
 * @since 0.3.0.5
 */
private static final long serialVersionUID = -7185805363856188810L;

/**
 * Constructor.
 * @param pstrFileName filename of a CSV file with IDs and names of speakers
 */
public SpeakersIdentDb(final String pstrFileName)
{
    this.strFilename = pstrFileName;
    this.oDB = new Hashtable();
    this.oStatsPerConfig = new Hashtable();
}

/**
 * Retrieves Speaker's ID by a sample filename.
 * @param pstrFileName Name of a .wav file for which ID must be returned
 * @param pbTraining indicates whether the filename is a training (<code>true</code>) sample or testing (<code>false</code>)
 * @return int ID
 * @throws StorageException in case of an error in any I/O operation
 */
public final int getIDByFilename(final String pstrFileName, final boolean pbTraining)
throws StorageException
{
    String strFilenameToLookup;

    // Extract actual file name without preceding path (if any)
    if(pstrFileName.lastIndexOf('/') >= 0)
    {
        strFilenameToLookup = pstrFileName.substring(pstrFileName.lastIndexOf('/') + 1, pstrFileName.length());
    }
    else if(pstrFileName.lastIndexOf('\\') >= 0)
    {
        strFilenameToLookup = pstrFileName.substring(pstrFileName.lastIndexOf('\\') + 1, pstrFileName.length());
    }
    else
    {
        strFilenameToLookup = pstrFileName;
    }

    Enumeration oIDs = this.oDB.keys();

    // Traverse all the info vectors looking for sample filename
    while(oIDs.hasMoreElements())
    {
        Integer oID = (Integer)oIDs.nextElement();

        Debug.debug("File: " + pstrFileName + ", id = " + oID.intValue());

        Vector oSpeakerInfo = (Vector)this.oDB.get(oID);

```

```

        Vector oFileNames;

        if(pbTraining == true)
        {
            oFileNames = (Vector)oSpeakerInfo.elementAt(1);
        }
        else
        {
            oFileNames = (Vector)oSpeakerInfo.elementAt(2);
        }

        // Start from 1 because 0 is speaker's name
        for(int i = 0; i < oFileNames.size(); i++)
        {
            String strCurrentFilename = (String)oFileNames.elementAt(i);

            if(strCurrentFilename.equals(strFilenameToLookup))
            {
                return oID.intValue();
            }
        }
    }

    return -1;
}

/**
 * Retrieves speaker's name by their ID.
 * @param piID ID of a person in the DB to return a name for
 * @return name string
 * @throws StorageException
 */
public final String getName(final int piID)
throws StorageException
{
    //Debug.debug("getName() - ID = " + piID + ", db size: " + oDB.size());
    String strName;

    Vector oDBEntry = (Vector)this.oDB.get(new Integer(piID));

    if(oDBEntry == null)
    {
        strName = "Unknown Speaker (" + piID + ")";
    }
    else
    {
        strName = (String)oDBEntry.elementAt(0);
    }

    return strName;
}

/**
 * Connects to the "database" of speakers (opens the text file :-)).
 * @throws StorageException in case of any I/O error
 */
public void connect()

```

```

throws StorageException
{
    // That's where we should establish file linkage and keep it until closed
    try
    {
        this.oConnection = new BufferedReader(new FileReader(this.strFilename));
        this.bConnected = true;
    }
    catch(IOException e)
    {
        throw new StorageException
        (
            "Error opening speaker DB: \"" + this.strFilename + "\": " +
            e.getMessage() + "."
        );
    }
}

/**
 * Retrieves speaker's data from the text file and populates
 * internal data structures. Uses StringTokenizer to parse
 * data read from the file.
 * @throws StorageException in case of any I/O error
 */
public void query()
throws StorageException
{
    // That's where we should load db results into internal data structure

    String strLine;
    int iID = -1;

    try
    {
        strLine = this.oConnection.readLine();

        while(strLine != null)
        {
            StringTokenizer oTokenizer = new StringTokenizer(strLine, ",");
            Vector oSpeakerInfo = new Vector();

            // get ID
            if(oTokenizer.hasMoreTokens())
            {
                iID = Integer.parseInt(oTokenizer.nextToken());
            }

            // speaker's name
            if(oTokenizer.hasMoreTokens())
            {
                strLine = oTokenizer.nextToken();
                oSpeakerInfo.add(strLine);
            }

            // training file names
            Vector oTrainingFileNames = new Vector();

```

```

        if(oTokenizer.hasMoreTokens())
        {
            StringTokenizer oSTK = new StringTokenizer(oTokenizer.nextToken(), "|");

            while(oSTK.hasMoreTokens())
            {
                strLine = oSTK.nextToken();
                oTrainingFileNames.add(strLine);
            }
        }

        oSpeakerInfo.add(oTrainingFileNames);

        // testing file names
        Vector oTestingFileNames = new Vector();

        if(oTokenizer.hasMoreTokens())
        {
            StringTokenizer oSTK = new StringTokenizer(oTokenizer.nextToken(), "|");

            while(oSTK.hasMoreTokens())
            {
                strLine = oSTK.nextToken();
                oTestingFileNames.add(strLine);
            }
        }

        oSpeakerInfo.add(oTestingFileNames);

        Debug.debug("Putting ID=" + iID + " along with info vector of size " + oSpeakerInfo.size());

        this.oDB.put(new Integer(iID), oSpeakerInfo);

        strLine = this.oConnection.readLine();
    }
}
catch(IOException e)
{
    throw new StorageException
    (
        "Error reading from speaker DB: \"" + this.strFilename +
        "\": " + e.getMessage() + "."
    );
}
}

/**
 * Closes (file) database connection.
 * @throws StorageException if not connected or fails to close inner reader
 */
public void close()
throws StorageException
{
    // Close file
    if(this.bConnected == false)
    {
        throw new StorageException("SpeakersIdentDb.close() - not connected");
    }
}

```



```

    }

    try
    {
        this.oConnection.close();
        this.bConnected = false;
    }
    catch(IOException e)
    {
        throw new StorageException(e.getMessage());
    }
}

/**
 * Adds one more classification statics entry.
 * @param pstrConfig String representation of the configuration the stats are for
 * @param pbSuccess <code>true</code> if classification was successful; <code>false</code> otherwise
 */
public final void addStats(final String pstrConfig, final boolean pbSuccess)
{
    addStats(pstrConfig, pbSuccess, false);
}

/**
 * Adds one more classification statics entry and accounts for the second best choice.
 * @param pstrConfig String representation of the configuration the stats are for
 * @param pbSuccess <code>true</code> if classification was successful; <code>false</code> otherwise
 * @param pbSecondBest <code>true</code> if classification was successful; <code>false</code> otherwise
 */
public final void addStats(final String pstrConfig, final boolean pbSuccess, final boolean pbSecondBest)
{
    Vector oMatches = (Vector)this.oStatsPerConfig.get(pstrConfig);
    Point oPoint = null;

    if(oMatches == null)
    {
        oMatches = new Vector(2);
        oMatches.add(new Point());
        oMatches.add(new Point());
        oMatches.add(pstrConfig);
    }
    else
    {
        if(pbSecondBest == false)
        {
            // First match
            oPoint = (Point)oMatches.elementAt(0);
        }
        else
        {
            // Second best match
            oPoint = (Point)oMatches.elementAt(1);
        }
    }

    int iSuccesses = 0; // # of successes
    int iFailures = 0; // # of failures

```

```
if(oPoint == null) // Didn't exist yet; create new
{
    if(pbSuccess == true)
    {
        iSuccesses = 1;
    }
    else
    {
        iFailures = 1;
    }

    oPoint = new Point(iSuccesses, iFailures);

    if(oPoint == null)
    {
        System.err.println("SpeakersIdentDb.addStats() - oPoint is null! Out of memory?");
        System.exit(-1);
    }

    if(oMatches == null)
    {
        System.err.println("SpeakersIdentDb.addStats() - oMatches is null! Out of memory?");
        System.exit(-1);
    }

    if(oMatches.size() == 0)
    {
        System.err.println("SpeakersIdentDb.addStats() - oMatches.size = 0");
        System.exit(-1);
    }

    if(pbSecondBest == false)
    {
        oMatches.setElementAt(oPoint, 0);
    }
    else
    {
        oMatches.setElementAt(oPoint, 1);
    }

    this.oStatsPerConfig.put(pstrConfig, oMatches);
}

else // There is an entry for this config; update
{
    if(pbSuccess == true)
    {
        oPoint.x++;
    }
    else
    {
        oPoint.y++;
    }
}
}
```

```

/**
 * Dumps all collected statistics to STDOUT.
 * @throws Exception
 */
public final void printStats()
throws Exception
{
    printStats(false);
}

/**
 * Dumps collected statistics to STDOUT.
 * @param pbBestOnly <code>true</code> - print out only the best score number; <code>false</code> - all stats
 * @throws Exception
 */
public final void printStats(boolean pbBestOnly)
throws Exception
{
    if(this.oStatsPerConfig.size() == 0)
    {
        System.err.println("SpeakerIdentDb: no statistics available. Did you run the recognizer yet?");
        return;
    }

    // First row is for the identified results, 2nd is for 2nd best ones.
    String[][] astrResults = new String[2][this.oStatsPerConfig.size()];

    this.aoSortedStatsRefs = (Vector[])oStatsPerConfig.values().toArray(new Vector[0]);
    Arrays.sort(this.aoSortedStatsRefs, new StatsPercentComparator(StatsPercentComparator.DESCEENDING));

    int iResultNum = 0;

    System.out.println("guess,run,config,good,bad,%");

    for(int i = 0; i < this.aoSortedStatsRefs.length; i++)
    {
        String strConfig = (String)(this.aoSortedStatsRefs[i]).elementAt(2);

        for(int j = 0; j < 2; j++)
        {
            Point oGoodBadPoint = (Point)(this.aoSortedStatsRefs[i]).elementAt(j);
            String strGuess = (j == 0) ? "1st" : "2nd";
            String strRun = (iResultNum + 1) + "";
            DecimalFormat oFormat = new DecimalFormat("#,##0.00;(#,##0.00)");
            double dRate = ((double)oGoodBadPoint.x / (double)(oGoodBadPoint.x + oGoodBadPoint.y)) * 100;

            if(pbBestOnly == true)
            {
                System.out.print(oFormat.format(dRate));
                return;
            }

            astrResults[j][iResultNum] =
                strGuess + "," +
                strRun + "," +
                strConfig + "," +
                oGoodBadPoint.x + "," + // Good

```

```

        oGoodBadPoint.y + "," + // Bad
        oFormat.format(dRate);
    }

    iResultNum++;
}

// Print all of the 1st match
for(int i = 0; i < astrResults[0].length; i++)
{
    System.out.println(astrResults[0][i]);
}

// Print all of the 2nd match
for(int i = 0; i < astrResults[1].length; i++)
{
    System.out.println(astrResults[1][i]);
}
}

/**
 * Resets in-memory and on-disk statistics.
 * @throws StorageException
 */
public final void resetStats()
throws StorageException
{
    this.oStatsPerConfig.clear();
    dump();
}

/**
 * Dumps statistic's Hashtable object as gzipped binary to disk.
 * @throws StorageException
 */
public void dump()
throws StorageException
{
    try
    {
        FileOutputStream oFOS = new FileOutputStream(this.strFilename + ".stats");
        GZIPOutputStream oGZOS = new GZIPOutputStream(oFOS);
        ObjectOutputStream oOOS = new ObjectOutputStream(oGZOS);

        oOOS.writeObject(this.oStatsPerConfig);
        oOOS.flush();
        oOOS.close();
    }
    catch(Exception e)
    {
        throw new StorageException(e);
    }
}

/**
 * Reloads statistic's Hashtable object from disk.
 * If the file did not exist, it creates a new one.

```

```

    * @throws StorageException
    */
    public void restore()
    throws StorageException
    {
        try
        {
            FileInputStream oFIS = new FileInputStream(this.strFilename + ".stats");
            GZIPInputStream oGZIS = new GZIPInputStream(oFIS);
            ObjectInputStream oOIS = new ObjectInputStream(oGZIS);

            this.oStatsPerConfig = (Hashtable)oOIS.readObject();
            oOIS.close();
        }
        catch(FileNotFoundException e)
        {
            System.out.println
            (
                "NOTICE: File " + this.strFilename +
                ".stats does not seem to exist. Creating a new one..."
            );

            resetStats();
        }
        catch(ClassNotFoundException e)
        {
            throw new StorageException
            (
                "SpeakerIdentDb.restore() - ClassNotFoundException: " +
                e.getMessage()
            );
        }
        catch(Exception e)
        {
            throw new StorageException(e);
        }
    }
}

/**
 * <p>Used in sorting by percentage of the stats entries
 * in either ascending or descending order.</p>
 *
 * <p>TODO: To be moved to Stats.</p>
 *
 * @author Serguei Mokhov
 * @version $Revision: 1.25 $
 * @since 0.0.1 of MARF
 */
class StatsPercentComparator
extends marf.util.SortComparator
{
    /**
     * For serialization versioning.
     * @since 0.3.0.5
     */
    private static final long serialVersionUID = -7185805363856188810L;
}

```

```
/**
 * Mimics parent's constructor.
 */
public StatsPercentComparator()
{
    super();
}

/**
 * Mimics parent's constructor.
 * @param piSortMode either DESCENDING or ASCENDING sort mode
 */
public StatsPercentComparator(final int piSortMode)
{
    super(piSortMode);
}

/**
 * Implementation of the Comparator interface for the stats objects.
 * @see java.util.Comparator#compare(java.lang.Object, java.lang.Object)
 */
public int compare(Object poStats1, Object poStats2)
{
    Vector oStats1 = (Vector)poStats1;
    Vector oStats2 = (Vector)poStats2;

    Point oGoodBadPoint1 = (Point)(oStats1.elementAt(0));
    Point oGoodBadPoint2 = (Point)(oStats2.elementAt(0));

    double dRate1 = ((double)oGoodBadPoint1.x / (double)(oGoodBadPoint1.x + oGoodBadPoint1.y)) * 100;
    double dRate2 = ((double)oGoodBadPoint2.x / (double)(oGoodBadPoint2.x + oGoodBadPoint2.y)) * 100;

    switch(this.iSortMode)
    {
        case DESCENDING:
        {
            return (int)((dRate2 - dRate1) * 100);
        }

        case ASCENDING:
        default:
        {
            return (int)((dRate1 - dRate2) * 100);
        }
    }
}

// EOF
```

Appendix E

TODO

MARF TODO/Wishlist

\$Header: /cvsroot/marf/marf/TODO,v 1.75 2007/08/05 18:36:40 mokhov Exp \$

Legend:

"-" -- TODO
"*" -- done (for historical records)
"+-" -- somewhat done ("+" is degree of completed work, "-" remaining).
"?" -- unsure if feature is needed or how to proceed about it or it's potentially far away
{..} -- projected release (tentative)

THE APPS

- SpeakerIdentApp
 - GUI
 - Real-Time recording and recognition (does it belong here?)
 - Integrate fully marf.Storage.SampleRecorder of Jimmy
 - Move dir. read from the app to MARF in training section {0.3.0}
 - Enhance batch recognition (do not re-load training set per sample) {0.3.0}
 - Enhance Option Processing
 - * Make use of OptionProcessor {0.3.0.5}
 - Enhance options with arguments, e.g. -fft=1024, -lpc=40, -lpc=40,256, keeping the existing defaults
 - Add options:
 - * --batch-ident option {0.3.0.5}
 - -data-dir=DIRNAME other than default to specify a dir where to store training sets and stuff
 - -mink=r
 - -fft=x,y
 - -lpc=x,y
 - * single file training option {0.3.0.5}
 - Dump stats: -classic -latex -csv
 - +-- Make binary/optimized distro
 - Generate data.tex off speakers.txt in the manual.
 - +---- Convert testing.sh to Java
 - Open up -randcl with -nn
 - * Finish testing.bat
 - * Make executable .jar

- * Improve on javadoc
- * ChangeLog
- * Sort the stats
- * Add classification methods to training in testing.sh
- * Implement batch plan execution

- LangIdentApp
 - * Integrate
 - Add GUI
 - * Make executable .jar
 - Release.

- ProbabilisticParsingApp
 - * Integrate
 - Add GUI
 - * Make executable .jar
 - Release.

- ZipfLawApp
 - * Integrate
 - Add GUI
 - * Make executable .jar
 - Release.

- TestFilters
 - The application has already a Makefile and a JBuilder project file.
We would want to add a NetBeans project as well.
 - Add GUI
 - * Release.
 - * It only tests HighFrequencyBoost, but we also have BandpassFilter,
HighPassFilter, and LowPassFilter.
These have to be tested.
 - * The output of every filter will have to be stored in a expected
(needs to be created) folder for future regression testing. Like
with TestMath.
 - * Option processing has to be done and standartized
by using marf.util.OptionProcessor uniformly in all apps. But we can
begin with this one. The options then would be: --high, --low, --band,
and --boost that will correspond to the appropriate filters I mentioned.
 - * The exception handling has to be augmented to print the error message
and the stack trace to System.err.
 - * Apply coding conventions to naming variables, etc.
 - * Make executable .jar

- TestNN
 - * Fix to work with new MARF API
 - * Add use of OptionProcessor
 - * Apply coding conventions
 - Add GUI
 - * Make executable .jar
 - Release.

- TestLPC
 - Add GUI
 - * Release.
 - * Fix to work with new MARF API
 - * Add use of OptionProcessor

- * Apply coding conventions
- * Make executable .jar

- TestFFT
 - Add GUI
 - * Release.
 - * Make executable .jar
 - * Fix to work with new MARF API
 - * Add use of OptionProcessor
 - * Apply coding conventions

- MathTestApp
 - Add GUI
 - * Release.
 - * Make executable .jar

- TestWaveLoader
 - Add GUI
 - * Release.
 - * Fix to work with new MARF API
 - * Apply coding conventions
 - * Make executable .jar

- TestLoaders
 - Add GUI
 - * Create a-la TestFilters with option processing.
 - * Make executable .jar
 - * Release.

- Regression
 - one script calls all the apps and compares new results vs. expected
 - Matrix ops
 - +- Fix TestNN
 - Add GUI
 - * Make executable .jar
 - Release.

- Graph % vs. # of samples used per method

- Release all apps as packages at Source Forge
 - +- Bundle
 - +- Individually

- SpeechRecognition
 - Define

- InstrumentIdentification
 - Define

- EmotionDetection
 - Define

- User Authentication

- Perhaps at some point we'd need make/project files for other Java IDEs, such as
 - IBM Visual Age
 - Ant
 - Windoze .bat file(s)?
- * Sun NetBeans
- * A Makefile per directory
- * Make utility detection test
- * global makefile in /marf
- * fix doc's global makefile
- * Global Makefile for apps
 - (will descend to each dir and build all the apps.)
- * Build and package distribution
 - * MARF
 - * App

DISTROS

- * Apps jars
- Different JDKs (1.4/1.5/1.6)
- rpm
 - FCs
 - Mandrake
 - RH
- deb
- dmg
- iso

THE FRAMEWORK

- All/Optimization/Testing
 - Implement text file support/toString() method for all the modules for regression testing
 - Threading and Parallelism
 - PR and FE are safe to run in ||
 - Fix NNet for ArrayLists->Vector
- ++--- Adopt JUnit
- +-- Use of StringBuffer for String concatenation
- * Implement getMARFSourceCodeRevision() method for CVS revision info.
- +-- Distributed MARF
 - WAL
 - Replication
- Preprocessing
 - ++- Make dump()/restore() to serialize filtered output {0.3.0}
 - Fix hardcoding of filter boundaries
 - Implement
 - Enable changing values of frequency boundaries and coeffs. in filters by an app.

- "Compressor" [steve]
- Methods: {0.3.0.6}
 - removeNoise()
 - * removeSilence()
 - cropAudio()
- streamed normalization
- * Endpoint {0.3.0.5}
- * High-pass filter with high-frequency boost together {0.3.0}
- * Band-pass Filter {0.2.0}
- * Move BandpassFilter and HighFrequencyBoost under FFTFilter package with CVS comments
- * Tweak the filter values of HighPass and HighFrequencyBoost filters

- Feature Extraction
 - Make modules to dump their features for future use by NNet and maybe others {0.3.0}
 - Implement {1.0.0}
 - F0
 - fundamental frequency estimation,
 - providing us with a few more features.
 - Cepstral Analysis
 - Segmentation
 - * RandomFeatureExtraction {0.2.0}
 - Enhance
 - MinMaxAmplitudes to pick different features, not very close to each other

- Classification
 - Implement
 - ++++- Mahalanobis Distance {0.3.0}
 - Learning Covariance Matrix
 - Stochastic [serge] {1.0.0}
 - Gaussian Mixture Models
 - Hidden Markov Models {1.0.0}
 - SimilarityClassifier {0.3.0}
 - ? Boolean Model
 - ? Vector Space Model
 - ? sine similarity model
 - * Minkowski's Distance {0.2.0}
 - * RandomClassification {0.2.0}
 - Fully Integrate
 - + - MaxProbabilityClassifier
 - Push StatisticalEstimator to Stochastic
 - + - ZipfLaw
 - Fix and document NNet {0.*.*}
 - add % of correct/incorrect expected to train() {0.3.0}
 - ArrayList ---> Vector, because ArrayList is NOT thread-safe {0.3.0}
 - Second Best (by doubling # of output Neurons with the 2nd half being the 2nd ID)
 - + - Epoch training
 - * dump()/retore() {0.2.0}
 - Distance Classifiers
 - make distance() throw an exception maybe?
 - * Move under Distance package
 - * DiffDistance

- Sample Loaders
 - Create Loaders for Java-supported formats:
 - +--- AIFC
 - +--- AIFF
 - +--- AU
 - +--- SND
 - +--- Add MIDI support
 - * Create MARFAudioFileFormat extends AudioFileFormat
 - * Enumerate all types in addition to ours from FileAudioFormat.Types

- marf.speech package
 - Recognition (stt)
 - Dictionaries
 - Generation (tts)

- NLP package
 - ++++- Integrate
 - + - Classification
 - Potter's Stemmer
 - * Stats
 - * Storage management
 - * StatisticalEstimators
 - * NLP.java
 - * Parsing
 - * Util
 - * Collocations

- ? Integrate AIMA stuff

- Stats {0.3.0}
 - Move stats collection from the app and other places to StatsCollector
 - Timing
 - Batch progress report
 - Rank results
 - Results validation (that numbers add up properly e.g. sum of BAD and GOOD should be equal to total)

- Algos
 - + - Algorithm decoupling to marf.algos or marf.algorithms or ... {0.4.0}
 - * To marf.math.Algorithms {0.3.0}
 - marf.algos.Search
 - marf.util.DataStructures -- Node / Graph --- to be used by the networks and state machines
 - * move out hamming() from FeatureExtraction

- * marf.math
 - * Integrate Vector operations
 - * Matrix:
 - * Add {0.3.0.3}
 - * translate

```

    * rotate
    * scale
    * shear

- GUI {0.5.0}

- Make them actual GUI components to be included into App
- Spectrogram
  +----- Implement SpectrogramPanel
  - Draw spectrogram on the panel
  * Fix filename stuff (module_dirname to module_filename)
- WaveGrapher
  +----- Implement WaveGrapherPanel
  - Draw waves on the panel
- Fix WaveGrapher
- Sometimes dumps files of 0 length
- Make it actually output PPM or smth like that (configurable?)
- Too huge files for samp output.
- Have LPC understand it
- Config tool
- Web interface?

- MARF.java

+- Concurrent use of modules of the same type
- FFT and FO can both be applied like normalization and filtering
- Implement
- streamedRecognition()
+- train()
  - Add single file training
- Inter-module compatibility (i.e. specific modules can only work
  with some other specific modules and not the others)
  - Module Compatibility Matrix
  - Module integer and String IDs
++++- Server Part {2.0.0}
* enhance error reporting
* Embed the NLP class

* MARF Exceptions Framework {0.3.0}
* Propagate to NLP properly
  * NLPException
* StorageException
* Have all marf exceptions inherit from util.MARFException

- marf.util

- complete and document
  +++- Matrix
  +++- FreeVector
  * Arrays
  * Debug
? PrintFactory
  - Move NeuralNetwork.indent()

```

```

? marf.util.upgrade
* OptionProcessor
  * Integrate {0.3.0.2}
  * Add parsing of "name=value" options {0.3.0.3}
* Add marf.util.Debug module. {0.3.0.2}
  * marf.util.Debug.debug()
  * Replicate MARF.debug() --> marf.util.Debug.debug()

- Storage

- ModuleParams:
  - have Hashtables instead of Vectors
    to allow params in any order and in any number.
  - maybe use OptionProcessor or be its extension?
- Keep all data files under marf.data dir, like training sets, XML, etc {0.3.0}
- Implement
  - Schema (as in DB for exports)
    - Common attribute names for
      - SQL
      - XML
      - HTML
      - CSV
    - Metadata / DDL
  - Dump/Restore Types
    +++- DUMP_BINARY (w/o compression) {0.3.0}
    - DUMP_XML {?.?.?}
    - DUMP_CSV {?.?.?}
    - DUMP_HTML {?.?.?}
    - DUMP_SQL {?.?.?}
+- Revise TrainingSet stuff
  ? Cluster mode vs. feature set mode
  - TrainingSet
    - upgradability {?.?.?}
    - convertability: gzbin <-> bin <-> csv <-> xml <-> html <-> sql
+--- Add FeatureSet {0.3.0}
  - Revise dump/restore implementation to check for unnecessary
    file writes
  * Integrate IStorageManager
  * Move DUMP_* flags up to IStorageManager
  * Add re-implemented StorageManager and integrate it

- The MARF Language (MARFL)
  - A meta language to write MARF applications in a script/shell-like
    manner along the lines of:
    MARF:
    {
      use normalization;
      use FFT 1024;
      use NeuralNetwork;
      use WAVLoader 8000 1 mono;
      pipeline start on dir /var/data/samples;
      print stats paged 10;
    }
  - Fully define syntax
  - Complete compiler

```

- grammar file
- semantic analysis
- code generator

* Clean up

* CVS:

- ? Rename /marf/doc/sgml to /marf/doc/styles
- x Remove /marf/doc/styles/ref
- * Remove --x permissions introduced from windoze in files:
 - * /marf/doc/src/tex/sampleloading.tex
 - * /marf/doc/src/graphics/*.png
 - * /marf/doc/src/graphics/arch/*.png
 - * /marf/doc/src/graphics/fft_spectrograms/*.ppm
 - * /marf/doc/src/graphics/lpc_spectrograms/*.ppm
 - * /marf/doc/arch.mdl
 - * /marf/src/marf/Classification/Distance/EuclideanDistance.java
 - * /marf/src/marf/Preprocessing/FFTFilter.java
 - * /apps/SpeakerIdentApp/SpeakerIdentApp.jpx
 - * /apps/SpeakerIdentApp/testing-samples/*.wav
 - * /apps/SpeakerIdentApp/testing-samples/*.wav
 - * /apps/TestFilters/TestFilters.*
- * Add NLP revisions directly to the CVS (by SF staff)
 - * Parsing
 - * Stemming
 - * Collocations
- * Move distance classifiers with CVS log to Distance
- * remove unneeded attics and corresponding dirs
 - * "Ceptral"
 - * Bogus samples

THE CODE

- * Define coding standards
- +++ Propagate them throughout the code
- * Document

THE SAMPLE DATABASES

- /samples/ -- Move all wav and corpora files there from apps
 - WAV/
 - training-samples/
 - testing-samples/
 - README
 - speakers.txt
 - <training-sets>
 - corpora/
 - training/
 - en/
 - fr/
 - ru/
 - ...
 - testing/

- en/
 - fr/
 - ru/
 - ...
 - heldout/
 - README
 - <training-sets>
- Make releases

THE TOOLS

* Add module

- Add tools:
 - marfindent
 - * stats2latex
 - * cvs2cl
 - * cvs2html
- upgrade/
 - MARFUpgrade.java
 - an app or a marf module?
 - cmd-line?
 - GUI?
 - Interactive?
 - v012/TrainingSet.java
 - v020/TrainingSet.java
 - FeatureSet.java

THE DOCS

- docs [s]
 - report.pdf -> manual.pdf
 - autosync from the report
 - history.tex -> HISTORY
 - legal.tex -> COPYRIGHT
 - installation.tex -> INSTALL
- Arch Update [serge]
 - + - gfx model (rr)
 - add util package
 - add math package
 - add nlp package
 - * gui: add StorageManager
 - * update doc
 - * newer images
- MARF-specific exceptions
- Account for dangling .tex files
 - old-results.tex
 - output.tex
 - * installation.tex
 - * training.tex
 - * sample-formats.tex
 - * cvs.tex
 - * history.tex

- * f0.tex
- * notation.tex
- * sources.tex
- +++- better doc format and formulas
- Results:
 - Add modules params used, like r=6 in Minkowski, FFT input 1024, etc
 - Add time took
- * fix javadoc 1.4/1.5 warnings
- * fix Appendix
- * split-out bibliography
- * index
- * ChangeLog
- * report components [serge]

- web site
 - Publish
 - * TODO
 - + - ChanageLog
 - * Raw
 - HTML
 - Manual
 - Add HTML
- * Add training sets
- * CVS
- * autoupdate from CVS

EOF

Index

Algorithm

- Artificial Neural Network, 51
- Neural Network, 51

API

- AddDelta, 97
- AddOne, 97
- Arrays, 159
- BANDPASS_FFT_FILTER, 151
- BandpassFilter, 150
- BaseThread, 159
- ByteUtils, 159
- Classification, 26, 157, 158
- Debug, 159
- doFFT(), 57
- doLPC(), 57
- Dummy, 151, 153
- Endpoint, 36
- ExpandedThreadGroup, 159
- F0, 64
- FeatureExtraction, 46, 157
- FeatureExtraction.FFT.FFT, 153
- FeatureExtractionAggregator, 46
- FFT, 26
- GoodTuring, 97
- Grammar, 125, 126
- Hashtable, 86
- HIGH_FREQUENCY_BOOST_FFT_FILTER, 151
- HIGH_PASS_FFT_FILTER, 151
- HighFrequencyBoost, 150
- HighPassFilter, 150
- IClassification, 157
- IFeatureExtraction, 157
- IPreprocessing, 157
- ISampleLoader, 157

- LangIdentApp, 132
- Logger, 159
- LOW_PASS_FFT_FILTER, 151
- LowPassFilter, 150
- LPC, 26, 64
- MahalanobisDistance, 156
- MARF, 15, 23, 39, 46, 151, 153, 157
- marf-debug.jar, 8
- marf.Classification.Distance.DiffDistance, 51
- marf.Classification.Distance.Distance, 50, 51
- marf.Classification.Distance.MahalanobisDistance, 50
- marf.Classification.NeuralNetwork, 158
- marf.FeatureExtraction.FeatureExtractionAggregator, 46
- marf.FeatureExtraction.FFT, 152
- marf.gui, 57
- marf.jar, 8
- marf.junit, 14
- marf.MARF, 33, 50, 51, 150, 153
- marf.MARF.MAJOR_VERSION, 8
- marf.MARF.MINOR_REVISION, 8
- marf.MARF.MINOR_VERSION, 8
- marf.MARF.REVISION, 8
- marf.math, 11, 156
- marf.math.Algorithms.Hamming, 41
- marf.nlp, 107, 138
- marf.Preprocessing.Dummy, 153
- marf.Preprocessing.Dummy.Dummy, 33
- marf.Preprocessing.Dummy.Raw, 33
- marf.Preprocessing.Endpoint, 36
- marf.Preprocessing.FFTFilter.*, 150
- marf.Preprocessing.FFTFilter.HighFrequencyBoost, 39

- marf.Preprocessing.FFTFilter.HighPassFilter, 37
- marf.Preprocessing.Preprocessing, 150
- marf.Stats, 11
- marf.Storage, 11, 154, 156
- marf.Storage.Loaders, 154, 156
- marf.Storage.Sample, 150, 153
- marf.Storage.SampleLoader, 150, 153
- marf.Storage.WAVLoader, 150, 153
- marf.util, 11, 156
- marf.util.Arrays, 5, 158
- marf.util.Debug, 158
- marf.util.OptionProcessor, 150, 151, 153, 158
- marf.Version, 8
- marf.Version.MAJOR_VERSION, 8
- marf.Version.MINOR_REVISION, 8
- marf.Version.MINOR_VERSION, 8
- marf.Version.REVISION, 8
- MathTestApp, 156
- Matrix, 156
- MLE, 97
- ModuleParams, 23, 36, 46
- NeuralNetwork, 26
- normalize(), 151, 153
- OptionProcessor, 151, 153, 156, 159, 160
- oSortedStatRefs, 86
- oStats, 86
- parse(), 147
- preprocess(), 151, 153
- Preprocessing, 151, 153, 157
- Preprocessing.removeSilence(), 35
- ProbabilisticParsingApp, 125
- Raw, 35
- recognize(), 15
- Regression, 14
- removeNoise(), 151, 153
- removeSilence(), 35, 151, 153
- Sample, 151, 153, 154, 156
- SampleLoader, 151, 153, 154, 156, 157
- Serializable, 14
- SINE, 151, 153
- SineLoader, 149, 151–156
- Sineloader, 152
- SpeakerIdentApp, 3, 11, 33, 50, 51, 60, 66, 86, 166
- SpeakersIdentDb, 166
- Spectrogram, 5, 57, 162
- Stochastic, 26
- Storage, 6, 24
- StorageManager, 159
- test, 33, 50, 51
- TestFFT, 152, 153
- TestFilters, 5, 149, 151
- TestLoaders, 154–156
- TestLPC, 151
- TestNN, 158
- TestPlugin, 157
- TestWaveLoader, 153, 154
- train(), 15
- Vector, 156
- Version, 8
- WAV, 151, 153
- WaveGrapher, 5, 59
- WAVLoader, 23, 149, 151–154, 156, 157
- WittenBell, 97
- WordStats, 86
- ZipfLaw, 87
- Applications, 86
 - CYK Probabilistic Parsing, 125
 - ENCSAssets, 159
 - ENCSAssetsCron, 160
 - ENCSAssetsDesktop, 159
 - GIPSY, 159
 - Language Identification, 93
 - MathTestApp, 156
 - SpeakerIdentApp, 86
 - TestFFT, 152
 - TestFilters, 149
 - TestLoaders, 154
 - TestLPC, 151
 - TestNN, 158

- TestPlugin, 157
- TestWaveLoader, 153
- Zipf's Law, 86
- Artificial Neural Network, 51
- C, 97, 102, 104, 126
- C++, 97, 102, 104, 109, 126
- Classification, 48
 - Diff Distance, 50
 - Mahalanobis Distance, 50
- CLASSPATH, 13
- Coding and Naming Conventions, 7
- Collocations, 56
- CYK Probabilistic Parsing, 125
 - Application, 125
- Distance
 - Diff, 50
 - Mahalanobis, 50
- Endpointing, 36
- Experiments, 60
- EXTDIRS, 13
- External Applications
 - ENCSEAssets, 159
 - ENCSEAssetsCron, 160
 - ENCSEAssetsDesktop, 159
 - GIPSY, 159
- F0, 45
- Feature Extraction, 41
 - F0, 45
 - Feature Extraction Aggragation, 46
 - Min/Max Amplitudes, 46
- Feature Extraction Aggragation, 46
- Files
 - <corpus-name>[<options>].log, 87
 - *.class, 88
 - *.csv, 89
 - *.gzbin, 106
 - *.log, 88, 89
 - *.txt, 87
 - ../api, 12
 - ../api-dev, 12
 - .c, 98
 - .class, 13
 - .classpath, 12
 - .cpp, 98
 - .csv, 88
 - .jar, 10–14
 - .project, 12
 - .war, 13
 - .zip, 13
 - /usr/lib/marf, 12
 - ~.emacs, 6
 - ~.vimrc, 7
 - ar.txt, 98
 - bg.txt, 98
 - build.xml, 12
 - ChangeLog, 14
 - cpp.txt, 98
 - data/asmt-sentences.txt, 139
 - data/test-sentences.txt, 139
 - dumpParseTree(), 147
 - en.txt, 98
 - es.txt, 98
 - expected, 151, 153, 154, 156, 157
 - expected/, 108
 - expected/sine.out, 151
 - fr.txt, 98
 - gmake, 10
 - graham13.wav, 162
 - grammar.asmt.txt, 136
 - grammars/grammar.asmt.txt, 139
 - grammars/grammar.extended.txt, 139
 - grammars/grammar.original.txt, 139
 - grfst10.txt, 89
 - he.txt, 98
 - hswc10.txt, 89
 - index/, 107
 - INSTALL, 10
 - it.txt, 98

- java.txt, 98
 - junit.jar, 13
 - Makefile, 87, 105, 136
 - marf*.jar, 14
 - marf-<ver>, 12
 - marf-<ver>.jar, 10–12
 - marf-debug*.jar, 13
 - marf-debug-<ver>.jar, 11
 - marf-debug-*.jar, 10
 - marf-math-<ver>.jar, 11
 - marf-storage-<ver>.jar, 11
 - marf-util-<ver>.jar, 11
 - marf-utilimathstor-<ver>.jar, 11
 - marf.jar, 12, 13
 - marf.jpx, 12
 - marf/nlp, 107
 - marf/nlp/, 138
 - marf/nlp/Parsing/, 127, 138
 - marf/nlp/Parsing/GrammarCompiler/, 127, 138
 - marf/nlp/Parsing/GrammarCompiler/NonTerminal.java, 126
 - marf/nlp/Parsing/GrammarCompiler/ProbabilisticParser.java, 126
 - marf/nlp/Parsing/GrammarCompiler/Terminal.java, 126
 - marf/nlp/Parsing/ProbabilisticParser.java, 127, 147
 - marf/nlp/util, 102
 - math.out, 157
 - multiprocessor.txt, 89
 - nbproject/*.*, 12
 - NLPStreamTokenizer.java, 102
 - perl.txt, 98
 - ru.txt, 98
 - shared/lib, 13
 - src, 12
 - Test*, 14
 - test.*.langs, 108
 - test.langs, 100, 109
 - test.latin.langs, 109
 - test.non-latin.langs, 109
 - test.pls.langs, 109
 - test/, 107
 - training-*, 106
 - training-*/, 108
 - ulysses.txt, 89
 - webapp/<your application here>/WEB-INF/lib, 13
 - ZipfLaw.java, 87, 89
- Filters
- High Frequency Boost, 37
 - High-Pass Filter, 37
 - High-Pass High Frequency Boost Filter, 39
- GIPSY, 159
- GNU, 10, 12
- GUI, 57
- Hamming Window, 41
- Implementation, 41
- Java theory, 41
- High Frequency Boost, 37
- High-Pass Filter, 37
- High-Pass High Frequency Boost Filter, 39
- Installation, 10
- Binary, 11
 - Cygwin, 13
 - From Sources, 11
 - Linux, 12
 - Removal, 14
 - Requirements, 10
 - UNIXen, 12
 - Upgrade, 14
 - Windows, 12
- Java, 3, 86, 87, 97, 102, 104, 105, 109, 125, 126, 136
- Language Identification, 93
- Application, 93
 - Hypotheses, 96
 - Programming Languages, 96
 - Zipf's Law, 97

- Limitations, 23
- LPC, 44
- MARF
 - Application Point of View, 15
 - Applications, 86
 - Architecture, 15
 - Authors, 4
 - Authors Emeritus, 4
 - Coding Conventions, 7
 - Contact, 4
 - Contributors, 5
 - Copyright, 4
 - Core Pipeline, 15, 17, 18
 - Current Limitations, 23
 - Current maintainers, 5
 - External Applications, 159
 - GUI, 57
 - History, 5
 - Installation, 10
 - Introduction, 3
 - Packages, 18
 - Project Location, 6
 - Purpose, 3
 - Research Applications, 86
 - Source Code, 6
 - source code formatting, 6
 - Testing, 14
 - Testing Applications, 149
 - Versioning, 8
- Methodology, 24
 - Artificial Neural Network, 51
 - Endpointing, 36
 - Feature Extraction, 41
 - Neural Network, 51
 - Preprocessing, 33
- Min/Max Amplitudes, 46
- Natural Language Processing, 55
- Neural Network, 51
- NLP, 55
- Perl, 97, 98, 102, 104, 109
- Preprocessing, 33
 - Endpointing, 36
 - Raw, 33
- Probabilistic Parsing, 56
- regression test application, 14
- Research Applications
 - SpeakerIdentApp, 86
- Results, 65
- Sample Data, 60
- Source code formatting, 6
- Statistical N-gram Models, 55
- Statistics, 54
 - Collection, 54
 - Estimators and Smoothing, 54
 - Processing, 54
- Stemming, 56
- Testing
 - Regression, 14
- Testing Applications
 - MathTestApp, 156
 - TestFFT, 152
 - TestFilters, 149
 - TestLoaders, 154
 - TestLPC, 151
 - TestNN, 158
 - TestPlugin, 157
 - TestWaveLoader, 153
- Tomcat, 13
- Tools
 - bash, 87, 136
 - CVS, 163
 - cvs2cl.pl, 98
 - cvs2html.pl, 98
 - diff, 51
 - Excel, 59
 - gmake, 12, 87
 - gnuplot, 59
 - jar, 10, 12

- java, 12
- javac, 10, 12
- javadoc, 10, 12
- less, 7
- make, 10, 12, 87, 88, 106, 137
- make clean, 14
- more, 7
- retrain, 64
- tssh, 105, 106
- testing.bat, 64
- testing.sh, 60, 87, 88, 106, 108, 136
- training.sh, 106
- vim, 7

Uninstall, 14

upgrading, 14

Versioning, 8

Zipf's Law, 55, 86

- Application, 86

- Language Identification, 97